

This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

# Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + Refrain from automated querying Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

# **About Google Book Search**

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at http://books.google.com/







CW.U.K. X595 N 558 b 1

# A Digest

OF THE

# LAW OF SHIPPING

AND OF

# MARINE INSURANCE,

WITH ILLUSTRATIONS.

· BY

# HARRY NEWSON, Esq., LL.B.,

OF THE MIDDLE TEMPLE, BARRISTER-AT-LAW.

(Middle Temple International Law Scholar, Hilary Term, 1877.)



#### LONDON:

REEVES AND TURNER,
100, CHANCERY LANE; CAREY STREET, & 196, STRAND,
Zaw Zooksellers and Publishers.

1879.

LONDON:

C. F. BOWORTH, PRINTER, BREAM'S BUILDINGS, CHANCERY LAME.

# INTRODUCTION.

In consequence of the vast importance of commercial transactions to this country, Mercantile Law has now for a long period formed one of the principal divisions of the Law of England. As commerce has increased, so has Mercantile Law gradually expanded. It is derived almost entirely from the customs and usages of merchants, which have received the sanction and approval of the Courts, or, in a few instances, of the Legislature. It dates from that revival of commerce which followed on the close of the Dark Ages. Parts of it, indeed, belong to an earlier period, having originated with the ancient Greek traders, who formerly were the carriers of the world. For instance, the

English Law of General Average is a mere imitation of the rules on the subject contained in that celebrated code known as the Laws of the Rhodians, which has been well termed "the masterpiece of ancient jurisprudence." The fourteenth century produced the first modern code of Maritime Law—the Consolato del Mare. It is a collection of the rules observed by the merchants of Barcelona. To the Consolato, our marine jurisprudence is also indebted for some of its most valuable rules.

The Commercial Law of England was in a state of chaos till it was reduced to a state of order and symmetry by the genius of Lord Mansfield, who has well earned the title, given him by Mr. Justice Buller, of "the founder of the Commercial Law of England." Lord Mansfield was followed in the work of communicating form and symmetry to this important branch of the law by Lord Stowell, whose judgments are of force not only in this country but even in foreign states.

To summarise a portion of those rules which govern the commercial relations of merchants in England, and to make the knowledge of them more accessible, is the object of the present Work. In short, it aims at being a Digest or Summary of the Rules of Law relating to Shipping, or to the conveyance of goods by sea, and of those relating to a subject closely related to it—Marine Insurance.

Where the rules of law present any difficulty, a few illustrations have been added. These illustrations are, in almost every instance, taken from cases decided in our Courts. They have only been inserted where it appeared necessary, in order that the size of the book might correspond with what the book itself professes to be—a summary or digest. The form and arrangement of the Work is based on that adopted by Mr. Justice Stephen in his Digests of the Law of Evidence and of the Criminal Law. The success which has attended these two works proves the utility and popularity of the style.

In compiling this Digest of the Law of Shipping and of Marine Insurance, the Author has been greatly assisted by the following works:—Abbott on Shipping, Arnould on Marine Insurance, Stevens on Average, and Smith's Mercantile Law. To these books, which

have long been considered the standard works on their respective subjects, the reader who desires to become fully acquainted with the details and the growth of the Law of Shipping and of the Law of Marine Insurance must be referred.

H. NEWSON.

1, HARE COURT, TEMPLE, 8th July, 1879.

# CONTENTS.

				PAGE
Introduction				. iii
Table of Cases				ix
STATUTES CITED				. xvii
AUTHORITIES REFERRED TO				xviii
BOOK I.				
CHAP. I.—SHIPS				. 1
II.—PART OWNERS AND SHIP'S HUSBAND		•		6
III.—Sales and Mortgages of Ships				. 9
IV.—Collision				13
V.—Capture and Prize	•	_	•	. 17
VI.—Contracts with Seamen		·		19
VII.—CHARTER-PARTIES	•		•	. 32
VIII.—BILLS OF LADING		•		39
IX.—Shipowner and Shipmaster.	•		•	. 45
X.—Bottowry and Respondentia .		•		53
XI.—FREIGHT.	•		•	. 59
		•		
XII.—SALVAGE	•		•	67
XIII.—Average		•		. 71

# CONTENTS.

# воок п.

	PAGE
CHAP. I.—INSURANCE, INSURERS AND INSURED	77
II.—The Policy	. 82
III.—Effect of Deviation	90
IV.—Duration of the Risk	. 92
V.—Losses Covered and Excepted by Policy	94
VI.—Total Loss and Abandonment	. 101
VII.—WARRANTIES: EXPRESS AND IMPLIED .	110
VIII.—MISREPRESENTATION AND CONCEALMENT	. 118
IX.—ILLEGALITY	123
X.—Adjustment and Return of Premium	. 126
XI.—Remedies on the Policy	131
NDEX	. 135

# TABLE OF CASES.

<b>A.</b>	PAGE
PAGE	Batavier, The 50
Acatos v. Burns 64	Bates v. Hewitt 121
Aguilar v. Rodgers 89	v. Todd 40, 48
Aldridge v. Bell 105	Beale v. Thompson 25, 60
Alexander, The 57	Behn v. Burness 119
v. Duke of Wel-	Bell v. Bell 86
lington 18	— v. Carstairs 116, 117, 119
Allison v. Bristol M. I. Co. 80	v. Janson 84
Allkins v. Jupe 79	v. Jutting 132
Amos $v$ . Temperley 62	v. Puller 35
Anderson v. Morice 80	Bens v. Parr 30
v. Pitcher 112	Benson v. Blunt 34
v. Thornton 120	—— v. Chapman 73, 104
v. Wallis 105	v. Duncan 47, 55
Andree v. Fletcher 128	Benyon v. Godden 8
Angerstein v. Bell 92	Berens v. Rucker 95
Annen v. Woodman 115, 127	Bermon v. Woodbridge 127
Arcangelo v. Thompson 98	Biccard v. Shepherd 115
Armstrong v. Smith 25	Birkley v. Presgrave 72
Atkinson v. Ritchie 33	Bird v. Pigou 123
v. Stephens 47 Aubert v. Grav 96	Bize v. Fletcher 120
Aubert v. Gray 96 —— v. Walsh 128	Blackhurst v. Cockell 88, 111 Blake. The 28
Audley v. Duff 127	
Augusta, The 57	Blakey v. Dixon 60 Bolton v. Gladstone 113
Austin v. Drewe 95	Bonaparte, The 46, 55
Australian S. N. Co., The, v.	Bond v. Nutt
Morse 47	Bondrett v. Hentig 101
220250	Booth v. Gair 99
	Bornman v. Tooke 61
В.	Bottomley v. Bovill 90
_,	Bouillon v. Lupton 111
Baillie v. Moudigliani 85	Bourne v. Gatliffe 48
Bainbridge v. Neilson 107	Bowman v. Manzleman 31
Ball v. Knight 86	Boyd v. Dubois 95
Baring v. Christie 112	Bradford v. Williams 35
v. Claggett 113	Brandon v. Nesbitt 78, 124
Barker v. Hodgson 34, 38	v. Curling 124
Barrow v. Coles 43	Brass v. Maitland 59





PAGE (	PAGE
Harrison v. Bank of Austral-	Ionides v. Pacific Insurance
_asia	Co119, 132
Harrower v. Hutchinson 121	v. Pender 120
Hathesing v. Laing 40	Irving v. Clegg 59
Haughton v. The Empire	v. Manning 84, 103
M. I. Co 86	v. Richardson 133
Havelock v. Geddes 62	
Hawkins, The	
Have v. Le Neve 13	J.
	J.
	T m 15
Heathorn v. Darling 55	James, The 15
Hebe, The 56	Jeffreys v. Legendra 87, 112
Heinrich and Maria, The 17	Jenkins v. Heycock 116
Henry v. Staniforth 127	v. Power 125
Heselton v. Allnutt 114	Jenny Lind, The 11, 31
Hibbert v. Carter 42, 81	Job v. Langton 71
v. Martin 97	Johnson v. Broderick 25
v. Pigon 112	v. Sutton 80
Hibernian, The 50	Jones $v$ . Adamson 34
Hills v. London Ass. Co 107	v. Nicholson 97
Hoare v. Dresser 41, 42	Jonge Tobias, The 6
Holderness v. Lamport 10	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Hollingworth v. Brodick 95	
Hopper v. Burness 64	K.
Horneyer v. Lushington . 117, 129	
Horsley v. Rush 33	Kaltenbach v. Mackenzie 105
Houstman v. Thornton 106	Karnak, The
How v. Kirchner 65	Kay v. Wheeler 49
	Keith v. Burrows 12
Hucks v. Thornton 98	
Hudson v. Harrison 105	
Hull v. Cooper 86	Keyser v. Scott 113
Humble v. Hunter 33	Kingsford v. Marshall 100
Hunter v. Fry 61	Kirchner v. Venus 65
v. Potts 94	Kleinwort v. Cassa Mar. of
v. Prinsep 66, 85	Genoa55
Hurry v. Royal Exchange	Knight v. Faith 109
Ass. Co 108	Kopitoff v. Wilson 45
Hutchinson v. Wright 10	<del>-</del> .
_	
	L.
I.	Lang $v$ . Anderdon 35, 111
	Langhorn v. Allnutt 108, 114
Imperial Marine Insurance	
Co. v. Fire Insurance Cor-	v. Cologan 127
poration	Laroche v. Oswin 114
Indomitable, The 54	Laveroni v. Drury 49
Innisfallen. The 10	Law v. Hollingsworth 45, 116

# TABLE OF CASES.

PAGE	PAGE
Lawrence v. Aberdein 98	Master v. Miller 89
Leask v. Scott 42	Matthew v. Gibbs 64
Leatham v. Terry 106	Matthews v. Lowther 59
Leigh v. Mather 87	Matthie v. Potts 93
Le Jonet, The 68	May v. Christie 126
Levy v. Vaugham 113	Medeiros v. Hill 38
Lewis v. Marshall 60	Medina, The 70
v. Rucker 84, 107, 108	Mellish v. Andrews 101
Leycester v. Logan 51	Mercantile&ExchangeBank,
Lickbarrow v. Mason 42	The, v. Gladstone 47
Liddard v. Lopes 66	Merchant Shipping Co. v.
Lidget v. Secretan 84, 109	Armitage
Linda, The 14	Metcalfe v. Britannia I. Co. 63
Lishman v. The Northern	Meyer v. Gregson 127
Marine Insurance Co 122	v. Ralli 103
Livie v. Janson 98, 109	
Lizzie. The 55	Meyerstein v. Barber 44
	Michael v. Gillespy 80, 105
Lloyd v. Fleming 131	v. Tredwin 116
Lockyer v. Offley 92, 97	Middlewood v. Blakes90, 118
Lohre v. Aitchison 103	Milward v. Hibbert 117
Long v. Allen 129	Minett v. Anderson 92
Lowry v. Bordieu 128	Mitchel v. Ede 44, 105
Lynch v. Hamilton 121	Moir v. Royal Exchange
	_Ass. Co 35, 111
	Montgomery v. Egginton 80
М.	Montoya v. London Ass. Co. 94
	Montoya v. London Ass. Co. 94 Moorson v. Page 59
Maanns v. Henderson 132	Montoya v. London Ass. Co. 94 Moorson v. Page 59
	Montoya v. London Ass. Co.       94         Moorson v. Page       59         Mordy v. Jones       104         Morgan v. Price       133
Maanns v. Henderson 132	Montoya v. London Ass. Co.       94         Moorson v. Page       59         Mordy v. Jones       104         Morgan v. Price       133
Maanns v. Henderson       132         MacAndrew v. Chapple       61         M'Andrew v. Adams       46	Montoya v. London Ass. Co.       94         Moorson v. Page       59         Mordy v. Jones       104         Morgan v. Price       133         Morris v. Robinson       47
Maanns v. Henderson       132         MacAndrew v. Chapple       61         M'Andrew v. Adams       46         MacCarthy v. Abel       107	Montoya v. London Ass. Co.       94         Moorson v. Page       59         Mordy v. Jones       104         Morgan v. Price       133         Morris v. Robinson       47         Moses v. Pratt       128
Maanns v. Henderson       132         MacAndrew v. Chapple       61         M'Andrew v. Adams       46         MacCarthy v. Abel       107         M'Connell v. Hector       78	Montoya v. London Ass. Co.       94         Moorson v. Page       59         Mordy v. Jones       104         Morgan v. Price       133         Morris v. Robinson       47         Mosses v. Pratt       128         Moss v. Smith       104
Maanns v. Henderson       132         MacAndrew v. Chapple       61         M'Andrew v. Adams       46         MacCarthy v. Abel       107         M'Connell v. Heetor       78         MacDougle v. Royal       Ex-	Montoya v. London Ass. Co.       94         Moorson v. Page       59         Mordy v. Jones       104         Morgan v. Price       133         Morris v. Robinson       47         Moses v. Pratt       128         Moss v. Smith       104         Motteux v. London Ass. Co.       114
Maanns v. Henderson       132         MacAndrew v. Chapple       61         M'Andrew v. Adams       46         MacCarthy v. Abel       107         M'Connell v. Hector       78         MacDougle v. Royal Exchange Ass. Co.       100	Montoya v. London Ass. Co.       94         Moorson v. Page       59         Mordy v. Jones       104         Morgan v. Price       133         Morris v. Robinson       47         Moses v. Pratt       128         Moss v. Smith       104         Motteux v. London Ass. Co.       114         Moxon v. Atkins       121
Maanns v. Henderson       132         MacAndrew v. Chapple       61         M'Andrew v. Adams       46         MacCarthy v. Abel       107         M'Connell v. Hector       78         MacDougle v. Royal Exchange Ass. Co.       100         Macdowal v. Fraser       119	Montoya v. London Ass. Co.       94         Moorson v. Page       59         Mordy v. Jones       104         Morgan v. Price       133         Morris v. Robinson       47         Moses v. Pratt       128         Most v. Smith       104         Motteux v. London Ass. Co.       114         Moxon v. Atkins       121         Mullett v. Shedden       101, 125
Maanns v. Henderson       132         MacAndrew v. Chapple       61         M'Andrew v. Adams       46         MacCarthy v. Abel       107         M'Connell v. Hector       78         MacDougle v. Royal       Exchange Ass. Co.       100         Macdowal v. Fraser       119         MacKenzie v. Whitworth       133	Montoya v. London Ass. Co.       94         Moorson v. Page       59         Mordy v. Jones       104         Morgan v. Price       133         Morris v. Robinson       47         Moses v. Pratt       128         Moss v. Smith       104         Motteux v. London Ass. Co.       114         Moxon v. Atkins       121
Maanns v. Henderson       132         MacAndrew v. Chapple       61         M'Andrew v. Adams       46         MacCarthy v. Abel       107         M'Connell v. Hector       78         MacDougle v. Royal Exchange Ass. Co.       100         Macdowal v. Fraser       119         MacKenzie v. Whitworth       133         Mackintosh v. Marshall       120	Montoya v. London Ass. Co.       94         Moorson v. Page       59         Mordy v. Jones       104         Morgan v. Price       133         Morris v. Robinson       47         Moses v. Pratt       128         Most v. Smith       104         Motteux v. London Ass. Co.       114         Moxon v. Atkins       121         Mullett v. Shedden       101, 125
Maanns v. Henderson       132         MacAndrew v. Chapple       61         M'Andrew v. Adams       46         MacCarthy v. Abel       107         M'Connell v. Hector       78         MacDougle v. Royal Exchange Ass. Co.       100         Macdowal v. Fraser       119         MacKenzie v. Whitworth       133         Mackintosh v. Marshall       120         M'Lean v. Fleming       40, 65	Montoya v. London Ass. Co.       94         Moorson v. Page       59         Mordy v. Jones       104         Morgan v. Price       133         Morris v. Robinson       47         Moses v. Pratt       128         Moss v. Smith       104         Motteux v. London Ass. Co.       114         Moxon v. Atkins       121         Mullett v. Shedden       101, 125         Myers v. Willis       10
Maanns v. Henderson       132         MacAndrew v. Chapple       61         M'Andrew v. Adams       46         MacCarthy v. Abel       107         M'Connell v. Hector       78         MacDougle v. Royal Exchange Ass. Co.       100         Macdowal v. Fraser       119         MacKenzie v. Whitworth       133         Mackintosh v. Marshall       120         M'Lean v. Fleming       40,65         M'Masters v. Scholbred       105	Montoya v. London Ass. Co.       94         Moorson v. Page       59         Mordy v. Jones       104         Morgan v. Price       133         Morris v. Robinson       47         Moses v. Pratt       128         Most v. Smith       104         Motteux v. London Ass. Co.       114         Moxon v. Atkins       121         Mullett v. Shedden       101, 125
Maanns v. Henderson       132         MacAndrew v. Chapple       61         M'Andrew v. Adams       46         MacCarthy v. Abel       107         M'Connell v. Hector       78         MacDougle v. Royal Exchange Ass. Co.       100         MacMenzie v. Whitworth       133         MacKenzie v. Whitworth       133         Mackintosh v. Marshall       120         M'Lean v. Fleming       40,65         M'Masters v. Scholbred       105         M'Swiney v. Royal Ex-	Montoya v. London Ass. Co. 94 Moorson v. Page
Maanns v. Henderson       132         MacAndrew v. Chapple       61         M'Andrew v. Adams       46         MacCarthy v. Abel       107         M'Connell v. Hector       78         MacDougle v. Royal       Exchange Ass. Co.       100         Macdowal v. Fraser       119         MacKenzie v. Whitworth       133         Mackintosh v. Marshall       120         M'Masters v. Scholbred       105         M'Swiney v. Royal       Exchange Ass. Co.	Montoya v. London Ass. Co. 94 Moorson v. Page 59 Mordy v. Jones 104 Morgan v. Price 133 Morris v. Robinson 47 Moses v. Pratt 128 Moss v. Smith 104 Motteux v. London Ass. Co. 114 Moxon v. Atkins 121 Mullett v. Shedden 101, 125 Myers v. Willis 10  N. Nantes v. Thompson 79
Maanns v. Henderson       132         MacAndrew v. Chapple       61         M'Andrew v. Adams       46         MacCarthy v. Abel       107         M'Connell v. Hector       78         MacDougle v. Royal       Exchange Ass. Co.       100         Macdowal v. Fraser       119         MacKenzie v. Whitworth       133         Mackintosh v. Marshall       120         M'Lean v. Fleming       40, 65         M'Masters v. Scholbred       105         M'Swiney       v. Royal       Exchange Ass. Co.         Change Ass. Co.       80         Mann v. Forrester       132	Montoya v. London Ass. Co. 94 Moorson v. Page
Maanns v. Henderson       132         MacAndrew v. Chapple       61         M'Andrew v. Adams       46         MacCarthy v. Abel       107         M'Connell v. Hector       78         MacDougle v. Royal Exchange Ass. Co.       100         Macdowal v. Fraser       119         MacKenzie v. Whitworth       133         Mackintosh v. Marshall       120         M'Lean v. Fleming       40, 65         M'Masters v. Scholbred       105         M'Swiney v. Royal Exchange Ass. Co.       80         Mann v. Forrester       132         March (Earl of) v. Pigot       87	Montoya v. London Ass. Co. 94 Moorson v. Page
Maanns v. Henderson       132         MacAndrew v. Chapple       61         M'Andrew v. Adams       46         MacCarthy v. Abel       107         M'Connell v. Hector       78         MacDougle v. Royal Exchange Ass. Co.       100         MacMenzie v. Whitworth       133         MacKenzie v. Whitworth       133         Mackintosh v. Marshall       120         M'Lean v. Fleming       40,65         M'Masters v. Scholbred       105         M'Swiney v. Royal Exchange Ass. Co.       80         Mann v. Forrester       132         March (Earl of) v. Pigot       87         Marie Joseph, The       42	Montoya v. London Ass. Co. 94 Moorson v. Page
Maanns v. Henderson       132         MacAndrew v. Chapple       61         M'Andrew v. Adams       46         MacCarthy v. Abel       107         M'Connell v. Hector       78         MacDougle v. Royal       Exchange Ass. Co.       100         Macdowal v. Fraser       119         MacKenzie v. Whitworth       133         Mackintosh v. Marshall       120         M'Lean v. Fleming       40,65         M'Masters v. Scholbred       105         M'Swiney       v. Royal       Exchange Ass. Co.         Change Ass. Co.       80         Mann v. Forrester       132         March (Earl of) v. Pigot       87         Marie Joseph, The       42         Marriott v. A. R. Society       12	Montoya v. London Ass. Co. 94 Moorson v. Page
Maanns v. Henderson       132         MacAndrew v. Chapple       61         M'Andrew v. Adams       46         MacCarthy v. Abel       107         M'Connell v. Hector       78         MacDougle v. Royal Exchange Ass. Co       100         Macdowal v. Fraser       119         MacKenzie v. Whitworth       133         Mackintosh v. Marshall       120         M'Lean v. Fleming       40,65         M'Masters v. Scholbred       105         M'Swiney       v. Royal Exchange Ass. Co         Change Ass. Co       80         Mann v. Forrester       132         March (Earl of) v. Pigot       87         Marie Joseph, The       42         Marriott v. A. R. Society       12         w. Hampton       126	Montoya v. London Ass. Co. 94 Moorson v. Page 59 Mordy v. Jones 104 Morgan v. Price 133 Morris v. Robinson 47 Moses v. Pratt 128 Moses v. Smith 104 Motteux v. London Ass. Co. 114 Moxon v. Atkins 121 Mullett v. Shedden 101, 125 Myers v. Willis 10  N.  Nantes v. Thompson 79 Naylor v. Taylor 104 Nelson v. Salvador 111 Neptunus, The 38 Nesbitt v. Lushington 96 Newby v. Reid 133
Maanns v. Henderson       132         MacAndrew v. Chapple       61         M'Andrew v. Adams       46         MacCarthy v. Abel       107         M'Connell v. Hector       78         MacDougle v. Royal Exchange Ass. Co       100         Macdowal v. Fraser       119         MacKenzie v. Whitworth       133         Mackintosh v. Marshall       120         M'Lean v. Fleming       40,65         M'Swiney v. Royal Exchange Ass. Co       80         Mann v. Forrester       132         March (Earl of) v. Pigot       87         Marie Joseph, The       42         Marriott v. A. R. Society       12         — v. Hampton       126         Marsden v. Reid       91, 119	Montoya v. London Ass. Co. 94 Moorson v. Page 59 Mordy v. Jones 104 Morgan v. Price 133 Morris v. Robinson 47 Moses v. Pratt 128 Moss v. Smith 104 Motteux v. London Ass. Co. 114 Moxon v. Atkins 121 Mullett v. Shedden 101, 125 Myers v. Willis 10  N.  Nantes v. Thompson 79 Naylor v. Taylor 104 Nelson v. Salvador 111 Neptunus, The 38 Nesbitt v. Lushington 96
Maanns v. Henderson       132         MacAndrew v. Chapple       61         M'Andrew v. Adams       46         MacCarthy v. Abel       107         M'Connell v. Hector       78         MacDougle v. Royal       Exchange Ass. Co.       100         Macdowal v. Fraser       119         MacKenzie v. Whitworth       133         Mackintosh v. Marshall       120         M'Lean v. Fleming       40,65         M'Masters v. Scholbred       105         M'Swiney       v. Royal       Exchange Ass. Co.         Change Ass. Co.       80         Mann v. Forrester       132         March (Earl of) v. Pigot       87         Marie Joseph, The       42         Marriott v. A. R. Society       12	Montoya v. London Ass. Co. 94 Moorson v. Page 59 Mordy v. Jones 104 Morgan v. Price 133 Morris v. Robinson 47 Moses v. Pratt 128 Moses v. Smith 104 Motteux v. London Ass. Co. 114 Moxon v. Atkins 121 Mullett v. Shedden 101, 125 Myers v. Willis 10  N.  Nantes v. Thompson 79 Naylor v. Taylor 104 Nelson v. Salvador 111 Neptunus, The 38 Nesbitt v. Lushington 96 Newby v. Reid 133
Maanns v. Henderson       132         MacAndrew v. Chapple       61         M'Andrew v. Adams       46         MacCarthy v. Abel       107         M'Connell v. Hector       78         MacDougle v. Royal Exchange Ass. Co       100         Macdowal v. Fraser       119         MacKenzie v. Whitworth       133         Mackintosh v. Marshall       120         M'Lean v. Fleming       40,65         M'Swiney v. Royal Exchange Ass. Co       80         Mann v. Forrester       132         March (Earl of) v. Pigot       87         Marie Joseph, The       42         Marriott v. A. R. Society       12         — v. Hampton       126         Marsden v. Reid       91, 119	Montoya v. London Ass. Co. 94 Moorson v. Page
Maanns v. Henderson       132         MacAndrew v. Chapple       61         M'Andrew v. Adams       46         MacCarthy v. Abel       107         M'Connell v. Hector       78         MacDougle v. Royal Exchange Ass. Co.       100         MacModowal v. Fraser       119         MacKenzie v. Whitworth       133         Mackintosh v. Marshall       120         M'Lean v. Fleming       40,65         M'Mssters v. Scholbred       105         M'Swiney v. Royal Exchange Ass. Co.       80         Mann v. Forrester       132         March (Earl of) v. Pigot       87         Marie Joseph, The       42         Marriott v. A. R. Society       12         w. Hampton       126         Marsden v. Reid       91, 119         Martin v. Sitwell       127	Montoya v. London Ass. Co. 94 Moorson v. Page 59 Mordy v. Jones 104 Morgan v. Price 133 Morris v. Robinson 47 Moses v. Pratt 128 Moss v. Smith 104 Motteux v. London Ass. Co. 114 Moxon v. Atkins 121 Mullett v. Shedden 101, 125 Myers v. Willis 10  N.  Nantes v. Thompson 79 Naylor v. Taylor 104 Nelson v. Salvador 111 Neptunus, The 38 Nesbitt v. Lushington 96 Newby v. Reid 133 Newman v. Cazalet 74 Nicholson v. Power 121

PAGE	PAGE
North of England Ass. Co.	Pieschell v. Alnutt 123
v. Armstrong 84, 134	Pipon v. Cope 116
North of Eng. Oil-cake Co.v.	Plummer v. Wildman 72
Archangel Mar. Ins. Co. 81, 131	Poigndestre v. R. E. A. Co. 108
North Star, The 74	Porteus v. Watney 42
Nugent v. Smith 39	Potter v. Rankin 102, 105
Nutt v. Bordieu 97	Potts v. Bell 80, 124
	Powell v. Gudgeon 99
	Power v. Butcher 78
О.	v. Whitmore 72
<b>o.</b>	Powles v. Innes 79, 81
Oddy v. Bovill 18	Prince George, The 58
Ogg v. Shuter 41	Princeton, The 50
Olivier, The	Pringle v. Mollett 34
Ollive v. Booker 36	Puller v. Staniforth 35
	Tuner v. Stannerun 30
	R.
O'Reilly v. Gonne 114 Oriental. The 55	Dv.
	Dans Hama Mha 60
Osgood v. Groning 66	Race Horse, The 60
Osmanli, The 55	Radamanthe, The 56
Oswald v. Vigne 116	Raine v. Bell 114
	Rajah, The
_	Ralli v. Janson 107
Р.	Randall v. Cochran 106
	Read $v$ . Bonham 105
Palmer v. Blackburn 108	Redman v. Wilson 96
v. Fenning 86	Reid v. Hoskins 38
v. Marshall 86	Renteria v. Ruding 62
Palyart v. Leckie 125, 129	Reyner $v$ . Hall 126
Parker v. Blakes 105	Rich v. Parker 112, 117
—— v. James 46	Ripley v. Scaife 62
Parkin v. Dick 123	Ritchie v. Atkinson 61
v. Tunno 90	Robertson v. French 86
Parmeter v. Cousin 86	Robinson v. Knight 60
v. Todhunter 102	v. Price 72
Parry v. Great Ship Co 132	Rodgers v. Comptoir d'Es-
Passmore v. Bousfield 6	compte de Paris 42
Paterson v. Ritchie 102	Rodocanachi v. Elliott 96
Patria, The 38, 64	Rogers v. Maylor 126
Pawson v. Watson 119	Rohl v. Parr 94
Paynter v. James 47	Roscow v. Corson 97
Pearl, The 29	Rosetto v. Gurney 103
Pellas & Co. v. Neptune Mar.	Ross v. Hunter 97
Insurance Company 131	v. Thwaite 72, 85
Penson v. Lee	Rotch v. Edie 86, 102
Pensher, The 14	Routh v. Thompson 127
Phillips v. Barber 98	Roux v. Salvador 101, 102
v. Headlam 45	Russian Steam Navigation
v. Irving 114	Company v. Silva 33
·· — · — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

8.	Stringer v. Eng. and Scot. Ins. Co 101
PAGE	Strong v. Natally 93
Salacia, The 31	Sutherland v. Pratt 88
San Roman, The 33, 46 Santa Cruz, The 70	Sweeting v. Pearce 132
Santa Cruz, The 70	•
Sappho, The 68	
Sarah, The 67	
Sarpedon, The 67	Т.
Sawtell v. London 121	
Scaife v. Tobin 42, 74	Tait v. Levi 115, 128
Schilizzi v. Derry 34	Tamvaco v. Simpson 47
Schiller, The 67	Tapley v. Martens 65
Scott v. Avery 131	Tappenden v. Randall 128
Sellar v. M'Vicar 91	Taylor v. Curtis 73
Sharp v. Gibbs	v. Dunbar 94, 98
Sharpe v. Gladstone 106	v. Liverpool and Gt.
Shawe v. Felton, 92, 94	W. Steam Co 95
Shephard v. De Bernales 65	v. Wilson 80
Shepherd v. Chewter 126	Teutonia, The 38, 46, 60
v. Kottgen 71	Thelusson v. Fletcher 79, 102
Shoolbred v. Nutt 121	Thiis v. Byers 34
Shore v. Bentall 96, 115	Thomas v. Lewis 7
Shroder v. Thompson 90	Thompson v. Brown 33
Shuster v. M'Kellar 40	v. Hopper 99
Sibbald v. Hill	v. Rowcroft 106 v. Royal Ex. Ass.
	- Co
	- Co
Skipton v. Thornton 64 Small v. Gibson 115, 116	Toulmin v. Anderson 97, 98
Smith v. Gould 58	Touteng v. Hubbard 38, 125
v. Kirby 51	Tredwen v. Holman 131
v. M'Niel 114	Trinity House v. Clarke 36
	Tyler v. Horne 128
Smurthwaite v. Wilkins 43	Tyrie v. Fletcher 127
Soares v. Rahn 55	Tyson v. Gurnie 112
Solly v. Whitmore 113	
Sophia Cook, The 55	
Sparrow v. Carruthers 93	
Stainbank v. Fenning 54	U.
v. Shepard 54	
Steel v. State Line Steamship	Udhe v. Walters 89
Co	Union Bank v. Lenanton 9
Stevens $v$ . Bagwell 18	Usher v. Noble 108
Stevenson v. Snow 128	
Stewart v. Bell 93, 121	
Stockdale v. Dunlop 80	▼.
Stone v. Mar. Ins. Co 91	
Story, Ex parte	Victor, The 15
Straker v. Kidd 42	Von Tungeln v. Dubois 119

# TABLE OF CASES.

PAGE
Williams v. Shee 114
Williamson v. Innes 87
Willison v. Patteson 78
Wilson v. Bank of Victoria 71
—— v. Ducket 128
v. Forster 18
v. Kymer 62
v. Marryatt 124
v. Royal Ex. Ass. Co. 128
Wolff v. Horncastle 84
Woodrop-Sims, The 13
Wooldridge v. Boydell 90
Woolley v. Reddelien 59
Wright v. Campbell 42
v. Shiffner 111
<b>Y.</b>
Yates v. Pym 89

# STATUTES CITED.

PAGE
21 Jas. 1, c. 16 (Stat. of Limitations)
22 & 23 Car. 2, c. 11 (An Act to prevent the delivery up of Merchant Ships)
4 & 5 Anne, c. 16 (An Act for the better advancement of Justice) 31
13 Geo. 2, c. 4) (A sta for the encourse several of Grown)
17 Geo. 2, c. 34 (Acts for the encouragement of Seamen) 70
19 Geo. 2, c. 37 (Insurance)
29 Geo. 2, c. 34
43 Geo. 3, c. 16 )
45 Geo. 3, c. 72 (Stats. relating to Seamen)
48 Geo. 3, c. 132
55 Geo. 3, c. 60 J
17 & 18 Vict. c. 104 (The Merchant Shipping Act, 1854) passim
18 & 19 Vict. c. 111 (Bills of Lading Amendment Act)40, 43
21 & 22 Vict. c. 106 (Indian Government Act)
24 Vict. c. 10 (The Admiralty Act, 1861) 14, 31, 51, 69
25 & 26 Vict. c. 63 (The Merchant Shipping Amendment Act) 10, 15, 49, 51
28 & 29 Vict. c. 96 (Amendment of Laws relating to Inland Revenue Act)
30 & 31 Vict. c. 23 (Customs and Inland Revenue Act) 83, 84, 88, 89
c. 124 (Merchant Shipping Act) 25, 29
31 & 32 Vict. c. 71 (County Courts Admiralty Jurisdiction) 30, 69
c. 86 (Policies of Marine Insurance Act) 81, 131
33 & 34 Vict. c. 97 (Stamp Duties)
34 Vict. c. 21 (Customs Income Tax Act)
35 & 36 Vict. c. 73 (Merchant Shipping and Passenger Amendment Act)
36 & 37 Vict. c. 66 (The Judicature Act) 30, 131
c. 85 (Merchant Shipping Amendment Act) 4
39 & 40 Vict. c. 80 (Merchant Shipping Amendment Act)23, 24, 45
c. 6 (Sea Insurances Amendment Act) 88
$\mathbf{N}.$

# AUTHORITIES REFERRED TO.

Abbott on Shipping.
Arnould on Marine Insurance.
Bacon's Abridgment.
Blackstone's Commentaries.
Comyns's Digest.
Malyne—Lex Mercatoria.
Molloy—De Jure Maritimo et Navali.
Marshall on Insurance.
Park on Insurance.
Smith's Mercantile Law.
Stevens on Average.

# ERRATUM.

Page 31-For "4 & 5 Anne, c. 3," read "4 & 5 Anne, c. 16."

[7.: under one of two reign ships. (a) either to

W. CHATTAIN ....

ors of denization, or imment; provided he ous, or a member of ou business in those

its head office in the ritish dependency.

1, 8, 18.

If the ship does not belong to a member of any one of these three classes, she is not a British ship, but a foreign ship.

A natural-born British subject, if he has taken the oath of allegiance to a foreign sovereign or state, cannot be an owner of a British ship; unless he afterwards take the oath of allegiance to the Queen and reside in British dominions, or be a member of a firm or factory carrying on business within them.

#### Section 2.

British ships have alone the right to assume the national flag and character, and to demand the protection it affords.

Notes.—The right to assume the national flag and to the protection that affords, are now the only privileges of a British ship. Formerly British ships had the exclusive right of importing goods into the United Kingdom and the colonies, and of carrying on the coasting trade (b).

# SECTION 3.

A British ship, to have the privileges mentioned in Section 2, must be registered (c); unless it be either

- (1.) A coasting or river vessel not exceeding fifteen tons;
- (2.) A coasting or fishing vessel, employed on the coast of Newfoundland or in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, and not exceeding thirty tons.

No ship is absolutely required to be registered, registration being only necessary to acquire the character and privileges of a British ship (c).

<sup>(</sup>b) Smith's Merc. Law, p. 172. (c) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 19.

However, no custom house officer shall grant a transire or clearance for a ship till the master declares her nationality (d). If such vessel try to sail without such transire, she may be detained. The persons to register British ships are the principal custom officers; or if, in the case of a British possession, there be no custom officer, the governor or administrator (e).

#### Section 4.

A British ship can be registered at any British port. Such port will then become her port of registry, or the port to which she belongs (f).

If she becomes, for the first time, the property of British owners at a foreign port, a provisional certificate of registration can be obtained from the British consulthere. Such certificate will be of force for six months, or till the ship reaches a British port of registry (g).

#### Section 5.

Before a ship can be registered as a British ship, she must be surveyed by a proper qualified officer, and in accordance with the regulations approved of by the Board She must also have her name and the name of Trade (h). of her port of registry on her stern, her name on her bows, her number and registered tonnage on her main beam, and on her stern and stem a scale of feet, denoting her draught

<sup>(</sup>d) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, ss. 19, 102. (e) 1b. ss. 30, 31; 21 & 22 Vict. c. 106. (f) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 33.

<sup>(</sup>h) Ib. ss. 29, 36; 35 & 36 Vict. c. 73, s. 3.

of water; and the owner must make a declaration setting forth certain particulars enumerated in 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, ss. 38 and 39. Altering or obliterating any of such marks renders the master of the vessel liable to a penalty of 100*l.*, unless such be done in order to avoid capture by an enemy. The Board of Trade can exempt any ships from the above regulations (i).

Any change in the master of the ship must be entered on the certificate of registry (k).

### SECTION 6.

If a registered ship be altered to such an extent as not to correspond with her description in the register, the registrar of the port at which she is altered must be applied to, to either register the alteration, or register the ship anew (l).

The registry of any British ship may be transferred from one port to another on the application of the parties interested; such transfer of the registry will not affect the rights of the owners or mortgagees of the ship (m).

### SECTION 7.

If the British flag be used on any ship owned, or even partly owned, by a person not entitled to own British ships, that ship will become forfeited to her Majesty, unless it be so used merely to avoid capture by an enemy; and it may be brought for adjudication before an Admiralty Court (n).

<sup>(</sup>i) 36 & 37 Vict. c. 85, s. 3.

<sup>(</sup>k) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 46, &c.; 35 & 36 Vict. c. 73, s. 4. (l) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, ss. 84, 85, 86, 87.

<sup>(</sup>m) Ib. ss. 89, 90, 91.

If the owner or master of a British ship conceals its British character or assumes a foreign character, the ship will become forfeited in the same manner (n).

#### SECTION 8.

If any unqualified transferee acquire, as owner, any interest in a ship using the British flag and assuming the character of a British ship, his interest will become forfeited (n).

NOTE.—An unqualified transferee is a person not a British subject, or a body corporate not having its head office in the United Kingdom or some British possession; vide Sect. 1.

#### SECTION 9.

The property in every British ship is considered to be in sixty-four parts; and no person can be registered as owner of any part, not being a sixty-fourth (o).

Not more than thirty-two persons can be registered as legal owners of a ship. However, any number of persons, not exceeding five, can be registered as joint owners of a ship, or of any share or number of shares in it; but then they cannot dispose in severalty of their respective interests in the vessel (o).

No trust can be entered on the register (p).

A corporation owning a British ship may be registered by its corporate name (q).

<sup>(</sup>n) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 103.

<sup>(</sup>o) Ib. s. 37.

<sup>(</sup>p) Ib. s. 43. (q) Ib. s. 37.

# CHAPTER II.

#### PART OWNERS AND SHIP'S HUSBAND.

#### SECTION 10.

Any part owner of a ship is liable, just as a partner, for all expenses incurred on its account; unless he can show that such expenses were not incurred on his own credit (a).

However, he is not liable for any admission or act of a co-owner, to which he was not a party.

#### ILLUSTRATION.

If one of the co-owners of a vessel own the cargo on board her, and such cargo be condemned as contraband, the condemnation will involve the forfeiture of his share and interest in the vessel. But the shares of the other co-owners will not be confiscated, provided they were not privy to the contraband goods being placed on board (b).

## Section 11.

If some of the co-owners pay a tradesman employed to repair their vessel a certain part of the cost corresponding to their shares, they will still be liable for the remainder of the cost; unless the tradesman release them on some valuable consideration being given, or by deed(c).

<sup>(</sup>a) Chappell v. Bray, 6 H. & N. 145.(b) The Jonge Tobias, 1 Rob. 329.

<sup>(</sup>c) Bacon's Ab. Merchant, D.; Passmore v. Bousfield, 1 Starkie, 296.

#### SECTION 12.

In the case of the vessel belonging to several co-owners, they generally elect one of their number to manage it exclusively. The owner so elected is termed the ship's husband or managing owner.

The powers of the ship's husband are generally determined by a special agreement made between him and the other co-owners.

In the absence of any such agreement, the ship's husband must see that the vessel is properly equipped, procure freights or charter-parties, adjust averages and freights, disburse and receive moneys on account of the ship, and keep the necessary books and accounts. The acts of a ship's husband, within the scope of his authority, will bind all the co-owners (d).

### SECTION 13.

If there be a special agreement, and under it the ship's husband has authority to do certain specific acts, he must not exceed his authority.

#### ILLUSTRATION.

If the ship's husband have the authority of the owners to make a charter-party, and make one accordingly, he will have no power without their express sanction to cancel it, though such cancellation be beneficial to the owners (e).

### SECTION 14.

The ship's husband has a right of action against each co-owner for a proportionate part of the costs incurred by him in equipping the vessel.

<sup>(</sup>d) Abbott, Shipping, p. 79; Sime v. Brittain, 4 Barn. & Adol. 375. (e) Thomas v. Lewis, 4 Ex. D. 18.

The ship's husband has also a lien on the freight for his disbursements on the ship's account; but he has not a charge on it (f).

#### SECTION 15.

If the co-owners cannot agree in the appointment of a ship's husband, the Admiralty Court will arrest the vessel if the majority of the co-owners wish to send it abroad, contrary to the will of the other co-owners, and so prevent its sailing.

Provided that if the majority give security in an amount corresponding to the value of the shares of the minority, either to bring back the vessel in safety, or to pay the minority the value of their shares, the court will allow the vessel to be employed by the majority.

Then if such security be given, and the vessel be sent abroad, the members of the minority will neither be entitled to any share in the profits of the venture, nor liable to make good any losses incurred (g).

In the case of the co-owners being equally divided, either party may ask the court to interfere in the above manner.

<sup>(</sup>f) Benyon v. Godden, 3 Ex. D. 263.

<sup>(</sup>g) Davis v. Johnson, 4 Sim. 539; Abbott on Shipping, pt. i. c. 3.

# CHAPTER III.

#### SALES AND MORTGAGES OF SHIPS.

#### Section 16.

A REGISTERED ship, or any share in it, can only be transferred by a bill of sale under seal, drawn up in the form prescribed by 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 55. The bill of sale must be attested by at least one witness, and registered by the registrar of the port at which the ship is registered (a).

If there are several bills of sale relating to the same vessel, or to the same shares in it, they must be registered in the order of their production to the registrar of the port (a).

A transferee of a British ship, or share in it, cannot be registered till he has made the declaration prescribed by 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 56, scheds. F. and G.

A vessel built to be sold to a foreigner, and to be delivered at a foreign port, is not a British ship, and therefore can be assigned without a bill of sale, and without being registered (b).

Mere registration of the bill of sale will not give any title to the ship or shares to a person not really entitled. But such person will be compelled in equity to execute a retransfer in favour of the real owner, and to account for

<sup>(</sup>a) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 57. (b) The Union Bank of London v. Lenanton, 3 C. P. D. 243.

all the earnings of the vessel while he was registered as owner; even though there has been no fraud (c).

#### SECTION 17.

The registrar of the port of registry can grant to the owner of a registered ship a certificate of mortgage or sale, authorizing certain persons specified in it to sell or mortgage the vessel at any place out of the country or possession in which the ship's port of registry is situated (d).

#### Section 18.

A British ship, or any share in her, can only be validly mortgaged in the form prescribed by 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 66, sched. I.

The form must contain all the particulars relating to the vessel, and must be under seal, and be attested and registered.

Provided that an absolute assignment may operate as a mortgage, if the parties clearly intended it to be a mortgage only (e).

#### SECTION 19.

If more than one mortgage of the same British ship, or of the same share in her, be registered, the mortgagess will have priority according to the dates of the registration of their respective mortgages, in spite of any notice, express or implied, which they may have had (f). Thus the priority

(f) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 69.

<sup>(</sup>c) Holderness v. Lamport, 29 Beav. 129; The Innisfallen, L. R., 1 A. & E. 72; 25 & 26 Vict. c. 63, s. 3.

<sup>(</sup>d) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, ss. 76-83. (e) Myers v. Willis, 17 C. B. 77; Hutchinson v. Wright, 25 Beav. 444; Ward v. Beck, 13 C. B., N. S. 668.

will not depend on the dates of the execution of the different mortgages.

### Section 20.

Every mortgagee, whose mortgage has been registered, can dispose of, or alienate the subject-matter of, his mortgage. Provided that if he be a puisne mortgagee, the consent of the first mortgagee be obtained to the alienation, unless the mortgaged ship, or share in it, be disposed of under the order of some court having jurisdiction in the matter (g).

#### Section 21.

The mortgagor of a British ship remains the owner of it till the mortgagee takes possession of the ship, except so far as may be requisite for the purposes of the security (h). Consequently the contracts of the mortgagor of a British ship, or share in it, made bond fide by him while in possession of the vessel for the employment of such vessel, will bind the mortgagee; provided they do not impair the security of the mortgagee (i).

# Section 22.

The mortgagee of a ship, or share in it, is entitled to the possession of the vessel or share, and all its earnings (k); subject to all legal liens (l).

<sup>(</sup>g) 17 & 18 Vict. c, 104, s. 71. (h) Ib. s. 70.

<sup>(</sup>i) Collins v. Lamport, 4 De G. J. & S. 500.

<sup>(</sup>k) The European & Australian R. M. Co. v. Royal Mail S. P. Co. 30 L. J., C. P. 247.

<sup>(</sup>I) Williams v. Allsup, 10 C. B., N. S. 417; The Mary Ann, L. R., 1 A. & E. 8; The Jenny Lind, L. R., 3 A. & E. 529.

The mortgagee immediately on taking possession becomes the owner of the ship or share, and as such is entitled to receive the freight, whether due or being earned by the vessel when he takes possession (m), or a proportionate part of it; and not by virtue of any antecedent contract or right (n).

The mortgagee of a British ship may use it as he pleases, provided he employs it *bond fide*, and with due regard for its safety (o).

#### SECTION 23.

When any registered mortgage is discharged, the registrar must enter such discharge on the register (p).

Any transmission of a mortgage on a British ship, or share in it, by the death, bankruptcy or insolvency of the mortgagee, or in consequence of the marriage of a female mortgagee, must also be registered (q).

On the mortgagor of a British ship, or any share or shares in it, becoming a bankrupt, the mortgagee will be preferred to the creditors' trustee, and the mortgaged property will not be considered as in the order and disposition of the bankrupt, within the meaning of the Bankruptcy Act, 1869 (r).

<sup>(</sup>m) Kerswill v. Bishop, 2 C. & J. 529; Brown v. Tanner, L. R., 3 Ch. 597; Gumm v. Tyrie, 6 B. & S. 298.

<sup>(</sup>n) Keith v. Burrows, 1 C. P. D. 722; 2 C. P. D. 163; 2 App. Cas. 636.

<sup>(</sup>o) De Mattos v. Gibson, 30 L. J., Ch. 145; Marriott v. The Anchor Reversionary Company, 30 L. J., Ch. 571.

<sup>(</sup>p) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 68.

<sup>(</sup>q) Ib. ss. 74, 75. (r) Ib. s. 72.

## CHAPTER IV.

COLLISION.

#### SECTION 24.

Ir two ships belonging to different owners collide, without the fault of the crew of either vessel, as where the collision is caused by a *vis major*, *e.g.* a storm, the owner of each vessel must bear any damage his vessel sustains, the owner of the other vessel not being liable at all (a).

If the collision were occasioned through the fault of both vessels, the loss sustained by each vessel must be apportioned between their owners. Thus the owner of one of the colliding vessels will be liable for half the loss sustained by the other (a).

If the collision be caused by the act of the injured party alone, he must bear the loss, and the other is not liable (a).

If the collision were due entirely to one vessel, the owner of the injured vessel can recover full compensation for the damage from the owner of the former (a); subject to the provisions of the statutes which limit the liability of shipowners. (See Sect. 32.)

# SECTION 25.

Where the collision is due to the fault of one vessel only, the compensation recoverable by the other will consist of

<sup>(</sup>a) Per Lord Stowell, in the case of the Woodrop-Sime, 2 Dods. 83: recognized by House of Lords in Haye v. Le Neve, 2 Shaw, Scotch Ap. Cas. 395.

the loss caused directly by the injury to the ship, and of the loss occasioned by her detention for repairs. Provided that no damage occurring to her after the collision, caused by a want of ordinary skill on the part of the master or crew of the injured vessel, will be included (b).

# SECTION 26.

A collision is the subject of an action in rem in the Admiralty Division of the High Court of Justice. The ship responsible for the collision is arrested at commencement of the action, and detained till judgment is pronounced, unless satisfaction be given, or security for costs and the event of the action.

#### Section 27.

The High Court of Justice has jurisdiction over any claim for damages caused by a collision between two vessels, though the collision be caused by a foreign vessel. Such vessel may be arrested and detained till satisfaction be made, or security given to abide the event of the action, and for costs (c).

#### Section 28.

The owners of the vessel responsible for the collision will be liable, even though it was let under a charter-party, and the charterers had the entire management of it at the date

 <sup>(</sup>b) The Linda, Swab. 306; The Pensher, Swab. 211.
 (c) 24 Vict. c. 10, s. 7; and 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 527.

of the collision, and the collision arose through their act or negligence (d).

### Section 29.

Whenever any vessel shall meet another ship, proceeding in a contrary direction to itself, so that if they were to continue their respective courses they would in all probability collide, the helms of both vessels must be put to port, so that each vessel may pass on the port side of the other (e).

## SECTION 30.

In the event of a collision between two vessels, the master or other person in charge of either ship must render any assistance he can to the other ship and her crew and passengers, and also give to the person in charge of the other ship the name of his own vessel and of her port of registry; and in default, he will be held liable for the collision in the absence of proof to the contrary; and his certificate may be cancelled or suspended (f).

## SECTION 31.

The cargo on board the vessel at the date of the collision is never liable for the damage caused, though it belong to the owner of the vessel (g). But the owner of the cargo, if lost or damaged by the collision, can recover damages from the owners of the vessel at fault (h).

<sup>(</sup>d) The Ticonderoga, Swab. 215. (e) 25 & 26 Vict. c. 63, sched. C.; see The James, Swab. 55; The Haloyon, 1 Lush. 100.

<sup>(</sup>f) 36 & 37 Vict. c. 85, s. 16. (g) The Victor, 1 Lush. 72. (h) Abbott, Shipping, pt. vi. ch. 3.

### SECTION 32.

The liability of the owners of the vessel responsible for the collision is limited to an aggregate amount not exceeding 151. for each ton of the vessel's tonnage in respect of loss of life or personal injury, either alone or together with loss or damage to the vessel, boats, goods or other things. And in respect of loss and damage to ships, goods, merchandize or other things, whether accompanied or not by loss of life or personal injury, their liability is limited to an aggregate amount, not exceeding 81. for each ton of the ship's tonnage (i).

## SECTION 33.

The owner of a vessel sunk by an unavoidable accident in a public river is not bound to remove it; but while he continues in possession and control of it, he must use due care to prevent other vessels being injured by their striking against it (k).

<sup>(</sup>i) 25 & 26 Vict. c. 63, s. 54.
(k) Brown v. Mallett, 5 C. B. 599; White v. Crisp, 10 Exch. 312.

# CHAPTER V.

## CAPTURE AND PRIZE.

## SECTION 34.

THE property in a ship captured from the enemy does not vest in her captors, unless and until it has been condemned by a competent court of the capturing power, constituted according to the rules of International Law.

Consequently, before condemnation such a ship cannot be registered as a British ship.

#### SECTION 35.

A vessel carried after its capture into a neutral port, cannot, while it remains there, be validly condemned by a court sitting in the country of the captors (a).

## SECTION 36.

A minister or consul of the capturing power, accredited to the neutral country to which the prize has been taken, cannot legally condemn it.

But if the state to which the prize is taken be in alliance with the captors, a valid sentence of condemnation can be

<sup>(</sup>a) The Heinrich and Maria, 4 Rob. A. R. 43; The Flad Oyen, 1 Rob. A. R. 135.

passed either in that state by a consul of the capturing power, or in the territory of the captors (b).

Of course, condemnation by a competent court, sitting in the territory of the captors or of a co-belligerent (c), of a vessel captured from an enemy, and taken to a port in the country of the captors, is valid.

## SECTION 37.

The seizure and sale of a vessel by a neutral state is of course illegal. Therefore no property in her will pass to the purchaser, but she will remain the property of the person who owned her at the date of the capture (d).

## SECTION 38.

Capture by pirates will not deprive the owner of his property in the vessel or goods captured (e).

#### SECTION 39.

Immediately a vessel captured from an enemy is condemned by a competent court, the property in her vests in the captors, and relates back to the date of the capture.

Hence an assignment of it in the interim will be valid; and the assignee will have a good title to it (f).

<sup>(</sup>b) The Christopher, 2 Rob. A. R. 209; Oddy v. Bovill, 2 East, 473.
(c) The Christopher, 2 Rob. A. R. 209.

<sup>(</sup>d) Wilson v. Forster, 6 Taunt. 25. (e) Abbott, Shipping, Pt. I. Ch. I. s. 3.

<sup>(</sup>f) Stevens v. Baywell, 15 Ves. 139; Alexander v. Duke of Wellington, 2 Russell & M. 35.

## CHAPTER VI.

## CONTRACTS WITH SEAMEN.

## SECTION 40.

EVERY master of a vessel except a coasting vessel of under eighty tons, must enter into an agreement with every seaman he carries to sea from any port in the United Kingdom.

Such agreement must contain:-

- (1) The nature and duration (if possible) of the intended voyage and engagement;
  - (2) The number and description of the crew;
  - (3) The time when each seaman is to begin work;
  - (4) The capacity in which each seaman is to serve;
  - (5) The wages of each seaman;
- (6) A scale of the provisions which are to be allowed to each seaman;
- (7) Any regulations as to conduct on board, and as to fines and other punishments for misconduct, sanctioned by the Board of Trade (a).

## SECTION 41.

In the case of foreign-going ships, every agreement made in the United Kingdom must be signed by each seaman

<sup>(</sup>a) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 149.

before a shipping master, who must ascertain that the seaman understands it, and must attest the document (b).

However, running agreements extending over two or more voyages may be entered into with the crew, on certain terms being complied with, in the case of foreign-going ships making voyages averaging less than six months in duration (c).

## SECTION 42.

In the case of home-trade ships, crews or individual seamen may be engaged before a shipping master, as in the case of foreign-going ships. If they are not so engaged, the master must explain the agreement to them as soon as possible; and the seaman must then sign it before a witness, who must attest his signature (d).

# Section 43.

When several home-trade vessels belong to same owner, he may make the agreement with the seamen, instead of the master, and may engage the seamen to serve in any of his ships, provided the names of the ships and the nature of the service are specified in the agreement (e).

# SECTION 44.

The penalty incurred by a master of a foreign-going ship, or by the master or owner of a home-trade ship, if he carries a seaman to sea without the proper agreement, is a sum not exceeding 5l.(f).

<sup>(</sup>b) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 150.

<sup>(</sup>c) Ib. ss. 151 and 152. (d) Ib. s. 155.

<sup>(</sup>e) Ib. s. 156. (f) Ib. s. 157.

# Section 45.

<u>:</u> -

• : .

τ,

:2

33

į

Seamen engaged in any colony for a British ship must be engaged before a shipping master, or, if none, before an officer of customs (q).

Seamen engaged for a British ship in a foreign port must be engaged with the sanction of and before the British consul, if there be one at that port (h).

## SECTION 46.

The master of a foreign-going ship must within fortyeight hours after its arrival at its destination in the United Kingdom, or on the discharge of the crew, whichever occurs first, deliver, under a penalty not exceeding 51., the agreement to the shipping master there, who must give the master a certificate of such delivery.

No officer of customs may clear any foreign-going ship inwards without the production of such certificate (i).

# Section 47.

In the case of home-trade vessels of above eighty tons, no agreement with her seamen shall extend beyond the following 30th of June, or 31st of December, or the arrival of the ship at her destination in the United Kingdom, or the discharge of her cargo there.

The master or owner of every such ship must, under a penalty not exceeding 51., within twenty-one days after the 30th June and 31st December in each year, deliver to some

<sup>(</sup>g) Ib. s. 159. (k) Ib. s. 160. (i) Ib. s. 161.

shipping master in the United Kingdom every agreement made within the preceding six months; and also produce to the shipping master, if such vessel carry passengers, the certificates of the said master and his mate.

The shipping master must then give the master or owner a certificate of such delivery and production. The vessel cannot be granted a clearance or transire till such certificate is produced to the officer of customs (k).

## Section 48.

A seaman may prove the contents of his agreement without producing or giving notice to produce the agreement(l).

Every erasure or alteration in the agreement will be inoperative, unless attested by a shipping master or other public functionary to have been made with the consent of all parties (l).

#### Section 49. •

Contracts with seamen are not liable to stamp duty (m).

## SECTION 50.

The master must, at the commencement of the voyage or engagement, post up a copy of the agreement in a part of the ship accessible to the crew, under a penalty not exceeding 5l.(n).

<sup>(</sup>k) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 162.

<sup>(</sup>l) Ib. ss. 163, 165.

<sup>(</sup>m) 1b. s. 2; 33 & 34 Vict. c. 97, sched. (n) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 166.

#### Section 51.

In every engagement of a seaman, or apprenticeship of an apprentice on board any ship, the owner is impliedly bound to use all reasonable means to insure the seaworthiness of the vessel for the voyage, and to keep her seaworthy during it (o); notwithstanding any agreement to the contrary.

## SECTION 52.

If a seaman or apprentice, after making a binding engagement, desert his vessel, he becomes liable to a term of imprisonment, with or without hard labour, not exceeding twelve weeks, and to forfeiture of all his wages and of any effects left on board.

If he neglect or decline to join his ship, or if he absent himself without leave from the ship, without reasonable cause, he becomes liable to ten weeks' imprisonment with or without hard labour, and to forfeit two days' pay.

For wilful disobedience, he becomes liable to four weeks' imprisonment with or without hard labour, and to forfeit two days' pay.

For assaulting his master, or the mate, or for conspiring to neglect his duty, or for wilfully damaging the ship, or for embezzling or wilfully damaging her stores or her cargo, he becomes liable to twelve weeks' imprisonment with or without hard labour.

If he cause damage to the master or owner in consequence of his being convicted of smuggling, his wages, or part of them, may be retained in satisfaction thereof (p).

<sup>(</sup>o) 39 & 40 Vict. c. 80, s. 5. (p) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 243.

#### SECTION 53.

Any seaman or apprentice deserting from or refusing to join his ship, or absenting himself without leave, may be arrested by the owner, master, mate, ship's husband, or consignee, without a warrant, and be taken before some court having jurisdiction, which court can either imprison the seaman or order him to be conveyed on board, and to pay the costs out of his wages (q).

Provided that if he were arrested on insufficient grounds, the master, owner, mate, ship's husband or consignee who arrested him shall incur a penalty of 20l. The infliction of this penalty, however, shall bar any action for false imprisonment on account of the arrest (q).

If any seaman be imprisoned for desertion, or disobedience, or neglecting to join his ship, and if during such imprisonment, and before his engagement is at an end, his services are wanted on board, any justice may order him to be conveyed on board his ship, though the term of his imprisonment has not expired (r).

#### SECTION 54.

The seaman may refuse to join the vessel because it is unseaworthy, or complain to the Board of Trade that she is unsafe. Then the Board of Trade may detain the ship for the purpose of her being surveyed (s).

#### Section 55.

The seaman is in duty bound to exert himself to the utmost in the service of his vessel.

<sup>(</sup>q) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, ss. 246 and 247.

<sup>(</sup>r) Ib. s. 248. (s) 39 & 40 Vict. c. 80, s. 6; and see ss. 10 and 11 as to costs.

Consequently a promise of extra pay as an inducement to extra work on his part (e.g. during a storm, or on account of the desertion of some of the crew) is nudum pactum, and therefore void (t).

## SECTION 56.

If a seaman who has signed an agreement be improperly discharged before the commencement of the voyage, or before one month's wages are earned, he can recover compensation not exceeding one month's wages (u).

## SECTION 57.

A seaman is entitled to his wages, provided he remain on board, though he cannot work through illness or hurt(v), unless caused by his own wilful act or default(x), or during an embargo, provided the vessel afterwards completes her voyage(y).

If a seaman die during the voyage for which he was engaged, his personal representatives may recover a proportionate part of his wages (z).

### SECTION 58.

A seaman's right to wages and provisions commences either when he begins work, or at the date fixed in the

<sup>(</sup>t) Harris v. Watson, 1 Peake, 102; Harris v. Carter, 3 E. & B. 559; Frazer v. Hatton, 2 C. B., N. S. 512.

<sup>(</sup>u) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 167. (v) Abbott, Pt. V. Ch. II. s. 1.

<sup>(</sup>x) 30 & 31 Vict. c. 124, s. 8.

<sup>(</sup>y) Beals v. Thompson, 4 East, 546; Johnson v. Broderick, 4 East, 566.

<sup>(</sup>z) Armstrong v. Smith, 1 Bos. & Pul. N. R. 299; but see Cutter v. Powell, 6 T. R. 320.

agreement for his commencement of work, or for his presence on board, whichever occurs first (a).

## SECTION 59.

Any allotment by any seaman of any part of his wages during his absence, made at the commencement of the voyage, must be inserted in the agreement.

All allotment notes must be in the form prescribed by the Board of Trade (b).

#### SECTION 60.

Seamen discharged in the United Kingdom from a British foreign-going ship must be discharged and paid off by the master or owner before a shipping master, who has power to decide any question between them, which both parties agree by writing to submit to him(c). Seamen of home trading ships may be discharged and paid in a similar manner.

#### Section 61.

In any discharge and settlement of wages before a shipping master, the master or owner and each seaman must sign a mutual release of all claims in respect of the past voyage or engagement before the shipping master, who must attest it (d).

The master must also make and sign a report of the conduct and qualifications of the man discharged (e).

<sup>(</sup>a) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 181.

<sup>(</sup>b) Ib. s. 168. (c) Ib. ss. 170, 173 and 174.

## SECTION 62.

If a seaman be discharged abroad, the master must both give him a certificate of discharge and furnish him with employment in a British vessel sailing to the port at which he was shipped, or pay his expenses home, in addition to paying him the wages due to him (f).

Wilfully leaving behind a seaman or apprentice during the voyage, is a misdemeanor (q).

If a master of a British ship discharge or leave behind a seaman or apprentice anywhere without the written sanction of a shipping master or the British consular officer, he commits a misdemeanor (h).

## SECTION 63.

If a seaman or apprentice be improperly discharged or left abroad, his wages and the cost of providing for him and sending him home, shall be a charge on the ship, whether British or foreign, to which he belonged; and may be recovered by the Board of Trade (i).

#### SECTION 64.

A seaman or apprentice on board a British or colonial ship is entitled to his wages though the freight has not been earned; provided that if vessel were lost, it is not proved that he did not exert himself to the utmost to save the ship, its cargo, and stores (k).

<sup>(</sup>f) Ib. s. 205. (g) Ib. s. 206. (h) Ib. s. 207.

Ib. ss. 183 and 109.

But in the case of a foreign vessel, it seems that his wages will depend on freight being earned.

## SECTION 65.

An agreement by a seaman to forfeit his wages if the vessel be lost, or to give up his lien on the ship or his action for his wages, or to abandon any right he may have in the nature of salvage, is void (l).

## SECTION 66.

If the ship be wrecked or lost, or if the seaman be left on shore under a certificate of illness or incompetency, the seaman can only claim wages for the period he has served (m).

## SECTION 67.

Discharge of a seaman for gross misconduct (n); or neglect or refusal to fight against pirates who have attacked the ship (o), will be met with forfeiture of his wages (n).

A naval court having jurisdiction over such offences, may discharge any seaman and order his wages to be forfeited to compensate the owner.

#### SECTION 68.

A seaman will forfeit his wages, if he desert from the vessel(p).

<sup>(</sup>l) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 182. (m) Ib. s. 185. (n) The Blake, 1 Wm. Rob. Ad. R. 73.

<sup>(</sup>o) 22 & 23 Car. 2, c. 11, s. 7. (p) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, ss. 243, 249, 250.

Leaving the vessel with the master's permission and refusing to return when ordered, is desertion (q).

But quitting the ship without leave for a temporary purpose, as to get legal advice as to his articles (r), or on account of improper treatment, e.g., if the master fail to supply him with proper provisions (s), is not desertion.

## SECTION 69.

If, in any proceeding relating to his wages, it is proved that the seaman or apprentice during the voyage was convicted by any competent tribunal of any offence and properly punished, the court trying the case may order a part of the wages due to him, not exceeding 31., to be applied in compensating the master for his costs in procuring such conviction (t).

## SECTION 70.

A seaman will forfeit his wages by neglecting or refusing to work; but not by reason of his illness, unless caused by his own wilful act or default, or of his death, or of his entering the Queen's service (u).

## SECTION 71.

A seaman or apprentice may sue in a summary manner before two justices of the peace, to recover wages due to him not exceeding 50l.(x).

<sup>(</sup>q) The Bulmer, 1 Hagg. A. R. 163; The Pearl, 5 Rob. Ad. R. 224. r) The Westmoreland, 1 Wm. Rob. Ad. R. 216; Button v. Thompson, L. R., 4 C. P. 330.

<sup>(</sup>s) Edward v. Trevellick, 4 E. & B. 59; The Castilia, 1 Hagg.

<sup>(</sup>t) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 251. (u) 15. ss. 186 and 214; 30 & 31 Vict. c. 124, s. 8. (x) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 188.

If the wages due are below 50l., they cannot be recovered in the High Court of Justice; unless the owner of the ship becomes bankrupt or insolvent, or unless the vessel is arrested and sold by order of the court, or unless the justices refer the matter to the High Court, or unless neither the owner nor master is or resides within twenty miles of the place where the seaman or apprentice is discharged (y).

## Section 72.

A seaman engaged for a voyage terminating in the United Kingdom may not sue in any court abroad for his wages, unless he is discharged abroad or proves such illusage on the part of the master, or by his authority, as to warrant reasonable apprehension of danger to his life (z).

## SECTION 73.

If the wages due to the seaman or officer of a vessel exceed 50l, they can be recovered in the Admiralty Division of the High Court of Justice (a).

The vessel may be arrested by the process of the court, as a security for the wages; and the master or the owners may be cited to answer for them (b).

If the wages claimed do not exceed 150*l*., they may, if the parties agree, be sued for in the county court, or in an inferior court, having an admiralty jurisdiction (c).

An appeal will lie to the High Court if the amount decreed to be due exceeds 50l.(c).

<sup>(</sup>y) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 189.

<sup>(</sup>a) 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66, ss. 16 and 34.

<sup>(</sup>b) Abbott, Pt. V. Ch. IV. s. 3; Bens v. Parr, 2 Ld. Raym. 1206. (c) 31 & 32 Vict. c. 71, ss. 3 and 31.

#### Section 74.

A seaman cannot recover his wages after the lapse of six years from when they became due, except in cases of disability (d).

### SECTION 75.

A seaman can sue either the master or the owners of the vessel to recover his wages (e).

The onus of producing the agreement made between the seaman and his employer lies on the employer (f).

## SECTION 76.

The master has the same rights, liens and remedies to recover his wages as a common seaman has (g).

The master has a lien on the vessel and freight for his wages and any necessary or usual disbursements made by him on account of the ship (h).

The master's lien will have priority over a mortgage (i), even though the master be a part owner (k), unless he be a party to the mortgage (l).

<sup>(</sup>d) 4 & 5 Anne, c. 3, ss. 17—19; 21 Jas. 1, c. 16, ss. 3 and 7. (e) The Salacia, 32 L. J., Adm. 41; Abbott, Pt. V. Ch. IV. s. 3.

<sup>(</sup>f) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 165; Bowman v. Manzleman, 2 Camp. 315.

<sup>(</sup>g) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 191. (h) 24 & 25 Vict. c. 10, s. 10.

<sup>(</sup>i) The Mary Ann, L. R., 1 A. & E. 8.

<sup>(</sup>k) The Feronia, L. R., 2 A. & E. 65. (l) The Jenny Lind, L. R., 3 A. & E. 529.

# CHAPTER VII.

## CHARTER PARTIES.

# SECTION 77.

CONTRACTS by owners of goods or their agents with shipowners or their agents for the conveyance of their goods in the latter's vessels are termed contracts of affreightment.

Contracts of affreightment are of two kinds, viz.: (1) contracts of affreightment by charter-party (vide sect. 78); and (2) contracts for conveyance of goods in a general ship (vide sect. 92).

## SECTION 78.

A contract of affreightment by charter-party is a contract by which the owner of a ship or his agent lets the ship, or some principal part of it, to a merchant for the conveyance of his goods on a certain voyage to one or more places, in consideration of a certain sum being paid for the hire of the vessel (a).

The charter-party is generally under seal, though neither a deed, nor even a written instrument, is absolutely necessary, and generally executed by the owner of the ship, or by the master, if made abroad. If a charter-party made

<sup>(</sup>a) Abbott, Pt. IV. Ch. I.

by the master of the vessel be drawn up in the form of a deed, the owner of the ship is not liable on the covenants; unless the master had authority by deed thus to execute a charter-party (b).

An agent to secure himself against liability on the charter-party, should contract in the name of his principal, and sign "per procuration of ———."

## Section 79,

A charter-party cannot be varied by parol evidence (c), though its terms may, as those of other mercantile instruments, be explained by custom of trade (d). Therefore if an agent execute the charter-party as owner, the real owner cannot sue on it (c). The terms of a charter-party may be liberally construed, provided that the construction must not be inconsistent with their obvious meaning.

#### Section 80.

The usual clause in a charter-party exonerating the owner of ship from liability, if prevented from performing his contract "by restraint of princes," will only cover an actual (f), and not a mere anticipated (g), restraint. It will only avail for the benefit of the owner, and not of the shipper.

 <sup>(</sup>b) Horsley v. Rush & Tolson, cited in Harrison v. Jackson, 7 T. R. 207.
 (c) Gibbon v. Young, 2 B. Moore, 224; Thompson v. Brown, 7 Taunt.
 655.

<sup>(</sup>d) Cuthbert v. Cumming, 11 Exch. 405; Greaves v. Legg, 11 Exch. 642; Russian Steam Navigation Co. v. Silva, 13 C. B., N. S. 610.

 <sup>(</sup>e) Humble v. Hunter, 12 Q. B. 310.
 (f) The San Roman, L. R., 3 A. & E. 583; Cunningham v. Dunn, 3 C. P. D. 443.

<sup>(</sup>g) Atkinson v. Ritchie, 10 East, 530; Crow v. Falk, 8 Q. B. 467.

Another clause, extending the exoneration in the event of "dangers and accidents of the seas, rivers and navigation," only applies to a continuing obstacle to the completion of the voyage, and not to a temporary one (h).

#### SECTION 81.

If the freighter makes the usual covenant to load and unload within a certain time, and in default to pay a certain sum for each day's delay, termed demurrage, he must pay it, if he make default, even though the delay (which is also called demurrage) were unavoidable (i).

But if the delay be occasioned not by any default in loading the ship, but by her not being able to sail when loaded, as if she be detained by a frost or by an embargo, demurrage is not payable (j).

And if the owners of the ship interrupt the loading or unloading by their wrongful acts, they will not be entitled to demurrage (k).

The clause of demurrage should state whether the days mentioned in it are intended to be working or running days. If it does not, it will be understood to mean running days (1), unless some custom exist to the contrary, as in London (m).

<sup>(</sup>h) The General Steam Navigation Co. v. Slipper, 11 C. B., N. S. 493;

Schilizi v. Derry and Others, 4 E. & B. 873.
(i) Barker v. Hodgson, 3 M. & S. 267; Thiis v. Byers, 1 Q. B. D. 244; Waugh v. Morris, L. R., 8 Q. B. 202; Jones v. Adamson, 1 Ex. D. 60.

 <sup>(</sup>j) Pringle v. Mollett, 6 M. & W. 80.
 (k) Benson v. Blunt, 1 Q. B. 870.

<sup>(</sup>l) Brown v. Johnson, 10 M. & W. 331.

<sup>(</sup>m) Cochrane v. Retberg, 3 Esp. 121.

#### SECTION 82.

If the freighter fail to load within the time agreed on, the master may of course sail (n). Then the freight payable will be reduced by any cargo which may have been embarked (o), though such cargo be not the one stipulated for; unless the shipper agreed to pay a certain sum as forfeiture, on his default. For then the shipowner can claim that sum, as well as whatever else the ship might earn, though these two sums together exceed the freight agreed on (p).

## SECTION 83.

Breach by shipowner of his covenant in the charterparty to sail by a fixed day, will free the merchant from his obligation to provide a cargo; the sailing on or before the fixed day being a condition precedent (q). If the vessel quits her moorings and is ready to sail by the fixed day, and the master bonâ fide intends to commence the voyage at the stipulated time, the covenant is complied with, though the voyage be subsequently delayed by an unforeseen occurrence (r).

## Section 84.

If the ship be described in the charter-party as being of a particular class, or in a particular position, it will amount to a warranty. Such warranty, however, will not be a

<sup>(</sup>n) Bradford v. Williams, L. R., 7 Exch. 259. (o) Puller v. Staniforth, 11 East, 232.

<sup>(</sup>p) Bell v. Puller, 2 Taunt. 285.

<sup>(</sup>q) Glaholm v. Hays, 2 M. & G. 257.

<sup>(</sup>r) Moir v. Royal Exch. Ass. Co., 4 Camp. 84; Lang v. Anderdon, 3 B. & C. 495; Sharp v. Gibbs, 1 H. & N. 801; Gen. Steam Nav. Co. v. Sapper, 11 C. B., N. S. 493.

continuing warranty, but only applies to the time when the charter-party was drawn up (s).

#### ILLUSTRATIONS.

- 1. Description of a ship in a charter-party as A1 is a warranty of her being so classed.
- 2. Description of a ship in a charter-party as "now at sea, having sailed three weeks ago," is a warranty. Therefore, if she did not sail then, charterer will be discharged (t).

# SECTION 85.

Whether under the charter-party the possession of the vessel passes to the merchant, so as to make him owner pro hdc vice, depends on its terms. This is an important question, as the shipowner's lien for his freight may depend on it.

#### ILLUSTRATION.

The possession and temporary ownership of a vessel, let to the Transport Board, was held to pass to the king, on account of the services for which she was hired (u).

### SECTION 86.

If a person carries his own goods in his own ship, there can be no freight, for a shipowner cannot contract with himself to pay freight; but only an increased value in the goods, due to the carriage of them.

Consequently, though a purchase or mortgage of a ship, as a general rule, carries freight which is being carried, it will not in this instance give any claim as against the shipowner for the carriage of his goods, nor will it give any lien on the goods for freight (x).

<sup>(</sup>s) French v. Newgass, 3 C. P. D. 163.

<sup>(</sup>i) Ollies v. Booker, 1 Exch. 416. (ii) Trinity House v. Clarke, 4 M. & S. 288. (iv) Per Cookburn, C. J., Gumm v. Tyrie, 4 B. & S. 680; 6 B. & S. 298.

But the shipowner will become liable for the freight, if he makes third persons who have advanced him money the consignees of such goods, and if the goods are by the bill of lading deliverable to their order (y).

#### SECTION 87.

If the charter-party does not provide to the contrary, the law of the state to which the ship belongs will govern as to the liability for sea damage and other accidents.

## SECTION 88.

A charter-party is liable to a stamp duty of 6d.

It may be stamped within seven days on payment of the duty and of a penalty of 4s. 6d., or within one month from execution on payment of the duty and a penalty of 10l.; but it cannot be stamped after one month from execution.

If the charter-party be executed abroad, it may be stamped within ten days from its arrival here, and before any one here has executed it (z).

## SECTION 89.

Contracts of affreightment, besides being capable of rescission by the mutual consent of the parties, become *ipso* jure rescinded, if made illegal by a declaration of the government issued before their performance.

#### ILLUSTRATIONS.

If the country to which the vessel belongs declare war against the

<sup>(</sup>y) Weguelin v. Cellier, L. R., 6 E. & I. Ap. 286.
(z) 33 & 34 Vict. c. 97, ss. 66—68.

state to which she is bound, before the performance of the contract, the contract of affreightment will be rescinded (a).

But if, instead of a declaration of war, an embargo only is imposed, there will be no rescission of the contract, but only a suspension of it (b); unless the embargo were meant as an act of hostility, and the object of the yoyage has been lost in consequence of it (c).

## SECTION 90.

The contract of affreightment will also *ipso facto* be rescinded, if the government prohibit the exportation of the various commodities of which the cargo is to be composed (d).

# SECTION 91.

It is illegal to endeavour to enter a blockaded port.

After due notification of the blockade of a certain port, to sail with the intention of violating the blockade is illegal (e). But merely sailing to the port, without any premeditated intention of violating the blockade, is not illegal (f).

<sup>(</sup>a) Reid v. Hoskins, 4 E. & B. 979; Esposito v. Bowden, 7 E. & B. 763; The Teutonia, L. R., 3 Ad. & E. 394.

<sup>100; 116 1</sup>eutoma, II. R., 5 Ad. & E. 554. (b) Hadley v. Clarke, 8 T. R. 259; The Patria, L. R., 3 A. & E.

<sup>(</sup>c) Touteng v. Hubbard, 3 B. & P. 291.

<sup>(</sup>d) See Barker v. Hodgson, 3 M. & S. 267. (e) The Neptunus, 2 Rob. 110.

<sup>(</sup>f) Medeiros v. Hill, 8 Bing. 234, per Tindal, C. J.

## CHAPTER VIII.

# BILLS OF LADING.

## SECTION 92.

When the owners and master of a ship agree with different merchants to convey their goods to the port to which she is going to sail, the contract is termed a contract for conveyance in a general ship.

The terms of the contract are regulated by the bill of lading, an instrument specifying the ship, goods, place of delivery, freight, primage and average, as well as the shipper and consignee.

Primage is a sum agreed to be paid by the freighter or the consignee to the master for his trouble in shipping the goods.

### Section 93.

The effect of a contract for conveyance in a general ship is to make the owner liable as a common carrier; and he therefore becomes an insurer of the goods carried (a). Even though a special clause in the bill of lading free the shipowner from liability even in respect of the perils caused by the negligence of his servants, he is bound to provide a seaworthy vessel (b).

<sup>(</sup>a) Nugent v. Smith, 1 C. P. D. 19, 423.

<sup>(</sup>b) Steel v. The State Line Steamship Co., 3 App. Ca. 72.

#### Section 94.

The master must sign the bill of lading, and deliver it up to the holder of the receipt given for the goods when they were shipped, on such receipt being given back to him (c).

This is the usual course. Consequently, a ship master will not make the owner liable by signing bills of lading for goods never shipped; even to a *bond fide* indorsee for valuable consideration (d).

## SECTION 95.

Every bill of lading in the hands of a consignee or indorsee for value, representing goods to have been shipped on board a vessel, is conclusive evidence of such shipment as against the master or other person signing it, even though such goods or part of them have not been shipped; unless the holder of the bill of lading had actual notice at the time of receiving the same that the goods had not been shipped (e).

But as between the shipper and the shipowner, the bill of lading is not conclusive (vide Sect. 110) (f).

#### Section 96.

The bill of lading must have a 6d. stamp. It cannot be stamped after execution.

Executing an unstamped bill of lading is punishable with a fine of 50l.(g).

<sup>(</sup>c) Shuster v. M'Kellar, 7 E. & B. 704; Hathesing v. Laing, L. R., 17 Eq. 92.

<sup>(</sup>a) Grant v. Norway, 10 C. B. 665; M'Lean v. Fleming, L. R., 2 H. L. Sc. Ca. 128.

<sup>(</sup>e) 18 & 19 Vict. c. 111, s. 3; Brown v. Powell Coal Co., L. R., 10 C. P. 562.

<sup>(</sup>f) Bates v. Todd, 1 Moo. & Rob. 106. (g) 32 & 33 Vict. c. 97, sched., and s. 56.

### SECTION 97.

If the bill of lading, instead of being made out for delivery to "A. B. or his assigns" as is usual, be made out for delivery "to —— order or to —— assigns," it will show that the consignor, especially if he be also an unpaid vendor, intends to reserve his right of property in the goods shipped, and his right to transfer them by indorsing the bill of lading. Then the goods will remain the consignor's (g), till he indorses the bill of lading and the indorsee accepts it; and he can sell them if payment of the price be refused (h); or alter their destination (i).

Nores.-The usual form of a bill of lading is the following:-

J. S. "Shipped in good order by A. B., merchant, in and upon No. 1 the good ship called the Betsey Jane, whereof C. D. is to 10. master, now riding at anchor in the river Thames, and bound for Valparaiso in Chili, ten bales containing thirty pieces of silk, marked and numbered as per margin, and are to be delivered in the like good order and condition at Valparaiso aforesaid (the act of God, the king's enemies, fire and all and every other dangers and accidents of the seas, rivers and navigation, of whatsoever nature and kind soever excepted) unto E. F., merchant there or his assigns, he or they paying freight for the said goods ——per piece freight, with primage and average accustomed. In witness whereof, the master or purser of the said ship hath affirmed to three bills of lading of this tenor and date; one of which bills being accomplished, the other two to stand void.

DATER at London, the 9th day of March, 1879."

## SECTION 98.

If the bill of lading provide for the payment of freight or demurrage by the consignee, as it does occasionally,

<sup>(</sup>g) Wait v. Baker, 2 Exch. 1; Hoare v. Dresser, 7 H. L. Ca. 290; Ogg v. Shuter, 1 C. P. D. 47.

<sup>(</sup>h) Ogg v. Shuter, vide sup. (i) Ellershaw v. Magniac, 6 Exch. 570 n.; Ogg v. Shuter, 1 C. P. D. 47.

the consignee must pay such freight or demurrage if he take the goods under the bill of lading, even though he has no valuable interest in the goods(k); and even though the delay were caused by the consignees of any other goods carried by the same ship (l). So other peculiar clauses introduced into bill of lading will bind the parties and all persons claiming under them.

## SECTION 99.

Indorsement of a bill of lading of the usual kind by the consignee, either specially or in blank, and delivery of it to the indorsee (m), will transfer the property in the goods shipped to the latter from the date of its delivery (n). Though the consignee named in the bill of lading become insolvent before he has paid for the goods, nevertheless an assignment by him for value, and without the assignee being informed that they are not paid for, will convey the property in the goods to the assignee, and deprive the vendor of his right of stoppage in transitu (o).

But if the assignee had notice that the goods were not paid for, or did not give value, the consignor will not lose his right of stoppage in transitu. The forbearance to sue on, or the release of, an antecedent claim, is not a good consideration for an indorsement of a bill of lading, so as to defeat the right of an unpaid vendor to stop in transitu (p).

<sup>(</sup>k) Scaife v. Tobin, 3 B. & Ad. 523.

<sup>(</sup>t) Porteus v. Wainey, 3 Q. B. D. 227, 534; Straker v. Kidd, 3 Q. B. D. 223.

<sup>(</sup>m) Dracachi v. The Anglo-Eg. Nav. Co., L. R., 3 C. P. 190; Hoare v. Dresser, 7 H. L. Ca. 290.

<sup>(</sup>n) Wright v. Campbell, 1 Bla. 628; Hibbert v. Carter, 1 T. R. 745. (o) Lickbarrow v. Mason, 2 T. R. 63, and 5 T. R. 683: The Marie Joseph, L. R., 1 P. C. 219; Leask v. Scott Bros., 2 Q. B. D. 376.
(p) Cuming v. Brown, 9 East, 506; Rodgers v. The Comptoir d'Es-

compte de Paris, L. R., 2 P. C. 393.

### SECTION 100.

An indorsee will take, subject to any condition inserted in the bill of lading or in the indorsement on it.

#### ILLUSTRATION.

If the goods are by the bill of lading only to be delivered, provided A. B. pay a bill of exchange, a subsequent indorsee cannot claim the goods till such condition be complied with (q).

### SECTION 101.

Indorsement in blank of a bill of lading, and delivery to a bond fide transferee for value, by a person who has improperly got possession of it, or without the owner's authority, will transfer no property in or title to the goods (r), except in cases which fall within the Factors' Acts.

#### Section 102.

The consignee of goods named in a bill of lading, or the indorsee of a bill of lading, has the same rights of action, and is subject to the same liabilities in respect of such goods, as if the contracts contained in the bill of lading had been made with himself (s).

The consignee or indorsee can determine the liabilities he thus acquires by indorsing the bill of lading, and by so transferring his property in the goods (t).

<sup>(</sup>q) Barrow v. Coles, 3 Camp. 92. (r) Gurney v. Behrend, 3 E. & B. 622; Coventry v. Gladstone, L. R., 6 Èq. 44.

<sup>(</sup>s) 18 & 19 Vict. c. 111, s. 1. (t) Smurthwaite v. Wilkins, 11 C. B., N. S. 842.

#### Section 103.

Several parts of a bill of lading, signed by the master, are, as a general rule, delivered to the shipper. If such parts be indersed to various parties, the first person to whom a part is validly indersed is entitled to the goods(u).

## SECTION 104.

By assigning the bill of lading the consignor can convey the property in the goods, so long as they continue in the hands of his agents (x), even though they may have been landed at their port of destination (y).

#### ILLUSTRATION.

If the master sign a bill of lading for the delivery of the goods to A. B. or his assigns, and the consignor afterwards sends to C. D. another bill of lading, making the goods deliverable to him, C. D. will have a good title to them, unless some further act be done to vest them in A. B. (z).

17.1

<sup>(</sup>u) Gurney v. Behrend, 3 E. & B. 622; Gilbert v. Guignon, L. R., 8 Ch. 16.

<sup>(</sup>x) Ellershaw v. Magniac, 6 Exch. 570; Gabarron v. Kreeft, L. R., 10 Exch. 274.

<sup>(</sup>y) Meyerstein v. Barber, L. R., 2 C. P. 38, 661. (z) Mitchel v. Ede, 11 Ad. & E. 888.

# CHAPTER IX.

#### SHIPOWNER AND SHIPMASTER.

#### SECTION 105.

THE ship must be seaworthy, i. e. tight and staunch, and furnished with a suitable crew and necessaries (a), though the charter-party does not expressly require it. For in every contract for conveyance of goods by sea, there is an implied warranty on the part of the shipowner that the vessel is seaworthy. The ship must also have a pilot on board, if such be necessary by usage or the law of the country (b).

The proper manifest, and all documents essential to the safety of the vessel, must be carried.

No false papers or contraband goods may be carried.

The warranty of seaworthiness dates from the time when the intended voyage commences, and the vessel must be then fit for sea, or there will be a breach of the warranty, even though the vessel was seaworthy when in her port of loading (c).

<sup>(</sup>a) Coggs v. Bernard, 2 Ld. Raym. 999; Kopitoff v. Wilson, 1 Q. B. D. 377; and sending a vessel to sea in so unseaworthy a condition as to endanger the life of any person is made a misdemeanor by 39 & 40 Vict. c. 80, s. 4.

<sup>(</sup>b) Law v. Hollingsworth, 7 T. R. 160; Phillips v. Headlam, 2 B. & Ad. 380.

<sup>(</sup>c) Cohn v. Davidson, 2 Q. B. D. 455.

#### SECTION 106.

If the ship is engaged to carry a cargo, in which the shipper has no interest whatever, he will not be liable if he omit to provide it, if the master does not inform him when the vessel is ready for it (d).

#### SECTION 107.

The vessel must sail at the fixed time. If no time be expressly agreed on, she must sail within a reasonable time (e).

On sailing the ship must proceed to the port to which she is destined without delaying unnecessarily, and without deviating (f); for if she deviates and is afterwards lost, the master and owners will be liable to the shipper, though the loss occur through the act of God or the king's enemies (g).

#### Section 108.

It is the duty of the master to do everything in his power to convey the goods in safety to their destination. With this object in view, he may, to raise money for repairs, mortgage the cargo, or even sell a part of it, if the remainder can in no other way be saved (h).

If, through some unexpected cause, the cargo is damaged, or cannot be conveyed to its destination in the original vessel, the master should tranship it for the place of its destination; or, if that be impracticable, he should return

<sup>(</sup>d) Fairbridge v. Pace, 1 C. & K. 317.

<sup>(</sup>e) M'Andrew v. Adams, 1 Bing. N. C. 29; The San Roman, L. R., 5 P. C. 301.

<sup>(</sup>f) The Express, L. R., 3 A. & E. 597; The Teutonia, L. R., 4 P. C. 171.

<sup>(</sup>g) Parker v. James, 4 Camp. 112; Davis v. Garrett, 6 Bing. 716.
(h) The Gratitudine, 3 Rob. A. R. 240; The Bonaparte, 3 W. Rob. 298.

it, or deposit it in some place of safety; or he should consult the owner of the goods, if possible; or he may sell them, provided that he may only do so as a last resource. For if the master sell without absolute necessity, both he and his owners and the purchaser of the goods will be responsible to the merchant (i).

## Section 109.

If the master mortgage the cargo, the shipowner must indemnify the merchant (k).

If he sell it, the shipowner must pay the merchant the sum which the goods would have realized at their destination; unless the merchant elect, as he has a perfect right to do, to take the amount they really sold for (1). In the latter case he may deduct it from the freight (m).

### SECTION 110.

On the arrival of the vessel at its destination, the master must report it; show his papers to the proper officers; and deliver the cargo to the consignee specified in the bill of lading, or the indorsee of such, on the freight and any other charges—e. g. primage and average—being paid him (n).

If the bill of lading show on the face of it that the freight or any other charges have already been paid, the master is estopped from claiming them as against an assignee of the bill (o).

<sup>(</sup>i) Abbott, pt. iv. c. 6; The Galam, 33 L. J., Adm. 97; The Australasian S. N. Co. v. Morse, L. R., 4 P. C. 222; Freeman v. East India Co., 5 B. & Ald. 617; Morris v. Robinson, 3 B. & C. 196.

(k) Duncan v. Benson, 1 Exch. 537; Benson v. Duncan, 3 Exch. 645.

<sup>(</sup>I) Hallett v. Wigram, 9 C. B. 580; Atkinson v. Stephens, 7 Exch. 587. (m) Campbell v. Thompson, 1 Stark, 490. (n) Paynter v. James, L. R., 2 C. P. 348. (o) Howard v. Tucker, 1 B. & Ad. 712; The Merc. & Exchange Bank v. Gladstone, L. R., 3 Exch. 233; Tamvaco v. Simpson, L. R., 1 C. P. 363.

However, the bill of lading will not operate as an estoppel as between the consignor of the goods and the owner of the vessel. Therefore, the shipowner may prove that the goods, or some of the goods, specified in the bill of lading were never shipped (p).

## SECTION 111.

The master may not detain the goods of one person as security for the charges due on the goods of another person; but he may detain any part of the goods consigned to the same party for the charges due on the whole (q).

## SECTION 112.

The consignee is entitled to have a reasonable time and opportunity for fetching his goods from the vessel, unless some special custom prevail at the port (r). In the case of a transferable bill of lading not being produced within a reasonable time, the master may hand over the goods to a third person, to hold till it is produced (s).

### SECTION 113.

If the owner of goods imported from abroad fails to make entry of or land them by the time specified in bill of lading or charter-party, the shipowner can make entry of and land them at any time after the time specified. If no time be

(s) Howard v. Shepherd, 9 C. B. 297.

<sup>(</sup>p) Bates v. Todd, 1 M. & Rob. 106; Grant v. Norway, 10 C. B. 665; Brown v. Powell Duffryn S. C. Co., L. R., 10 C. P. 562.

<sup>(</sup>q) Abbott, pt. iv. c. 6, s. 11. (r) Bourne v. Gatliffe, 7 M. & G. 850; Catley v. Wintringham, 1 Peake, N. P. C. 202.

specified, the shipowner can, within seventy-two hours after the ship is reported, land the goods. The goods on being so landed must be placed in a warehouse or on a wharf.

If, at the time when the goods are so landed, the shipowner gives the warehouse keeper or wharfinger a written notice of his lien, then the goods may be detained both for the lien of the shipowner, as well as for the rent of the wharf or warehouse (t).

If the consignee do not pay the lien, or give security for it, the goods may, after the expiration of ninety days from the date of the deposit, be sold(u); or at an earlier date if the goods be of a perishable nature. The shipowner may then deduct the freight and any other sums due to him in respect of the said goods from the proceeds of the sale, remaining after the payment of any customs or excise duties due, of the expenses of the sale, and of the rent, rates and other charges due to the wharf or warehouse owner (x).

#### SECTION 114.

The ordinary exception in a bill of lading (y) as to damages and accidents will not cover injuries committed by rats, and the shipowner is liable for such injuries, though he has taken the precaution to put cats on board (z).

### Section 115.

The owner of a sea-going ship, or of any share in it, is not liable for any loss or damage by fire of or to any goods on

N.

E

<sup>(</sup>t) 25 & 26 Vict. c. 63, s. 67 and 68; The Energie, L. R., 6 P. C. 306. (u) Ib. s. 73.

<sup>(</sup>x) Ib. s. 75.

<sup>(</sup>v) Vide Sec. 97.

<sup>(</sup>z) Laveroni v. Drury, 8 Exch. 166; Kay v. Wheeler, L. R., 2 C. P. 302.

board his vessel, unless caused by his actual fault or privity (a).

#### SECTION 116.

The owner of a sea-going ship or share in it is not liable to make good any loss or damage, occurring without his actual fault or privity, of or to any gold, silver, jewellery or precious stones on board his ship by reason of any robbery, embezzlement, making away with, or secreting thereof; unless the shipper has, at the time of shipping such articles, inserted in the bill of lading or otherwise declared in writing their true value and nature (b).

## SECTION 117.

The owner of the ship or the master is not liable for any loss caused by the fault or incapacity of any qualified pilot in charge of the vessel within any limits within which the employment of a qualified pilot is compulsory by law(c).

If the damage or loss arise partly from the misconduct of the master or crew, the owner will be liable (d).

#### Section 118.

The owners of any ship, whether British or foreign, are only liable for any damage or loss caused without their

<sup>(</sup>a) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 503.

<sup>(</sup>b) Id.; Gibbs v. Potter, 10 M. & W. 70; Williams v. The African S.S. Co., 1 H. & N. 300.

<sup>(</sup>c) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 388; The Hibernian, L. R., 4 P. C. 511; The G. S. N. Co. v. The B. & C. S. N. Co., L. R., 4 Exch. 238; The Clyde Navigation Co. v. Barclay, 1 App. Ca. 790; The Princeton, 3 P. D. 90.

<sup>(</sup>d) The Diana, 1 W. Rob. 131; The City of Cambridge, L. R., 5 P. C. 451; The Batavier, 9 Moore, P. C. Ca. 286.

fault or privity to any goods whatsoever on board or to ships or boats to an aggregate amount not exceeding 81. for each ton of the ship's tonnage, whether or not there occur in addition loss of life or personal injury.

In respect of loss of life or personal injury they are not responsible in damages to an aggregate amount exceeding 15*l*. for each ton of ship's tonnage; whether such loss of life or personal injury be combined with loss of or damage to ships, boats or goods. However, they are liable to pay interest on that amount (e).

If there be several claims, the owner may apply to the Chancery Division of the High Court of Justice to determine the amount, and divide it among the claimants, and to stay all other proceedings (f).

The owners are liable in respect of every damage to or loss of goods, or every loss of life or personal injury, arising on different occasions, to the same extent as if no other damage or loss had occurred (g).

# SECTION 119.

A shipmaster has a lien on the vessel or her freight for his wages, and also for any payments he has made on the vessel's account; and his claim is therefore preferred to that of a mortgagee, though he be a part-owner (h).

<sup>(</sup>e) 25 & 26 Vict. c. 63, s. 54; The Franconia, 3 P. D. 164; Smith v. Kirby, 1 Q. B. D. 131.

<sup>(</sup>f) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 514; Leycester v. Logan, 26 L. J., Ch. 306.

<sup>(</sup>g) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 506; The Rojah, L. R., 3 A. & E. 539.
(h) The Admiralty Act, 1861 (24 Vict. c. 10, s. 10); The Mary Ann,
L. R., 1 A. & E. 8; The Feronia, L. R., 2 A. & E. 65.

#### SECTION 120.

A master's or mate's certificate can be cancelled or suspended by the Board of Trade in the following cases (i):-

- (1) If he be reported after investigation as incompetent or guilty of gross misconduct or tyranny;
- (2) If it is reported after any investigation that the loss or abandonment of, or injury to, any ship or loss of life was caused by his wrongful act or default;
- (3) If he is superseded by order of any admiralty or naval court; and,
- (4) If he has been convicted of any offence.

However, his certificate cannot be cancelled or suspended on account of a mere stranding, not followed by any material damage to the vessel or by any loss of life (k).

<sup>(</sup>i) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 242.
(k) Ex parte Story, 3 Q. B. D. 166.

# CHAPTER X.

#### BOTTOMRY AND RESPONDENTIA.

#### SECTION 121.

Bottomry is a contract entered into by a shipowner or his agent, whereby in consideration of a sum of money advanced for the use of the ship, the borrower agrees to repay the sum advanced with interest if the ship terminate her voyage successfully, and at the same time hypothecates the ship as security for the payment of the principal and interest.

If the instrument by which this is effected is a deed poll, it is called a bottomry bill; if it is in the form of a bond, it is termed a bottomry bond (d).

#### SECTION 122.

If the money be advanced not upon the ship, but on the cargo or goods on board her, the contract to repay the sum advanced and the stipulated interest is termed respondentia.

<sup>(</sup>a) For Forms of both Bottomry Bonds and Bills, see Abbott on Shipping—Appendix.

# SECTION 123.

The terms bottomry and respondentia are also used to denote contracts to repay money borrowed, not on the ship or cargo, but on the mere hazard of the voyage. Such a contract is sometimes also termed fœnus nauticum, or usura maritima.

#### ILLUSTRATION.

If A. advances to B., a merchant, 5000l, to be employed in some particular voyage, in consideration of B. agreeing to repay it with interest at 50 per cent. or at any other extraordinary rate, if the voyage in which it is to be used be safely concluded, the mere hazard of the voyage is the only security for the repayment of the 5000l. and interest.

#### Section 124.

There are two main differences between a bottomry or respondentia contract and a common loan. The one is that the lender's money is at hazard during the voyage (b). The other is that the lender on a bottomry or respondentia contract could always stipulate for any rate of interest, even before the repeal of the usury laws (c).

Consequently, if the ship or cargo be lost during the voyage, the lender on the bottomry or respondentia contract will lose his money. While, if it returns in safety he will be entitled to the principal and interest (however exorbitant) which was agreed on.

But if additional interest be stipulated for, by way of a penalty, if default be made in the payment of the bottomry

 <sup>(</sup>b) Stainbank v. Fenning, 11 C. B. 51; Stainbank v. Shepard, 22 L.
 J., Exch. 341; The Indomitable, 5 Jur., N. S. 632.
 (c) 2 Bl. Com. 457.

bond or bill and the interest thereon, it must not exceed the rate of 4 per cent.; and if a higher rate be stipulated for, only 4 per cent. can be recovered (d).

#### SECTION 125.

The ship, if it exist in specie, cannot be considered a total loss within the meaning of the bottomry bond or bill; though it be entirely disabled (e). The doctrine of constructive total loss does not apply to bottomry bonds or bills.

## SECTION 126.

A shipmaster can borrow money on bottomry by hypothecating the ship and freight at a foreign port, in case of necessity and in furtherance of the voyage (f). He can also raise money on respondentia by mortgaging the cargo (g), if the money be absolutely necessary to the preservation of the ship and cargo, or to the completion of the voyage.

But the shipmaster may not borrow on bottomry or respondentia, if he can obtain the requisite sum of money on better terms, e. g., on the shipowner's personal credit(h); or if he can communicate with the owner (i).

<sup>(</sup>d) The Sophia Cook, 4 P. D. 30.

<sup>(</sup>a) The Sophia Cook, F. L. D. Co., 1 M. & S. 30; The Great Pacific, 2 P. C. 516; Broomfield v. Southern Insurance Co., L. R., 5 Exch. 192.

<sup>(</sup>f) Abbott, 156, 8th ed.; The Osmanli, 3 W. Rob. 198; Benson v. Duncan, 3 Exch. 644.

<sup>(</sup>g) The Gratitudine, 3 Rob. Adm. Rep. 240; The Olivier, 31 L. J. Adm. 137.

<sup>(</sup>h) Heathorn v. Darling, 1 Moore, P. C. Ca. 5; Soares v. Rahn, 3 Moore, P. C. Ca. 1.

<sup>(</sup>i) The Bonaparte, 3 W. Rob. Adm. Rep. 298; 8 Moore, P. C. Ca. 459; The Oriental, 7 Moore, P. C. Ca. 398; The Hamburg, 32 L. J. Adm. 161; The Lizzie, L. R., 2 A. & E. 254; The Karnak, 2 P. C. 505; The Onward, L. R., 4 A. & E. 38; Kleinwort v. Cassa Marittima of Genoa, 2 App. Cas. 156.

#### SECTION 127.

The creditor on a bottomry bill or bond, entered into by a shipmaster, will have no property in the ship hypothecated, but only a claim on it (k).

The creditor may avail himself of this claim on the arrival of the ship in England, by obtaining a warrant from the Admiralty Division of the High Court, to arrest the vessel, and by summoning all persons interested before the court.

The court has jurisdiction to decree a sale to be made of the vessel, and to divide the proceeds among the various claimants (I).

## SECTION 128.

If several bottomry bills or bonds have been made at different periods of the voyage, and the proceeds of the sale of the vessel be insufficient to pay all the loans, the last in point of date will have priority of payment, the presumption being that had not the last loan been made, the other mortgagees would have lost their security (m).

# Section 129.

No one can lend money on bottomry, who is, at date of the loan, a debtor in an equal or larger sum to the ship (n). If the lender be a debtor in a less amount, the bottomry bond will be invalidated pro tanto (n).

<sup>(</sup>k) Abbott, p. 154, 8th ed. (l) Ib. p. 162.

<sup>(</sup>m) Abbott, p. 163, 8th ed.; The Radamanthe, 1 Dods. Adm. Rep. 201.

<sup>(</sup>n) The Hebe, 2 W. Rob. Adm. Rep. 146, 412.

#### SECTION 130.

A bottomry bond given to the consignee of the cargo is perfectly good; the borrowing being shown to have been necessary, and the transaction to have been fair and reasonable (o).

#### SECTION 131.

The master cannot hypothecate the ship to raise money to discharge a debt of his own.

But the master's misapplication of money, bond fide advanced when necessary to preserve the ship or to complete the voyage, will not deprive the lender of his right on the bottomry bill or bond (p).

#### SECTION 132.

For a bottomry bond or bill to be valid, the money must have been originally advanced on the security of the ship.

#### ILLUSTRATION.

If the money were originally raised, not on the security of the ship, but on the personal credit of the owner, and the bottomry bill or bond were subsequently drawn up in consequence of the owner's liability being questioned, the bond will be invalid; even though made before the vessel left the port at which the money was advanced (q).

<sup>(</sup>o) The Alexander, 1 Dods. Adm. Rep. 278.

<sup>(</sup>p) Abbott, p. 160, 161, 8th ed. (q) The Augusta, 1 Dods. Adm. Rep. 283.

#### SECTION 133.

But if only part of the money had been thus previously advanced on the owner's personal credit, and the remainder had been subsequently advanced on the hypothecation of the vessel, the bottomry bond or bill will not be invalid in toto, but only to the extent of the sum advanced on the owner's personal  $\operatorname{credit}(r)$ .

Thus a bottomry bond may be good in part, though void as to the residue.

#### ILLUSTRATION.

A bottomry bond was given by the master at New York, as well for advances to obtain his discharge from arrest, at the instance of the consignees, on account of damage done on the voyage to part of the cargo, as for payment of the port duties and other disbursements necessary to enable ship to prosecute her voyage. The bond was held good to the extent of the sums advanced for necessary supplies and for payment of the port duties (r).

<sup>(</sup>r) Smith v. Gould, Re The Prince George, 4 Moore, P. C. Ca. 21.

# CHAPTER XI.

#### FREIGHT.

#### SECTION 134.

THE merchant who has hired a ship to *freight* must lade her within the specified time; or if no time were specified, within a reasonable time (a). If he make default, he will have to compensate the owner of the ship for any loss he sustains in consequence.

The merchant must also pay any charges due on his goods. Primage, average, demurrage, and freight are the usual charges.

# SECTION 135.

The charterer must lade the vessel with the cargo agreed on (b).

If he place on board any contraband goods which may subject the vessel to forfeiture, or any goods of a dangerous character (c), without notice, he will become liable to a heavy penalty; and such goods may be thrown overboard or forfeited.

<sup>(</sup>a) Matthews v. Lowther, 5 Exch. 574; Woolley v. Reddelien, 5 M. & G. 316.

<sup>(</sup>b) Moorson v. Page, 4 Camp. 103; Irving v. Clegg, 1 Bing. N. C. 53; Capper v. Forster, 3 Bing. N. C. 938.

<sup>(</sup>c) Brass v. Maitland, 6 E. & B. 470; Farrant v. Barnes, 11 C. B., N S 553

#### Section 136.

Freight means the price to be paid for the carriage of the goods to their destination (d), and not the price to be paid for receiving goods to be carried, or for carrying passengers (d). Therefore, if a merchant stipulate to pay a sum of money to a shipowner for taking goods on board, such sum will not be freight stricto sensu (e).

Consequently the freight will not become due if the carriage of the goods be not completed (f). But the shipowner will not forfeit his freight if the voyage is merely temporarily interrupted through no fault of his and afterwards completed (g), as, e.g., in consequence of a capture by an enemy and a recapture (h), or by icebergs or pirates.

# SECTION 137.

The amount of freight for goods sent in a general ship depends on the agreement between the merchant and the owner; or if none, on the value of the service performed, to be estimated in accordance with the usage of trade.

If a gross sum is to be paid for the whole or part of a ship under a charter-party, it will be due, even though a complete lading is not provided by the merchant, or though part of the goods be lost by excepted perils (i), e.g., by fire or perils of the sea.

<sup>(</sup>d) Lewis v. Marshall, 7 M. & G. 729.

<sup>(</sup>e) Blakey v. Dixon, 2 B. & P. 321; Abbott, pt. 3, c. 7; Byrne v. Schiller, L. R., 6 Exch. 20, 319.

<sup>(</sup>f) Mashiter v. Buller, 1 Camp. 84; Duthie v. Hilton, L. R., 4 C. P. 138.

<sup>(</sup>g) Sometimes if not; The Teutonia, 4 P. C. 171.
(h) The Race Horse, 3 Rob. A. R. 101; Beale v. Thompson, 4 East,

<sup>(</sup>i) Robinson v. Knights, L. R., 8 C. & P. 465; Merchant Shipping Co. v. Armitage, L. R., 9 Q. B. 99.

If the payment is stipulated to be at the rate of so much per ton, it must be calculated on the number of tons the ship or the part thereof chartered contains (j). If at so much per quarter or per ton of cubic feet of the goods, it must be calculated on the quantity of goods carried, not on the quantity discharged (k).

# SECTION 138.

If the master of the ship improperly decline to take all the goods stipulated to be carried, he can nevertheless claim payment for the part he has carried, provided payment was agreed to be "per ton" or "per cask or bale" (l). So he can claim payment for such, if he does not sail according to agreement with the first wind or convoy (m), or if he deviates (n); the merchant, however, having a right of set-off for damages (o).

## SECTION 139.

The shipowner takes the risk of the duration of the voyage, and he can only claim the freight stipulated for, whatever its length.

But the merchant will take it, if he agreed to pay so much per month or other aliquot part of the voyage; even

<sup>(</sup>j) Hunter v. Fry, 2 B. & Ald. 421. (k) Gibson v. Sturge, 10 Exch. 622; Buckle v. Knoop, L. R., 2 Exch. 125, 333; aliter, if it be "per quarter delivered," Coulthurst v. Sweet, L. R., 1 C. P. 649.

<sup>(</sup>l) Ritchie v. Atkinson, 10 East, 295.
(m) Constable v. Cloberie, Palm. 397; Hall v. Cazenove, 4 East, 477.
(n) Bornmann v. Tooke, 1 Camp. 376; and Davidson v. Gwynne, 12 East, 381.

<sup>(</sup>o) Davidson v. Gwynne, 12 East, 381; MacAndrew v. Chapple, L. R., 1 C. P. 643.

in the event of any unavoidable delay, e.g., to repair the vessel (p).

# SECTION 140.

If there is no charter-party, and the consignee has according to the bill of lading to pay freight, the shipper is not liable (q).

However, he would even in this instance be liable, if by the terms of the bill of lading the goods are consigned on the account of, and at the risk of, the shipper (r).

# SECTION 141.

The shipowner may sue the consignee or indorsee of a bill of lading, if the latter obtained the goods in pursuance of a bill of lading imposing the payment of freight or demurrage on him (s). But the consignee or indorsee cannot be sued, if it appears on the face of the bill of lading that he was a mere agent (t).

The mere acceptance of the goods by the consignee or indorsee is not, per se, sufficient to impose charges on him in respect of them (u).

#### Section 142.

If a neutral ship laden with the goods of one of two belligerent powers be captured by the other, the ship must

<sup>(</sup>p) Havelock v. Geddes, 10 East, 555; Ripley v. Scaife, 5 B. & C. 167.

<sup>(</sup>q) Drew v. Bird, M. & M. 156.

<sup>(</sup>r) Dommett v. Beckford, 2 N. & M. 374. (s) Renteria v. Ruding, M. & M. 511; Wegener v. Smith, 15 C. B.

<sup>285;</sup> Weguelin v. Cellier, L. R., 6 H. L. Ca. 286. (t) Amos v. Temperley, 8 M. & W. 798.

<sup>(</sup>u) Wilson v. Kymer, 1 M. & S. 157; Coleman v. Lambert, 5 M. & W. 502.

be restored, provided the goods might legally be carried by a neutral ship (x). But the goods may be confiscated by the law of nations, the captor paying the entire freight.

## SECTION 143.

But if a hostile ship be captured with the goods of a neutral on board, the captor will only be entitled to freight on them, if he convey them to their destination (y).

#### Section 144.

If part only of the goods were delivered, in consequence of the default of the consignees, or the restraints of princes, then freight will be due on such as are delivered, if they were consigned by a general ship, or if the vessel were chartered for freight to be paid according to the quantity of the goods(z). It is a vexata quastio whether freight is payable in such a case, if the vessel were chartered at a specific price for the voyage (a).

#### SECTION 145.

Freight pro rata itineris (for part of the voyage only), not being due under the charter-party, cannot be claimed by the shipowners, in the absence of a new contract, express or implied, to pay it (b). Such a new contract will be implied, if the ship be disabled during the voyage, and the owners of the cargo voluntarily receive the goods at an intermediate port, under circumstances from which it may

<sup>(</sup>x) The Copenhagen, 1 Rob. Adm. Rep. 289; Abbott, pt. iv. c. 9, 8, 6.

<sup>(</sup>y) The Fortuna, 4 Rob. Adm. Rep. 278.

<sup>(</sup>z) Christy v. Row, 1 Taunt. 300.
(a) Smith's Merc. Law, p. 320, 9th edition.

<sup>(</sup>b) Metcalfe v. The Britannia Ironworks Co., 1 Q. B. D. 613; 2 Q. B. D. 423.

be reasonably inferred, that they intended to dispense with their further carriage. Then the owners of the goods will be liable to pay freight pro rata itineris (c).

But freight pro rata itineris will not be payable, if no such voluntary acceptance and intention existed.

#### ILLUSTRATIONS.

If the master sell the cargo, with the object of preventing its loss through the perils of the sea, the shipowners cannot claim freight pro rata itineris.

If the goods be damaged on board, and therefore are landed and sold at an intermediate port, the shipowners cannot claim freight pro rata itineris, unless the consent of the owners of the goods was obtained to the sale (d).

#### Section 146.

If the goods after a trans-shipment, rendered necessary through the original ship being disabled, be conveyed in safety to their destination, the freight contracted for will be payable; though the freight on the second ship was at a lower rate (e).

#### SECTION 147.

If the vessel be prevented from sailing, her owners can, in the absence of an express agreement, claim no remuneration for the work already done, such as for stowing the goods on board; for the voyage does not commence till the ship has broken ground (f).

[ILLUSTRATION.

<sup>(</sup>c) Malyne, Ch. 21; The Copenhagen, 1 Rob. Adm. Rep. 289; The Patria, 3 L. R., A. & E. 436.

<sup>(</sup>d) Acatos v. Burns, L. R., 3 Ex. D. 282; Hopper v. Burness, 1 C. P. D. 137.

<sup>(</sup>e) Skipton v. Thornton, 9 Ad. & E. 314; Matthews v. Gibbs, 3 E. & E. 282.

<sup>(</sup>f) Curling v. Long, 1 B. & P. 634.

#### ILLUSTRATION.

A ship bound for London, after taking in her cargo, but before breaking ground, was cut out of her port of lading in Jamaica by a French privateer, but afterwards recaptured and carried into another port in Jamaica. There the cargo was sold by the order of the Court . of Admiralty for the benefit of the freighters. Held, that the owners of the ship were not entitled to any part of the freight (g).

## Section 148.

The shipowner has a lien on the cargo for the freight, unless he has waived it, or by the charter-party giving up possession of the ship to charterer. But if the freight be payable in advance, he has no lien; for money payable in advance is not freight stricto sensu, though often called so, and consequently owner can have no lien for it as freight (h).

The shipowner has no lien for dead freight or demurrage, unless by special agreement (i).

Dead freight is defined (i) to be simply an unliquidated compensation recoverable by the shipowner from the freighter for deficiency of cargo.

The shipowner, whether he has a lien or no, can sue the freighter on his contract to pay freight (k).

#### Section 149.

If, on his ship being disabled, the master detain the cargo for a reasonable time till the vessel is repaired; or

<sup>(</sup>g) Curling v. Long, 1 B. & P. 634.

<sup>(</sup>h) How v. Kirchner, 11 Moore, P. C. Ca. 21; Kirchner v. Venus, 12 Moore, P. C. Ca. 361.

<sup>(</sup>i) M'Lean v. Fleming, L. R., 2 H. L. Sc. 128; Gray v. Carr, L. R., 6 Q. B. 522.

(k) Tapley v. Martens, 8 T. R. 451; Shephard v. De Bernales, 13

East, 565.

if he forward the cargo by another vessel, he will not lose the freight.

But if he does not trans-ship and forward the cargo (*l*), or if the cargo is detained beyond a reasonable time, all right to the freight will be entirely lost.

#### ILLUSTRATION.

Under a charter-party freight was to be paid at so much per ton "on a right and true delivery of the homeward-bound cargo" from Honduras Bay to London. The ship and cargo, after capture and recapture, were wrecked at St. Kitts, to which they were carried by the recaptors. The Vice-Admiralty Court there directed the cargo to be sold on the application of the master, acting bond fide for the benefit of all interested, but without orders from any. Held, freight pro rata itineris was not payable (m).

<sup>(</sup>I) Hunter v. Prinsep, 10 East, 378; Liddard v. Lopes, 10 East, 526; Osgood v. Groning, 2 Camp. 466.
(m) Hunter v. Prinsep, 10 East, 378.

# CHAPTER XII.

#### SALVAGE.

# SECTION 149.

SALVAGE is a compensation to be made by the shipowner or merchant, to other persons by whose assistance the ship or the cargo was saved from impending peril, or recovered after actual loss (a).

If the cargo be owned by several merchants, and only part of it be rescued, the owners of the part lost are not liable to pay any of the sum awarded as salvage (b).

Salvage may be due on account of a rescue from either the perils of the sea, or from the power of an enemy. But there can be no claim to salvage, where the attempt to salve has not been successful (c).

# SECTION 150.

The crew or passengers of the vessel rescued, cannot claim salvage for any assistance they may have rendered

<sup>(</sup>a) Abbott, pt. vi. c. 2; The Cargo ex Schiller, 2 P. D. 145; The Sarah, 3 P. D. 39.

<sup>(</sup>b) The Cargo ex Sarpedon, 3 P. D. 28.(c) The Hawkins, 31 L. J., Adm. 46.

in preserving the vessel; in the absence of any special circumstances (d).

But the crew of another ship, belonging to the same owner, can claim salvage, provided that the services performed are not within the contract which they originally entered into with the owners, and for which they would be paid by their ordinary wages (e).

## SECTION 151.

The salvor has a lien on goods rescued from perils of the And, if the shipowner pays the salvage, he will have a lien on them for it (f).

In the event of a salvage of wreck occurring in the United Kingdom, the salvor must inform the receiver appointed by the Board of Trade to superintend matters relating to wreck. Such receiver has to take charge of and detain the ship and goods, till the amount of the salvage is paid, or security given for its payment (g), or till process has been issued by some Court having jurisdiction for their detention.

If the goods salved be so damaged or perishable, or if they be not worth the cost of warehousing, the receiver may sell them immediately, and hold the proceeds of the sale subject to the same claims as the goods sold would have been liable if they had not been sold (g).

The receiver can sell the property salved, if the salvage money be not paid within twenty days after it is admitted,

<sup>(</sup>d) The Le Jonet, L. R., 3 A. & E. 556.
(e) The Sappho, L. R., 3 A. & E. 142; 3 P. C. 690.
(f) Briggs v. The Merchant Traders' Association, 13 Q. B. 167. (g) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, ss. 439 to 457, and 468.

or decided by the Court, to be due, and pay the salvage money out of the proceeds  $(\lambda)$ .

## SECTION 152.

Generally the Admiralty Division of the High Court will, on action brought, fix the amount of salvage due to the rescuers; and take care of the wreck and goods pendente lite (i).

The County Courts have the same powers in all cases where the value of the property rescued does not exceed £1000; or where the amount of salvage claimed does not exceed £300; or where the parties agree by a written memorandum signed by them or their agents that the County Court shall have jurisdiction to decide as to the claim (j).

# SECTION 153.

If the sum claimed as salvage is not above £200, or if the property rescued does not exceed £1000, the salvage can be summarily adjusted by two justices of the peace, resident near the wreck, or by a stipendiary magistrate, or County Court judge (k).

Even if above £200 be claimed as salvage, the dispute may be thus summarily disposed of if the parties consent.

Against the award an appeal lies to the High Court, if above £50 be claimed as salvage, and if within ten days after the award the appellant gives the justices notice of his intention to appeal, and if within twenty days from such award he commences his appeal (l).

<sup>(</sup>h) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 469.

<sup>(</sup>i) Ib. ss. 468, 476; 24 & 25 Vict. c. 10.

<sup>(</sup>i) 31 & 32 Vict. c. 71, ss. 1 & 2; The Glannibanta, 2 P. D. 45. (k) 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 460; 25 & 26 Vict. c. 63, s. 49.

## SECTION 154.

The owner of property recaptured from an enemy is entitled to it, on paying the amount due as salvage, no regard being paid to the amount of time which elapsed between the capture and recapture (m).

The same rule applies to the allies of Great Britain, whose vessels have been, after being captured by the enemy, recaptured by British ships; provided they treat British property on the same principle (n).

## SECTION 155.

The amount of salvage payable on recapture from an enemy has been regulated at different periods by statutes. It is generally calculated at one-eighth of the value of the property recaptured, if rescued by a vessel of the Royal Navy; and at one-sixth if rescued by a private ship (o).

#### Section 156.

If the owners of the rescued goods or vessel specially agree, in consideration of their property being rescued, to pay to the salvors a certain sum as salvage, the agreement must be equitable, and an exorbitant sum must not be demanded; otherwise the agreement will not be enforced (p).

(p) The Medina, 1 P. D. 272; 2 P. D. 5.

<sup>(</sup>m) 13 Geo. 2, c. 4, s. 18; 17 Geo. 2, c. 34, s. 20; 29 Geo. 2, c. 34, s. 24.

<sup>(</sup>n) The Santa Cruz, 1 Rob. Adm. Rep. 63.
(o) 43 Geo. 3, c. 16, ss. 39 and 41; 45 Geo. 3, c. 72, s. 7; 48 Geo. 3, c. 132; 55 Geo. 3, c. 60, s. 5.

# CHAPTER XIII.

#### AVERAGE.

#### SECTION 157.

GENERAL average, is a contribution by the owners of the ship, freight and cargo respectively to compensate the owner of a particular part of the ship or cargo whose property was sacrificed for their common good(a); as by jettison. The whole adventure must have been in imminent peril of being lost, for a general average to exist; for the sacrifice must have been for the general good(b). The sacrifice must also be contrary to the ordinary duty of the ship master, or owner, and intentional.

#### SECTION 158.

For general average the actual loss of the subject matter, in respect of which it is claimed, or injury to it, is not an essential element. But general average may be for any expense, incurred with relation to the subject matter, for the general good; provided it was voluntarily incurred.

<sup>(</sup>a) Wilson v. Bank of Victoria, L. R., 2 Q. B. 203; Harrison v. Bank of Australasia, L. R., 7 Exch. 39; Shepherd v. Kottgen, 2 C. P. D. 585.

<sup>(</sup>b) Job v. Langton, 6 E. & B. 779; Oppenheim v. Fry, 5 B. & S. 348.

A jettison of cargo will be the subject of a general average. But a jettison of cargo stowed on deck will not be, unless it is customary to carry such cargo on deck, or the shipowner stipulated for leave to carry it on  $\operatorname{deck}(c)$ .

If the ship be so disabled, that repairs are absolutely necessary, and the cargo must be unloaded for such repairs to be made, the cost of unloading it will be the subject of a general average (d).

Masts and sails destroyed through the necessity of carrying an extraordinary press of canvas, are not subjects of general average; for the loss was not voluntarily incurred (e). But if they were cut away and thrown overboard or destroyed, for the sake of preserving the ship and cargo, they would be the subjects of general average (f).

#### ILLUSTRATION.

The coals ran short, and the captain therefore burnt the spars and part of the cargo in order to work the pumps, the ship having sprung a leak. The spars and cargo so burnt are the subjects of a general average (g).

#### Section 159.

The wages and provisions of the seamen, while the repairs are being executed, are not the subject of either general average, or particular average; but must be borne exclusively by the shipowner (h).

<sup>(</sup>c) Ross v. Thwaite, 1 Park. Ins. 26; Da Costa v. Edmunds, 4 Camp. 142.

 <sup>(</sup>d) Plummer v. Wildman, 3 M. & Sel. 482.
 (e) Covington v. Roberts, 2 N. R. 378.

<sup>(</sup>f) Birkley v. Presgrave, 1 East, 220. (g) Robinson v. Price, 2 Q. B. D. 91, 295. (h) Power v. Whitmore, 4 M. & S. 141; Arnould, Mar. Ins. p. 842.

#### SECTION 160.

If the ship-master sell or mortgage part of the cargo to pay for repairs during the voyage, that part will not be the subject of general average (i).

# SECTION 161.

Any injury to the ship, the ammunition lost, or the cost of healing the seamen wounded in a fight with an enemy, or with pirates, will not be re-imbursed by a general average (j).

#### SECTION 162.

All that is ultimately saved out of the adventure, including the ship, freight, cargo, contributes to the general average. But only articles which are comprised in the term merces, contribute.

Consequently, the clothes of the passengers, and all kinds of provisions are not liable to contribute (k), even where the ship only carries passengers and no other cargo.

Cargo stowed on deck is liable to contribute, though it is not contributed for.

# SECTION 163.

The shipowners contribute according to the net value of the ship and freight at the termination of the voyage, the cost thereof being deducted, but they do not contribute in respect of freight paid in advance.

<sup>(</sup>i) Hallett v. Wigram, 9 C. B. 580; Benson v. Chapman, 2 H. L. Ca. 696.

<sup>(</sup>j) Taylor v. Curtis, 6 Taunt. 608. (k) Brown v. Stapyleton, 4 Bing. 119.

The charterer contributes for freight paid in advance (l). The seamen never contribute on account of their wages, except in the single instance of the ransom of the ship (m).

# SECTION 164.

If the average is calculated at the ship's place of destination, the goods are valued for average at the price they would have fetched there. And the owners must contribute according to the value put on them.

But if the average is calculated at the port of lading, they will be valued at the invoice price (n).

#### Section 165.

The shipowners have a lien for any general average due by the cargo (o).

But the owners of the goods have no lien for general average, when due by the ship (p).

A consignee of goods (not the owner) receiving them under a bill of lading, is not liable for general average, unless the bill of lading made the payment of general average a condition precedent to the delivery of the goods(o).

## Section 166.

A foreign adjustment of general average, will bind all the parties to the adventure, and also the underwriter (q).

<sup>(</sup>l) Frayes v. Wormes, 19 C. B., N. S. 159. (m) Abbott, pt. 6, c. 1, s. 11. (n) Abbott, pt. 6, c. 1.

<sup>(</sup>o) Scaife v. Tobin, 3 B. & Ad. 528, per Lord Tenterden, C. J.

<sup>(</sup>p) The North Star, 29 L. J., Adm. 73. (q) Arnould, Mar. Ins. 873; Walpole v. Ewer, 2 Park, Ins. 629; Newman v. Cazalet, 2 Park, Ins. 630.

#### SECTION 167.

Beside general average there exist two other kinds of average, particular and petty.

Particular average is the loss accidentally and proximately caused by the perils insured against, to the subject matter of the insurance. The loss in particular average, instead of falling on all interested in the voyage, as in general average, falls solely on the owner of the property lost (r).

## SECTION 168.

The term *petty average* includes the small charges, always necessarily incurred in voyages of considerable duration, and defrayed by the master, for the purposes of the ship and cargo; such as pilotage, tonnage and anchorage money (r).

Of course, if the charges be incurred for any extraordinary purposes, or to save the ship and cargo, they will be the subjects of a general average.

The underwriter is never liable for petty averages.

<sup>(</sup>r) Arnould, Mar. Ins. pt. 3, c. 5.

# BOOK II.

# MARITIME INSURANCE.

# CHAPTER I.

INSURANCE, INSURERS AND INSURED.

# Section 169.

Insurance is a contract, by which one party in consideration of a premium, undertakes to indemnify another party against a particular event (a).

The instrument embodying this contract is termed a policy of insurance.

Maritime or Marine Insurance occurs when a merchant pays a premium to others to insure against certain risks, his goods or vessel, from one port or district to another port or district, on the terms they mutually agreed on.

The party who agrees to indemnify, is termed the insurer, and after subscribing the policy, he is called the underwriter.

The party idemnified against the particular event, is termed the insured or assured.

#### SECTION 170.

Any individual, partnership or corporation may be either the insurers or the insured (b). Provided that an alien enemy during war cannot effect a valid policy (c); unless his vessel were protected by the royal licence (d). Provided also, that a British subject trading under the protection of and for the advantage of a hostile country, cannot avail himself of a marine policy made in this country (e).

# SECTION 171.

If a broker be employed, the broker is liable to underwriters for the payment of the premium; and the insured to the broker. In such a case the broker is not merely an agent, but a principal to receive the premium from the insured, and to hand it to the underwriter (f).

# Section 172.

The broker has a lien on a policy he is employed to effect, for any premiums he has paid thereon, and for his commission, and for the general balance of his insurance The lien will be lost by his handing over the. account. policy to his employer, or by his wrongfully disposing of It however will revive, except in a few cases, if the policy comes again into his possession (g).

<sup>(</sup>b) The members of Lloyds, with whom nearly all London policies are effected, are incorporated and regulated by 34 Vict. c. 21.

<sup>(</sup>c) Brandon v. Nesbitt, 6 T. R. 23; Bristow v. Towers, 6 T. R. 35. (d) Kensington v. Inglis, 8 East, 273. (e) M'Connel v. Hector, 3 B. & P. 113; Willison v. Patteson, 7 Taunt.

<sup>(</sup>f) Power v. Butcher, 10 B. & C. 329; 2 Park, Ins. 811. (g) Arnould, Mar. Ins. p. 1, c. 4.

## SECTION 173.

Assurances on a British ship, or goods on board it; "interest or no interest," or "without further proof of interest than the policy," or by way of gaming or wagering, or "without benefit of salvage to the insurer," are void (h). Such assurances are termed wager policies. Provided that wager policies may be made on British privateers, fitted out solely to cruise against enemies (i); and on all foreign ships (k).

## SECTION 174.

It being thus necessary that the insured be interested in the subject matter of the policy, he cannot sue on the policy if before the loss he has assigned his interest in the thing insured to another person.

#### ILLUSTRATION.

If after effecting a policy of insurance on a vessel, the insured sell it, he cannot sue on the policy for any loss or damage it may sustain after the assignment, except as a trustee for the assignee, in cases where the policy was expressly or impliedly assigned to the assignee of the vessel (i).

# SECTION 175.

If a person be interested in a thing, he may insure it to the extent of such interest; unless the interest be based on an illegal or void contract.

A mortgagee of a vessel can insure it.

<sup>(</sup>h) 19 Geo. 2, c. 37, s. 1; Allkins v. Jupe, 2 C. P. D. 375.
(i) Ib. s. 2.

<sup>(</sup>k) Thelluson v. Fletcher, 1 Doug. 315; Nantes v. Thompson, 2 East, 385.

<sup>(1)</sup> Powles v. Innes, 11 M. & W. 10.

The owner of a ship may insure not only the ship, but also the freight, either for the whole voyage or for part of it (m), including the advantage he would derive from carrying his own goods in his own vessel (n).

The shipper of the cargo may, besides insuring the goods shipped, also insure the amount of the profits he expects to realize. But profits on goods never actually shipped, will not be an insurable interest (o).

But an insurance effected on the expected profits of a cargo, to which the insured is entitled under a contract void by the Statute of Frauds, is invalid (p).

If the voyage insured be prohibited by law, or the cargo insured is intended to be forwarded to an enemy without the royal licence (trading with an enemy without the king's licence being illegal), the policy will be avoided (q).

Commissions on sales of the merchandise shipped, may be insured; and also advances on bottomry or respondentia can be insured by the lender (r).

The wages of the master of a ship can be insured; but not the wages of the seamen or officers (s).

<sup>(</sup>m) Montgomery v. Eggington, 3 T. R. 362; Taylor v. Wilson, 15 East, 324; Michael v. Gillespy, 2 C. B., N. S. 627. If part of the freight be pre-paid, he may insure the remainder, see Allison v. The

Bristol Marine Insurance Co., 1 App. Cas. 209.
(n) Flint v. Fleming, 1 B. & Ad. 45; Devaux v. J'Anson, 5 Bing. N. C. 519.

<sup>(</sup>a) Anderson v. Morice, L. R., 10 C. P. 58, 609; M'Swinney v. Royal Exch. Assurance Co., 14 Q. B. 646 and 634.

(p) Stockdale v. Dunlop, 6 M. & W. 224.

(q) Campden v. Anderson, 6 T. R. 723; Johnson v. Sutton, 1 Doug. 254; Delmada v. Motteux, Park, 357; Potts v. Bell, 8 T. R. 548; Esposito v. Bowden, 7 E. & B. 763.

<sup>(</sup>r) Glover v. Black, 3 Burr. 1394. Duff v. Mackenzie, 3 C. B., N. S. 16; Webster v. De Tastet, 7 T. R. 157.

#### Section 176.

An assignee of a policy must, in order to recover on it, be the assignee of both the insurable interest covered by it, and of the policy itself (t).

The assignee of a policy may sue on it in his own name (u).

The policy may be assigned either in the form prescribed by 31 & 32 Vict. c. 86, s. 2, sched.; or by indorsement; or by mere delivery, provided such delivery be accompanied by the intention to assign the policy.

After an absolute assignment, the original assured will have no right of action on the policy; not even as an agent or trustee (x).

But the assured will not lose his right to recover, by merely pledging the bill of lading as a collateral security (y).

<sup>(</sup>t) Arnould, pt. 1, c. 3.

<sup>(</sup>u) 31 & 32 Vict. c. 86, s. 1. (x) Powles v. Innes, 11 M. & W. 10; North of England Oil-cake Co. v. Archangel Mar. Ins. Co., L. R., 10 Q. B. 249; et vide Section 174.
(y) Hibbert v. Carter, 1 T. R. 745.

# CHAPTER II.

#### THE POLICY.

# SECTION 177.

An ordinary policy of maritime insurance is in the following form:—

8. G.

£

Delivered the day of 187 No.

BE IT KNOWN THAT as well in own names as for and in the name and names of all and every other person or persons to whom the same doth, may, or shall appertain, in part or in all, doth make assurance and cause them, and every of them, to be insured, lost or not lost, at and from Upon any kind of goods and merchandises, and also upon the body, tackle, apparel, ordnance, munition, artillery, boat, and other furniture, of and in the , whereof is master under good ship or vessel called the God, for this present voyage, or whosoever else shall go for master in the said ship, or by whatsoever other name or names the said ship, or the master thereof, is or shall be named or called; beginning the adventure upon the said goods and merchandises from the loading thereof aboard the said ship, upon the said ship, &c. and so shall continue and endure, during her abode there, upon the said ship, &c. And further, until the said ship, with all her ordnance, tackle, apparel, &c., and goods and merchandise whatsoever, shall be arrived upon the said ship, &c. until she hath moored at anchor twenty-fours hours in good safety; and upon the goods and merchandises, until the same be there discharged and safely landed. And it shall be lawful for the said ship, &c. in this voyage, to proceed and sail to and touch and stay at any ports or places whatwithout prejudice to this insurance. The said ship, &c. goods and merchandises, &c. for so much as concerns the assured, by agreement between the assured and the assurers in this policy, are and shall be valued at

Touching the adventures and perils which we the assurers are contented to bear and do take upon us in

this voyage: they are of the seas, men-of-war, fire, enemies, pirates, rovers, thieves, jettisons, letters of mart and counter-mart, surprisals, takings at sea, arrests, restraints, and detainments of all kings, princes, and people, of what nation, condition, or quality soever; barratry of the master and mariners, and of all other perils, losses, and misfortunes, that have or shall come to the hurt, detriment, or damage of the said goods, and merchandises, and ship, &c. or any part thereof. And in case of any loss or misfortune, it shall be lawful to the assured, their factors, servants, and assigns, to sue, labour, and travel for, in and about the defence, safeguard, and recovery of the said goods and merchandises, and ship, &c. or any part thereof, without prejudice to this insurance; to the charges whereof we the assurers will contribute each one according to the rate and quantity of his sum herein assured. And it is agreed by us the insurers, that this writing or policy of assurance shall be of as much force and effect as the surest writing or policy of assurance heretofore made in Lombard Street, or in the Royal Exchange, or elsewhere in London. And so we the assurers are contented, and do hereby promise and bind ourselves, each one for his own part, our heirs, executors, and goods, to the assured, their executors, administrators and assigns, for the true performance of the premises, confessing ourselves paid the consideration due unto us for this assurance by the assured, at and after the

In witness whereof we the assurers have subscribed our names and sums

assured in London.

N.B.—Corn, fish, salt, fruit, flour, and seed, are warranted free from average, unless general, or the ship be stranded—sugar, tobacco, hemp, flax, hides and skins, are warranted free from average, under free pounds per cent., and all other goods, also the ship and freight are warranted free from average, under three pounds per cent. unless general, or the ship be stranded (a).

#### SECTION 178.

Marine policies consist of two classes, open and valued. In an open policy, the value of the thing insured is not included in the policy, and consequently in the event of a loss has to be proved at the trial.

In a valued policy, the value of the subject matter of the insurance is fixed by the parties, and included in the

<sup>(</sup>a) This is the form authorized by 30 & 31 Vict. c. 23, s. 5, and Sched. E.

policy. The value so included is conclusive on both insurer (a), and insured (b).

If the insured fraudulently value his interest at too high a rate, to cheat the underwriters, he cannot recover even to the extent of his real interest; for the fraud entirely vitiates the contract (c).

## SECTION 179.

Every contract of maritime insurance must be set forth in the policy. The policy must specify the risk, the names of the subscribers or underwriters, and the sums insured (d).

Therefore no action can be maintained on a slip (e).

The amount of the premium is usually mentioned in the policy; though it is not now essential to do so (f).

# SECTION 180.

If the name or names of one or more of the persons interested in the insurance, or of the consignors or consignees, or of the agent in Great Britain who effected the policy, or of the person who gives the order to the agent to effect the insurance, be not inserted in it, the policy is void (g). Thus, policies in blank are void.

<sup>(</sup>a) Lewis v. Rucker, 2 Burr. 1171; Irving v. Manning, 1 H. L. Ca. 287; Lidget v. Secretan, L. R., 6 C. P. 616.

<sup>(</sup>b) North of England Ins. Ass. v. Armstrong, L. R., 5 Q. B. 244. (c) Haigh v. De la Cour, 3 Camp. 319.

<sup>(</sup>d) 30 & 31 Vict. c. 23, s. 7.

<sup>(</sup>e) Fisher v. The Liverpool Marine Insurance Co., L. R., 9 Q. B. 418. (f) 30 & 31 Vict. c. 23, s. 7, and Sched. E.

<sup>(</sup>g) 28 Geo. 3, c. 56; Wolff v. Horncastle, 1 B. & P. 316; Bell v. Janson, 1 M. & S. 201.

#### SECTION 181.

The thing insured must be accurately described in the policy (h). But the nature of the interest of the insured in it need not be expressed (i).

Thus, an insurance on goods and merchandise will not cover profits or commissions on their sale. Neither will it cover advances on bottomry or respondentia (k).

If the policy be on "goods," only goods of ordinary risk will be included, and therefore goods lashed on deck will not be; except of course goods usually stowed there (l).

A policy on "freight" will include the benefit a shipowner expects to derive from carrying his own goods in his own ship (m). But freight will not be covered by a policy on goods (n).

A policy on "furniture" will include provisions (o). An insurance on piece goods will not cover hats (p).

# SECTION 182.

The policy is sometimes on goods "to be thereafter declared or valued, by ship or ships." This declaration of interest can only be made in accordance with the policy (q). The declaration does not require the underwriters' consent, but should be communicated to them (r).

<sup>(</sup>h) Glover v. Black, 3 Burr. 1394.

<sup>(</sup>i) Crowley v. Cohen, 3 B. & Ad. 478.

<sup>(</sup>k) Glover v. Black, 3 Burr. 1394.

<sup>(</sup>l) Ross v. Thwaite, Park, 26; Da Costa v. Edmunds, 4 Camp. 142. (m) Flint v. Fleming, 1 B. & Ad. 45; Devaux v. I'Anson, 5 Bing. N. C. 519.

<sup>(</sup>n) Baillie v. Moudigliani, Park, 90.

<sup>(</sup>o) Brough v. Whitmore, 4 T. R. 206. (p) Hunter v. Prinsep, 1 Marshall, Ins. 323. (q) Entwistle v. Ellis, 2 H. & N. 549.

<sup>(</sup>r) Harman v. Kingston, 3 Camp. 150.

#### SECTION 183.

The intended voyage must be precisely described in the The omission of the place at which the risk is to commence running will avoid the policy for uncertainty (t). But the omission of the time will make the risk run from the date of the policy (u).

#### SECTION 184.

If the words "at and from" the ship's loading port be inserted in the policy, the insurer will be liable for any accident to the ship after her arrival or during her stay at her loading port, as a fire or detention in consequence of an embargo (x).

But if the vessel be not at the loading port at the date of the policy, or do not arrive there soon afterwards in good condition, the underwriters will be discharged (y).

The ship must leave the loading port as soon after arrival as she reasonably can. An owner desiring to protect his vessel, during a stay in port, should insert a clause to that effect in the policy (z).

#### SECTION 185.

If a vessel arrive at the outward port a mere wreck, a policy on her homeward voyage is of no effect (a).

<sup>(</sup>s) Robertson v. French, 4 East, 130; Langhorn v. Hardy, 4 Taunt. 628.

<sup>(</sup>t) Molloy, B. 2, c. 7.

<sup>(</sup>u) Ball v. Knight, Fitz. 274.

<sup>(</sup>x) Haughton v. The Empire M. I. Co., L. R., 1 Exch. 206; Rotch v. Edie, 6 T. R. 413; Palmer v. Marshall, 8 Bing. 79.

<sup>(</sup>y) Hull v. Cooper, 14 East, 479; De Wolf v. The Archangel M. B. S. I. Co., L. R., 9 Q. B. 451; Bell v. Bell, 2 Camp. 475.
(z) Palmer v. Fenning, 9 Bing. 460; Palmer v. Marshall, 8 Bing. 79.

<sup>(</sup>a) Parmeter v. Cousins, 2 Camp. 235.

## SECTION 186.

If an insurance be effected "at and from on freight," when the vessel insured is at a foreign port, it will only cover the homeward freight; and not even that, until the ship can commence shipping a homeward cargo (b).

## SECTION 187.

If the insurance be "to an island" or "district," the risk will determine at the first port at which the vessel stops to unload in the island or district (c).

To avoid this result, the owner should insure his vessel "to her port or ports of discharge."

### SECTION 188.

If the words "lost or not lost" (Gallice "sur bonnes et mauvaises nouvelles") are added to the list of perils enumerated in a marine policy, though the ship were lost at the date of the insurance being effected, or though the thing insured had not vested in the insured at the time of the loss, the underwriters will be liable for any loss occasioned by any of the perils (d).

But if the insured knew, when effecting the insurance, that the vessel was lost, the policy will be vitiated on account of the fraud.

Sometimes the words "lost or not lost," are restrained by warranting the vessel to be well on a certain day.

<sup>(</sup>b) Williamson v. Innes, 1 M. & Rob. 88.
(c) Camden v. Cowley, 1 W. Bl. 417; Leigh v. Mather, 1 Esp. 411.
(d) Jeffreys v. Legendra, 1 Show. 189; E. of March v. Pigott, 5 Burr.

Even then, however, if she were well on any part of that day, the underwriter will be responsible, though she were lost before the policy was underwritten (e).

### ILLUSTRATION.

If a merchant insured goods he bought at sea, "lost or not lost," the underwriter would be liable for any loss sustained by them during the voyage, but before the purchase (f).

## Section 189.

A policy of insurance, made in the United Kingdom, must be stamped when effected. If it is not, it can only be admitted in evidence on the payment of 100l. penalty, as well as the proper stamp duty (g).

But a foreign policy of insurance need not be stamped; unless it be made for or by an English insurance broker, or unless the loss be payable or recoverable here. In these two cases the policy may be stamped within two months after its arrival in this country, but not afterwards (h).

## SECTION 190.

The sue and labour clause in a policy makes it lawful for the assured or his servants to try to prevent imminent damage to the thing insured, without his being liable for any loss resulting therefrom. It also enables the assured to recover all monies he expended in endeavouring to prevent the loss.

(Å) 28 & 29 Vict. c. 96, s. 15.

<sup>(</sup>e) Blackhurst v. Cockell, 3 T. R. 360. (f) Sutherland v. Pratt, 11 M. & W. 296. g) 39 & 40 Vict. c. 6, s. 2; 30 & 31 Vict. c. 23, s. 9.

### Section 191.

Any material alteration without the consent of the parties will vitiate the policy, provided such alteration be made after it is signed (i). The policy, if altered even with the consent of the parties, will be avoided unless a new stamp be impressed on it; except the alteration is merely to rectify a mistake (k).

### Section 192.

Parol evidence is not admissible to alter or control the meaning of a policy (1). But it is admissible in order to explain it according to the custom of trade.

### ILLUSTRATION.

Parol evidence was admitted, for the purpose of explaining a policy, to prove that the Gulf of Finland is deemed by merchants part of the Baltic (m).

### SECTION 193.

The usage of a single house, e.g. Lloyd's, not being the usage of trade, will not bind the contracting party; unless he be proved to have known of it (n).

<sup>(</sup>i) Master v. Miller, Smith, L. Cas. Vol. I. 870, and notes.

<sup>(</sup>k) 30 & 31 Vict. c. 23, s. 10.

<sup>(1)</sup> Aguilar v. Rodgers, 7 T. R. 421; Yates v. Pym, 6 Taunt. 446; Hall v. Janson, 4 E. & B. 500.

<sup>(</sup>m) Udhe v. Walters, 3 Camp. 15. (n) Gabay v. Lloyd, 3 B. & C. 793.

# CHAPTER III.

## EFFECT OF PATTAMENT OF A POLICY.

# SECTION 144

Are deviation by the vessel from the veysige specified in the policy, which violates the warmany me to deviate, , see Chap VII. a 27% will violate the policy and release the underwrites v. Provided that if the ship be morely unpresent unpoted by an actional site may go to a negativeness, part to repair to it want ill the impediment, a p an ambanger is removed i.

# Survey List.

the exercise of a surface in the control of the con

the highest are country are inviting in minoused where he is a surface of the country are in the country of the country.

# Ser. 1. 18.

The spile manner, or may the total the transfer

I satisfied a Committee to the first of the common of Morris of M. E. C.

Store when a service of the common of th

commence loading at one port, and finish at another port out of the course of her intended voyage, it is a deviation and will vitiate the policy (d).

### Section 197.

An insurance on a voyage to several ports specified, will cover a voyage to all or any of them; provided that the vessel must visit them in the order in which they are mentioned in the policy (e), and must not divide the voyage, as by loading goods at one port, and unloading them and shipping others at one of the other ports specified (f).

If a vessel be insured to a place generally, without providing for her safety while there, the risk will continue till she is anchored at her port of destination, in the usual place for discharging her cargo (g).

## SECTION 198.

If a vessel be insured for a voyage from or to a district having several ports, the ports must be visited in their geographical order; unless otherwise stipulated by the parties (h).

<sup>(</sup>d) Brown v. Tayleur, 4 Ad. & E. 241.

<sup>(</sup>e) Marsden v. Reid, 3 East, 572.

<sup>(</sup>f) Sellar v. M'Vicar, 1 N. R. 23.
(g) Stone v. Marine Insurance Co. of Gothenburg, 1 Exch. D. 81.
(h) Clason v. Simmonds, 6 T. R. 533, n.

# CHAPTER IV.

## DURATION OF THE RISK.

## Section 199.

THE risk of the underwriters is as a rule limited to cease when the ship has been moored "for twenty-four hours in good safety," at the port at which she is intended to unload.

The words "good safety" are material. For if the ship, having arrived a mere wreck, foundered after the expiration of the twenty-four hours, the underwriters will be liable on the policy (i).

But if after continuing for twenty-four hours from her arrival in safety, she be lost, even though on account of an act performed during the voyage, e.g., on account of smuggling (k); or if the words "good safety" be not inserted in the policy; the underwriters will be free from all risk at the expiration of the twenty-four hours, whatever the condition of the vessel.

## SECTION 200.

If the risk is to continue "until the ship be discharged from her voyage," the underwriters' liability will not determine till the goods are unshipped (*l*).

<sup>(</sup>i) Shawe v. Felton, 2 East, 109; Minett v. Anderson, Peake's R. 277.

<sup>(</sup>k) Lockyer v. Officy, 1 T. R. 252; Angerstein v. Bell, Park, 55. (l) Com. Dig. Merc. E.

### Section 201.

The risk on the goods is generally limited, by the policy, to run "until the goods be discharged and safely landed."

The underwriter, on such a limitation, continues liable, though the loss occurred after the goods were trans-shipped into lighters according to the usual custom (m); unless the insured had at the time of the loss taken possession of them, or interfered with them (n).

The risk commences to run as soon as the goods are shipped.

### ILLUSTRATIONS.

Certain goods insured from London to Janaica generally, were destined to a particular place in the island. The usual course in such cases was for the ship to go to an adjoining port, and there to transship the cargo into shallops. Held:—the underwriters, though they had no notice of this, were liable for a loss occurring after such transshipment on board the shallops (o).

Goods were insured to London, and until the same should be safely landed there. On the arrival of the ship in the port of London, the owner of the goods sent his lighter, and received them out of the ship. Before the goods reached the land they were damaged by an accident. Held:—the underwriter was not liable for such damage (p).

<sup>(</sup>m) Stewart v. Bell, 5 B. & Ald. 238; Matthie v. Potts, 3 B. & P.23.

<sup>(</sup>n) Sparrow v. Caruthers, 2 Str. 1236; Strong v. Natally, 1 N. R. 16.

<sup>(</sup>o) Stewart v. Bell, 5 B. & Ald. 238.
(p) Sparrow v. Caruthers, 2 Str. 1236.

# CHAPTER V.

## LOSSES COVERED AND EXCEPTED BY THE POLICY.

### SECTION 202.

THE following are the losses generally covered by the policy:—Perils of the sea; fire; capture; arrest; embargo; pirates; negligence of crew; and barratry.

## Section 203.

"Perils of the sea" will only cover casualties arising strictly from sea damage, as, e.g., by a tempest or a collision (a).

But a loss occasioned by delay on account of bad weather or by rats or worms is not such a loss (b).

If the vessel be not heard of within a reasonable time after sailing, the presumption is that she has foundered (c); and the insured may recover for a loss by "perils of the sea."

A loss caused immediately by perils of the sea is within the policy, though it might not have occurred but for the

<sup>(</sup>a) Com. Dig. Merc. E. 9; Shaw v. Felton, 2 East, 109; Montoya v. London Assurance Co., 6 Exch. 451; Cator v. The Great Western Insurance Co., L. R., 8 C. P. 552.

<sup>(</sup>b) Taylor v. Dunbar, L. R., 4 C. P. 207; Rohl v. Parr, 1 Esp. 445; Hunter v. Potts, 4 Camp. 203.

<sup>(</sup>c) Park, 105, 106; Cohen v. Hinckley, 2 Camp. 50.

concurrent action of some other cause, not within the policy (d).

# Section 204.

The underwriter is liable for any loss by fire, when caused by negligence of the crew, accident, lightning, or by an act required by the State (e); unless, in the case of goods, they were so damaged when shipped as to generate the fire which destroyed them (f).

### ILLUSTRATION.

If the master burns the ship to save her from capture, it is a loss by fire within the policy (g).

## SECTION 205.

The insurance against "capture" will cover capture by an enemy, but not capture by a British vessel.

If the vessel be recaptured from the enemy, and the owners can have a restitution on paying salvage, the underwriters must indemnify them against the cost of obtaining restitution (h).

An insurance against capture by a British war vessel is illegal (i).

## SECTION 206.

The insurance against "pirates, rovers, and thieves" only applies to persons not belonging to the ship (j), and

<sup>(</sup>d) Dudgeon v. Pembroke, 1 Q. B. D. 96; 2 App. Cas. 284.

<sup>(</sup>e) Gordon v. Rimmington, 1 Camp. 123; Austin v. Drew, 6 Taunt. 435; Hollingworth v. Brodick, 7 Ad. & E. 40; Busk v. Royal Exchange Ass. Co., 2 B. & Ald. 73.

<sup>(</sup>f) Boyd v. Dubois, 3 Camp. 132.

<sup>(</sup>g) Gordon v. Rimington, 1 Camp. 123. (h) Berens v. Rucker, 1 W. Bla. 313.

<sup>(</sup>i) Furtado v. Rodgers, 3 B. & P. 191; Gamba v. Le Mesurier, 4 East, 407.

<sup>(</sup>j) Taylor v. Liverpool & G. W. Steam Co., L. R., 9 Q. B. 546.

therefore will not cover a robbery by one of the crew. also only applies to robbery with violence, and not to simple theft (k).

### Section 207.

The insurance against "arrests, restraints, and detainments of kings, princes, and people" will cover an embargo or a prohibition of State (1), but not a detainment of the vessel by a riotous mob (m).

An "embargo" is a prohibition to depart, or restraint laid on ships or merchandise by the governing body of some State.

A "prohibition of State" prevents foreign ships from putting to sea during war, or excludes them from entering British ports.

# Section 208.

A loss occasioned by the negligence or misconduct of the crew is covered by an ordinary policy, and the underwriters are therefore liable for it. But the owner must, as a condition precedent, provide a competent master and crew; otherwise the underwriter will be discharged from his liability (n).

## Section 209.

Barratry means any cheat or fraud or criminal negligence committed by the captain or mariners of a ship, by

<sup>(</sup>k) Arnould, Mar. Ins., p. 757.
(d) Aubert v. Gray, 32 L. J., Q. B. 50; Rodocanachi v. Elliott, L. Ř., 9 C. P. 518.

<sup>(</sup>m) Nesbitt v. Lushington, 4 T. R. 783. (n) Per Lord Tenterden in Shore v. Bentall, 7 B. & C. 798, n.; Redmam v. Wilson, 14 M. & W. 476.

which the owners or freighters are prejudiced; but not an act committed through incompetence or ignorance.

### ILLUSTRATIONS.

A wilful deviation by the master in fraud of the owner is an instance of barratry (o); but a deviation through mere ignorance is not.

The captain or crew smuggling, or seizing the ship (p), or delaying the voyage with a fraudulent intention (q), will constitute barratry.

### SECTION 210.

The loss by barratry must occur during the voyage, otherwise the underwriter will not be liable.

# ILLUSTRATION.

Captain of a vessel commits during a voyage barratry by smuggling. Therefore the ship is arrested, but not till she has been moored twenty-four hours in safety at her destined port. The underwriter will not be liable; for loss did not occur during the voyage (r).

#### Section 211.

If the owner of the ship act as master, he cannot commit barratry as against himself (s). Provided that a co-owner acting as master may commit barratry (t).

If the fraud be committed with the owner's consent, it will not constitute barratry (u); but if done without his consent, though for his advantage, it will (x).

<sup>(</sup>o) Lockyer v. Offley, 1 T. R. 252.

<sup>(</sup>p) Hibbert v. Martin, 1 Camp. 538; Toulmin v. Anderson, 1 Taunt. 227.

<sup>(</sup>q) Roscow v. Corson, 8 Taunt. 684.

<sup>(</sup>r) Lockyer v. Offley, 1 T. R. 252. (s) Ross v. Hunter, 4 T. R. 33.

<sup>(</sup>t) Jones v. Nicholson, 10 Exch. 28.

<sup>(</sup>u) Nutt v. Bordieu, 1 T. R. 323.

<sup>(</sup>x) Earle v. Rowcroft, 8 East, 126.

# SECTION 212.

The guarantee in the policy against "other perils" will cover a loss not caused by any of the specified perils.

### ILLUSTRATION.

A crew of a British man-of-war, believing a ship they meet to be hostile, fire on it and sink it. This is a loss by other perils (y).

### SECTION 213.

If two or more of the perils insured against contribute equally to the loss, the loss may be attributed to either.

But if they do not contribute equally, the loss must be ascribed to whichever of them proximately caused it (z).

## ILLUSTRATION.

A vessel insured is delivered up to an enemy by its crew in fraud of the owner. In an action on the policy the loss may be ascribed to either capture or barratry (a).

### SECTION 214.

The following losses are not covered by the policy:—Inherent vice in thing insured (b); leakage and breakage; ordinary wear and tear (c); mortality among animals, unless caused by violence (d); losses only remotely result-

<sup>(</sup>y) Cullon v. Butler, 5 M. & Sel. 461; and see Phillips v. Barber, 5 B. & Ald. 161.

<sup>(</sup>z) Hagedorn v. Whitmore, 1 Stark. 157; Livie v. Janson, 12 East, 648; Dent v. Smith, L. R., 4 Q. B. 414.

<sup>(</sup>a) Arcangelo v. Thompson, 2 Camp. 620; Toulmin v. Anderson, 1 Taunt. 227; Hucks v. Thornton, 1 Holt, 30.

<sup>(</sup>b) Taylor v. Dunbar, L. R., 4 C. P. 206.(c) Stevens' Av. 160, 166.

<sup>(</sup>d) Lawrence v. Aberdein, 5 B. & Ald. 107.

ing from the perils insured against (e); theft; loss caused by negligence of insured (f).

So if the vessel does not complete her voyage through fear of an embargo (g), or if her port of destination be blockaded (h), or if the cargo be seized after being landed in the customary manner (i), the policy will not cover such losses.

## Section 215.

If the vessel insured damages another ship by a collision, each vessel has to pay one-half the loss sustained by both. Consequently, if the insured vessel has done more damage than she has received, her owners, if they pay the difference, cannot recover it from the underwriters (k). For the proximate cause, the collision, is not one of the perils insured against.

# Section 216.

The memorandum at the end of the policy is inserted to free the underwriter from liability on account of small averages.

By virtue of it, he is not liable for any loss (l) on the articles enumerated first in the memorandum, or for any loss under 5 per cent. on the second class of articles, or for

<sup>(</sup>e) Powell v. Gudgeon, 5 M. & Sel. 431; De Vaux v. Salvador, 4 A. & E. 420.

<sup>(</sup>f) Thompson v. Hopper, 6 E. & B. 172. (g) Forster v. Christie, 11 East, 205.

<sup>(</sup>h) Hadkinson v. Robinson, 3 B. & P. 388.

<sup>(</sup>i) Brown v. Carstairs, 3 Camp. 161.

<sup>(</sup>k) De Vaux v. Salvador, 4 Ad. & E. 420. (l) The Gt. Indian P. R. Co. v. Saunders, 2 B. & S. 266; Booth v. Gair, 15 C. B., N. S. 291.

any loss under 3 per cent. on the third class, unless the loss were occasioned by a general average or the stranding of the ship.

### Section 217.

Every average or partial loss is a charge on the underwriters, if a stranding has occurred, whether or not the loss were really caused by the stranding (m).

To constitute a stranding the ship must be stationary at time of stranding. Therefore, if after striking on a rock the ship remain only a short period before sinking, it will not be a stranding (n). So if the vessel takes the ground in the ordinary course of navigation, and not through accident, such will not constitute a stranding (o).

<sup>(</sup>m) Wells v. Hopwood, 3 B. & Ad. 34; Kingsford v. Marshall, 8 Bing. 458.

<sup>(</sup>n) MacDougle v. R. E. A. Co, 4 M. & Sel. 505. (o) Per Lord Tenterden, Wells v. Hopwood, 3 B. & Ad. 34.

# CHAPTER VI.

### TOTAL LOSS AND ABANDONMENT.

## SECTION 218.

Losses under a marine policy constitute either a total loss or a partial loss.

There are two kinds of total loss, one total per se, the other not total per se, but rendered total by abandonment. The latter is termed a constructive total loss. A loss total per se occurs when no part of the subject matter of the insurance exists in the possession of or for the benefit of the insured, or when no part of it exists fit for any useful purpose.

If the loss be a total one, notice of abandonment is not required.

#### ILLUSTRATIONS.

If the vessel be arrested, destroyed by fire, wrecked or lost through barratry, or if the goods sink to the bottom of the ocean, or are confiscated, the loss will be total *per se*, and no abandonment is necessary (a).

If sea-damaged goods are sold during the voyage, because they would be completely useless on reaching their destination, such will be considered a total loss, and the insured need not give notice of abandonment (b).

<sup>(</sup>a) Mullett v. Shedden, 13 East, 304; Mellish v. Andrews, 15 East,
13; Bondrett v. Hentigg, 1 Holt, 149; Dixon v. Reid, 5 B. & Al. 597;
Stringer v. English & Scottish Insurance Co., L. R., 5 Q. B. 599.
(b) Roux v. Salvador, 3 Bing. N. C. 266.

### Section 219.

If the loss be not total per se, notice of abandonment must be given by insured to underwriter, in order that the loss may become a total loss.

Notice of abandonment means notice that the insured will cede all his claims to and rights in the subject matter of the insurance in favour of the underwriter, provided the underwriter will pay him the value of the thing insured (c).

When notice of abandonment is required by law, not only the subject matter of the insurance or part of it must exist in specie, but there also must be a prospect, however remote, of its reaching its destination, or at least a prospect of its value being affected by the measures which may be adopted for its recovery or preservation (d). Thus notice of abandonment is not required where nothing remains to be abandoned (e).

# Section 220.

The following circumstances will justify the shipowner, in giving notice of abandonment of a vessel insured, and in claiming for a constructive total loss-

- (1) Capture of the vessel by an enemy (f).
- (2) Arrest, detention or embargo of the ship, unless of trifling duration (q).
- (3) If the ship is injured to such an extent that it is practically useless, and the cost of extricating and

<sup>(</sup>c) Thellusson v. Fletcher, 1 Esp. 72; Parmeter v. Todhunter, 1 Camp. 541.

<sup>(</sup>d) Per cur. in Roux v. Salvador, 3 Bing. N. C. 287.
(e) Potter v. Rankin, L. R., 6 H. of L. Cas. 83.
(f) Hamilton v. Mendes, 2 Burr. 1198; Paterson v. Ritchie, 4 M. & Sel. 393. But see Section 228 and Illustrations.

<sup>(</sup>g) Rotch v. Edie, 6 T. R. 413; Forster v. Christie, 11 East, 205.

repairing her would exceed what she was worth; provided she was seaworthy at the commencement of her voyage.

But if the vessel can be repaired so as to keep the sea at less expense than her repaired value, the insured cannot abandon merely because on account of the decayed condition of the vessel the expense of complete repairs would be greater than this  $(\hbar)$ .

(4) Barratrous seizure, or total desertion at sea of the vessel by the crew.

# SECTION 221.

The owner of goods insured will be prima facie justified in giving notice of abandonment of them, in the event of—

- (1) Capture, arrest or embargo, unless of a limited duration.
- (2) Barratrous seizure or desertion of the vessel by the crew.
- (3) Owner being deprived of the control over his goods (i).
- (4) The ship being disabled, and the goods (being of a perishable nature and sea damaged) being sold by the master. Provided that the ship cannot be soon repaired, or another procured (k).

If before the action brought, the goods have been restored to the insured, or if they have been brought into

<sup>(</sup>h) Arnould, Mar. Ins. p. 1008; Irving v. Manning, 1 H. of L. Cas. 287.

<sup>(</sup>i) Arnould, Mar. Ins. p. 1015. (k) Rosetto v. Gurney, 11 C. B. 176; Farnworth v. Hyde, L. R., 2 C. P. 204; Meyer v. Ralli, 1 C. P. D. 358.

England, and he can take possession of them, he will lose his right to recover for a constructive total loss (l).

## SECTION 222.

If freight be insured, the freight can be justifiably abandoned by the assured, if there has been a constructive total loss of the ship (m).

Consequently, detention, capture or any other peril insured against will entitle assured to recover as for a total loss of the freight; unless the freight be earned before action brought, for under a policy on freight generally the underwriters will be discharged from liability, if any freight at all be earned (n).

If both ship and cargo are justifiably sold abroad, the insured can recover for a total loss on freight, without giving notice of abandonment.

Mere fact of the shipmaster being unable to forward the cargo will not be a constructive total loss on freight (o).

# Section 223.

In policies on freight, if the ship is capable of carrying the goods, the underwriter will not be liable if the master sell them or abandon them on account of the cost of conveying them (p). Unless the goods have been so damaged, by a peril of the sea during the voyage, that the

<sup>(1)</sup> Naylor v. Taylor, 9 B. & Cr. 718; 4 M. & Ryl. 526; Dixon v. Reid, 5 B. & Ald. 597.

<sup>(</sup>m) Per Tindal, C. J., Benson v. Chapman, 6 M. & Gr. 810.
(n) Everth v. Smith, 2 M. & Sel. 278; Benson v. Chapman, 2 H. of L. Cas. 696.

<sup>(</sup>o) Moss v. Smith, 9 C. B. 94. (p) Mordy v. Jones, 4 B. & C. 394.

carriage of them to their port of destination would cost more than they would realise there; for then the insured may recover from underwriter the freight (q).

## SECTION 224.

The insured, though he may be entitled to abandon, is never compelled to do so.

If the insured be entitled to abandon, he must give notice of abandonment within a reasonable time, after receiving reliable information of the loss, and having had an opportunity of estimating the damage done (r). If he omits to do so, the underwriter will not be liable for a total loss.

## Section 225.

A part of the thing insured cannot be abandoned. Abandonment cannot be partial, it must apply to the whole subject matter of the insurance (s).

It must also be unconditional; and made in express terms. Unless the underwriter agrees to accept a conditional abandonment (t); or acquiesces in a defective notice of abandonment (u).

A parol abandonment is binding (x); and no precise

<sup>(</sup>q) Michael v. Gillespy, 2 C. B., N. S. 627.

<sup>(</sup>r) Mitchell v. Edie, 1 T. R. 608; Potter v. Rankin, L. R., 6 H. L. 83; Fleming v. Smith, 1 H. L. Cas. 513; Parker v. Blakes, 9 East, 283; Aldridge v. Bell, 1 Stark. 498; Read v. Bonham, 3 B. & B. 147; Gernon v. R. E. A. Co., 6 Taunt. 383; Anderson v. Wallis, 2 M. & S. 241; Kaltenbach v. Mackenzie, 3 C. P. D. 467.

(s) Park, 229.

(t) M'Masters v. Shoolbred, 1 Esp. 237.

<sup>(</sup>u) Hudson v. Harrison, 3 B. & B. 97.

<sup>(</sup>x) Read v. Bonham, 3 B. & B. 147.

form is required for it, but it should contain or refer to the grounds of the abandonment (y).

An abandonment accepted in any form by the underwriters is irrevocable, unless made under a mistake of fact (z), e.g., on false information.

## SECTION 226.

Notice of abandonment vests the property in the whole subject matter of the insurance in the underwriter from the moment the loss was sustained, the insured being held a trustee for him(a).

### ILLUSTRATION.

If a vessel be validly abandoned, the underwriter will be entitled to the freight she subsequently earns, even though it be insured by other underwriters. He will also be liable to all the liabilities of an owner, e.g., to pay the wages of the crew (b).

So, if the underwriter has paid for a total loss, he will be entitled to any part of the vessel or goods saved (c).

The assured must if he abandons do everything possible to avert a total loss (d), as by providing for the safeguard or recovery of thing insured.

## Section 227.

There may be a total loss of an integral part of the goods shipped.

 <sup>(</sup>y) Arnould, Mar. Ins. pp. 916 and 918.
 (z) Smith v. Robertson, 2 Dow's H. L. 474.

<sup>(</sup>a) Randall v. Cockran, 1 Ves. sen. 98; Leatham v. Terry, 3 B. & P.

<sup>479;</sup> Thompson v. Roweroft, 4 East, 34.

(b) Case v. Davidson, 2 B. & B. 379; Thompson v. Rowcroft, 4 East, 34; Sharpe v. Gladstone, 7 East, 24; Green v. Royal Exchange Co., 6 Taunt. 68.

<sup>(</sup>c) Houstman v. Thornton, Holt's N. P. 242, per Gibbs, C. J.

<sup>(</sup>d) Arnould, Mar. Mar. Ins. 939.

Consequently, if each package shipped be separately valued and insured, the loss of any one package will be a total loss of it (e). But if the packages be not so separately valued and insured, though each is distinct from the others, the loss of any one of them will not be a total loss of it, but only an average (f).

In the case of a general insurance on various distinct articles, the articles will be severally insured. Consequently, there may be a total loss of any one of them (g).

### Section 228.

A loss originally total may become partial through a subsequent event.

### ILLUSTRATIONS.

If a captured vessel is re-captured, or escapes from her captors, the total loss caused by the capture is reduced to a partial one (h).

If in the case of a policy on freight the vessel is detained under an embargo, the loss will be total. However, if after the embargo is withdrawn the ship earns freight, the total loss will become only a partial (i).

### Section 229.

In calculating a partial loss, if the ship were damaged and repaired by the owner, he can only claim from the underwriter two-thirds of the expense of repairing and not

<sup>(</sup>e) Lewis v. Rucker, 2 Burr. 1167. (f) Ralli v. Janson, 6 E. & B. 422; Hills v. London Assurance Corporation, 5 M. & W. 569.

<sup>(</sup>g) Duff v. Mackenzie, 3 C. B., N. S. 16; Wilkinson v. Hyde, 3 C. B., N. S. 30.

<sup>(</sup>h) Bainbridge v. Neilson, 10 East, 329; Brotherston v. Barber. 5 M. & Š. 418.

<sup>(</sup>i) Macarthy v. Abel, 5 East, 388; Everth v. Smith, 2 M. & S. 278.

the whole (k). This is termed deducting one-third new for old.

If the goods were damaged, the difference between the gross proceeds realised by them on their arrival and the price they would have fetched had they not been damaged must be ascertained, and then the underwriter will be liable for an aliquot part of the original value corresponding with that difference (*l*).

Therefore, if the difference between the gross proceeds and what the goods would have fetched had they not been injured be one-fourth, the underwriter will be liable for one-fourth of the original value, and not one-fourth of what the goods would have realised had they not been injured, for the underwriter insured their original value, and not their eventual proceeds.

In the case of an open policy, the original value is the invoice price at the port of lading, added to the expenses incurred before the goods were shipped, along with the premium and the costs of effecting the insurance (m).

In the case of a valued policy, the original value is of course the value mentioned in the policy (n). In the case of an open policy on freight, the loss is estimated on the gross value, and not on the net, at the port of destination (o).

### Section 230.

The insured may sometimes recover both for a prior

<sup>(</sup>k) Poingdestre v. R. E. A. Co., R. & M. 378; Lohre v. Aitchison, 2 Q. B. D. 501, and 3 Q. B. D. 558.

<sup>(1)</sup> Usher v. Noble, 12 East, 639; Hurry v. R. E. A. Co., 3 B. & P. 308.

<sup>(</sup>m) Langhorn v. Allnutt, 4 Taunt. 511.
(n) Lewis v. Rucker, 2 Burr. 1167.

<sup>(</sup>o) Palmer v. Blackburn, 1 Bing. 61.

partial loss and a subsequent total loss of the same thing.

### ILLUSTRATION.

If the ship be damaged and repaired, and subsequently be wrecked and so become a total loss, the insured may recover from the underwriter both the cost of the repairs, and the value of the thing insured (p).

### SECTION 231.

If the loss be caused by a wrongful act, the underwriters cannot claim damages from the wrong-doer, if the owner of the thing insured cannot; notwithstanding that the underwriters have paid for a total loss, and have therefore all the rights of the owner in the damaged property vested in themselves (q).

### ILLUSTRATION.

If two ships belonging to the same owner be damaged by colliding with each other, the owner cannot of course recover damages on account of his vessels being injured from himself, and consequently the underwriters cannot recover damages (q).

<sup>(</sup>p) Per Lord Ellenborough in Livie v. Janson, 12 East, 648; and see Knight v. Faith, 15 Q. B. 649; Lidget v. Secretan, L. R., 6 C. P. 616.
(q) Simpson v. Thompson, 3 App. Ca. 279.

# CHAPTER VII.

### WARRANTIES-EXPRESS AND IMPLIED.

## SECTION 232.

A marine policy usually contains five express warranties, and three other warranties are implied.

A warranty in a policy is a condition or contingency, and unless it be performed there is no contract. The purpose for which it was introduced is immaterial. It must be *literally* complied with.

The express warranties are-

- (1) The time of sailing;
- (2) The safety of the ship at a particular time;
- (3) That the vessel shall depart with a convoy;
- (4) That the property is neutral; and
- (5) That vessel shall not be seized in her port of discharge.

The implied warranties are-

- (1) That the ship shall not deviate from her proper course;
- (2) That the ship is seaworthy;
- (3) That the insured will take ordinary care to protect the vessel against the risks covered by the policy (a).

<sup>(</sup>a) See Smith's Merc. Law, pp. 366, 371.

## SECTION 233.

Under the warranty of the time of sailing, the ship must be completely unmoored and ready to sail on the day specified.

If the vessel bond fide commence her voyage at the stipulated time, it will be sufficient (b), though she be afterwards detained compulsorily, as by an embargo (c). But mere stress of weather will not excuse her delaying to sail (d).

If the warranty be to "depart" or to "sail from a certain place," the vessel must not only set sail on the voyage, but also be out of port on or before the stipulated day (e).

# Section 234.

The safety of the vessel at a particular time is warranted in order to restrain the force of the words "lost or not lost."

If the vessel be safe at any moment of the day on which it is warranted well, the warranty will be complied with (f).

### SECTION 235.

The warranty that the ship shall leave port with a convoy is usually inserted when war is being waged by the state to which she belongs. The convoy must consist of a naval force under the orders of some individual appointed

<sup>(</sup>b) Wright v. Shiffner, 11 East, 515; 2 Camp. 247; Lang v. Anderdon, 3 B. & C. 495.

<sup>(</sup>c) Bond v. Nutt, Cowp. 601; Cochrance v. Fisher, 1 C. M. & R. 809. (d) Nelson v. Salvador, M. & M. 309; Bouillon v. Lupton, 15 C. B., N. S. 113.

<sup>(</sup>e) Moir v. R. E. A. Co., 3 M. & S. 461; 6 Taunt. 241. (f) Blackhurst v. Cockell, 3 T. R. 360.

by the government of that state. The appointment of its commander by the government is material, for a war vessel by mere chance going on the same voyage as the vessel insured will not be a sufficient convoy within the warranty (g).

The vessel must keep with the convoy during the whole voyage, except when impossible, e.g. in consequence of a storm (h).

To comply with the warranty to depart with convoy, the master must take his sailing instructions from the commander of the convoy before the ship leaves the place of rendezvous (i).

## SECTION 236.

The warranty that the property is neutral is also usually inserted during war. The warranty only refers to the neutrality of the vessel when the risk commences, and does not necessitate the vessel continuing neutral during the voyage (k). Under the warranty the ship must belong to the subject or subjects of a neutral state, and it must be documented as a neutral and navigated in accordance with the rules of International Law and with the treaties entered into between the state to which she belongs and the belligerents (1). Carrying simulated papers, or hostile despatches, or contraband goods, is a breach of the warranty of neutrality. The sentence of a foreign Court having jurisdiction in questions of prize, that the vessel is

<sup>(</sup>g) Hibbert v. Pigou, Park, 498.
(h) Jeffrey v. Legendra, 3 Lev. 320.
(i) Anderson v. Pitcher, 2 B. & P. 164.
(k) Eden v. Parkinson, Dougl. 732; Tyson v. Gurney, 3 T. R. 477.
(l) Garrels v. Kensington, 8 T. R. 230; Rich v. Parker, 7 T. R. 705; Baring v. Christie, 5 East, 395.

lawful prize, will be conclusive evidence in actions on policies of insurance that the warranty has been broken, provided that the basis of the judgment falsify the warranty (m).

## SECTION 237.

The warranty of freedom from seizure in her port of discharge will free the underwriters from any liability in the event of confiscation, seizure, or capture of the ship in the port of discharge. But if the vessel be not within the harbour or within that part of it where ships usually unload when it was seized, the underwriter will not be exempted from liability by this warranty (n).

## SECTION 238.

A warranty that the vessel shall not deviate from the proper course of the voyage insured is always implied in marine insurance. Such a deviation will discharge the underwriter from any liability for a loss occurring after the deviation; but not for a loss occurring before it (o).

Any wilful and unnecessary departure whatever from the proper track of the voyage is sufficient to constitute a deviation, e.g., going into a port for a purpose unconnected with the voyage (p).

No usage of trade can be set up to control the express terms of the policy.

<sup>(</sup>m) Baring v. Claggett, 3 B. & P. 201; Bolton v. Gladstone, 5 East, 155; Garrels v. Kensington, 8 T. R. 230.

<sup>(</sup>n) Keyser v. Scott, 4 Taunt. 660; Levy v. Vaughan, 4 Taunt. 387.
(o) Green v. Young, 2 Raym. 840.

<sup>(</sup>p) Hammond v. Reid, 4 B. & Ald. 72; Solly v. Whitmore, 5 B. & Ald. 45.

Even an express permission granted by the policy must be construed with reference to the main object of the voyage. Therefore, under a liberty to "stop at any port or ports whatever," no stay at any port may be made unless to further the voyage (q).

If the policy permits stopping at several specified ports, they must be visited in the order mentioned in the policy (r), and in all cases the stay must be of a reasonable duration (s).

A liberty to touch at a port implies the liberty of trading there (t).

Cruising for a prize in time of war is a deviation (u), provided the policy does not grant liberty to do so. A liberty to chase will not include a liberty to cruise for prizes.

Mere intention to deviate will not vitiate the policy (x). The following circumstances will, as a general rule, alone justify a deviation:—

- (1) To join a convoy (y);
- (2) Stress of weather (z);
- (3) The necessity of repairing the vessel (a);
- (4) The approach of a hostile ship (b); and

<sup>(</sup>q) Langhorn v. Allnutt, 4 Taunt. 511.

<sup>(</sup>r) Gairdner v. Senhouse, 3 Taunt. 16.

<sup>(</sup>s) Williams v. Shee, 3 Camp. 469; Phillips v. Irving, 7 M. & G. 325.

<sup>(</sup>t) Raine v. Bell, 9 East, 195; Laroche v. Oswin, 12 East, 131.

<sup>(</sup>u) Cock v. Townson, Park, 448. (x) Kewley v. Ryan, 2 H. Bl. 343; Heselton v. Allnutt, 1 M.

<sup>:</sup> S. 46.
(y) D'Aguilar v. Tobin, 1 Holt, 185.

<sup>(</sup>z) Delany v. Stoddart, 1 T. R. 22; Smith v. M'Neil, 2 Dow, 538.

<sup>(</sup>a) Motteux v. L. A. Co., 1 Atk. 547. (b) O'Reilly v. Gonne, 4 Camp. 249.

(5) The mutiny, desertion, or illness of the crew, or any other inevitable accident:

provided that none of the above mentioned circumstances be caused through the fault or negligence of the insured (c).

### Section 239.

A warranty that the vessel insured is in a seaworthy condition at the commencement of the voyage will be implied in all policies of marine insurance, when she has been insured from a port. Or if she has been insured at and from a port, a warranty that she was seaworthy when at the port (d).

The term seaworthy has to be interpreted according to the situation of the vessel. Thus, if she be in port, it means she is in a condition to be reasonably free from danger there, though she may be in want of repairs (e).

A ship to be seaworthy at the commencement of the voyage, must be properly equipped and manned by a competent master and crew, and have on board a pilot, if necessary under an Act of Parliament (f).

There is no implied warranty that the vessel shall continue seaworthy during the voyage, it being sufficient if she be seaworthy at the commencement of the risk (q).

<sup>(</sup>c) Driscol v. Bovil, 1 B. & P. 313; Driscoll v. Passmore, 1 B. & P. 200; Elton v. Brogden, 2 Str. 1264.

<sup>(</sup>d) Small v. Gibson, 16 Q. B. 128, per Parke, B.
(e) Annen v. Woodman, 3 Taunt. 299.
(f) Wedderburn v. Bell, 1 Camp. 1; Wilkie v. Geddes, 3 Dow, 57; Shore v. Bentall, 7 B. & C. 798 n.; Forshaw v. Chabert, 3 B. & B. 158; Tait v. Levi, 14 East, 481.

<sup>(</sup>g) Biccard v. Shepherd, 14 Moore, P. C. C. 471.

## SECTION 240.

A time policy is a policy by which the ship is insured for a certain period, instead of for a certain voyage.

In a time policy, as it is generally effected when the vessel is at sea, or in a position in which the owner cannot know her state, no warranty of seaworthiness is implied by the law(h).

## Section 241.

A warranty that the insured will use ordinary care to protect the vessel against the risks insured against is also implied in every marine policy.

Consequently the insured cannot claim from the underwriters compensation for any losses caused by his own negligence (i).

The owner must see that the vessel has the proper documents on board, viz. such as may be required by the government of the state to which she belongs; and if the warranty of documentation be infringed by the vessel carrying simulated papers, the underwriters will be discharged, provided the ship be condemned by a foreign Prize Court, having jurisdiction, for carrying false papers (k), but not otherwise.

# SECTION 242.

Violation of a mere ex parte regulation of a foreign state

<sup>(</sup>h) Small v. Gibson, 4 H. L. Ca. 353; Michael v. Tredwin, 17 C. B. 551; Jenkins v. Heycock, 8 Moore, P. C. C. 351; Dudgeon v. Pembroke, L. R., 1 Q. B. D. 96; 2 App. Cas. 284.

<sup>(</sup>i) Pipon v. Cope, 1 Camp. 434; Law v. Hollingsworth, 7 T. R. 160; Bell v. Carstairs, 14 East, 374.
(k) Oswald v. Vigne, 15 East, 70; Flindt v. Scott, 5 Taunt. 674.

will not avoid a policy (l). But a violation of a rule of the law of nations will.

## SECTION 243.

If the vessel's national character be expressly warranted, the underwriters will be freed from all liability, if she had not her proper documents on board when she set sail (m). But where no such express warranty has been inserted in the policy, and the implied warranty alone exists, the underwriters will not be discharged from their liability, unless a loss occur in consequence of the proper documents not being carried by the vessel (n).

## Section 244.

In the case of an insurance on goods, no warranty of documentation is implied (o); except when they belong to the owner of the ship conveying them (p).

## Section 245.

The mere fact of the goods lost having been stowed on the deck, will not exempt the underwriters from their liability; but they must prove such lading to be improper (q).

<sup>(1)</sup> Nonnen v. Reid, 16 East, 176.

<sup>(</sup>m) Rich v. Parker, 7 T. R. 705. (n) Bell v. Carstairs, 14 East, 374, per Ellenborough, C. J.

<sup>(</sup>o) Dawson v. Atty, 7 East, 367; Carruthers v. Gray, 15 East, 35.
(p) Bell v. Carstairs, 14 East, 374; Horneyer v. Lushington, 3 Camp. 85.

<sup>(</sup>q) Milward v. Hibbert, 3 Q. B. 120.

# CHLIPTER VIII.

### MISREPRESENTATION AND CONCEALMENT.

## SECTION 246.

ANY fraud, misrepresentation, or concealment of any material fact on the part of the insured, will avoid the policy ab initio. In other words, in all contracts of insurance there must be the strictest bona fides (a).

As the policy is avoided ab initio, the underwriter may protect himself under the plea of fraud, misrepresentation, or concealment, though the loss was occasioned by something wholly unconnected with the fact fraudulently misrepresented or concealed.

## SECTION 247.

A material fact is one influencing the underwriter to effect the policy or inducing him to fix the premium at the sum at which he did fix it (b).

A misrepresentation of a material fact will avoid the contract, whether the insured stated as true a thing he

<sup>(</sup>a) Carter v. Boshm, 3 Burr. 1905; Middlewood v. Blakes, 7 T. R. 163. (b) Sibbald v. Ilill, 2 Dow's P. C. 263.

knew to be false, or a thing he did not know to be true (c). or whether he stated it bond fide under a mistake (d).

### SECTION 248.

A misrepresentation to the first underwriter of a material fact will be a misrepresentation to all the underwriters (e), provided that the misrepresentation tended to induce the underwriters to take a lower premium. But a misrepresentation made to any underwriter but the first, will not be a misrepresentation to all (f).

Though a representation be not literally correct, yet if it be substantially true, it will not avoid the policy (g).

# Section 249.

In the case of a policy, a representation differs from a warranty in that the representation may be either written or oral, and is never inserted in the policy; while a warranty must always be in writing and contained in the policy, unless, of course, it be a warranty implied by law (h).

Hence it follows that it will be sufficient if a representa-

<sup>(</sup>c) Macdowal v. Fraser, Doug. 260; Duffell v. Wilson, 1 Camp. 401;

Behn v. Burness, 3 B. & S. 751.

(d) Ionides v. The Pacific F. & M. I. Co., L. R., 6 Q. B. 674.

(e) Pawson v. Watson, Cowp. 785; Marsden v. Reid, 3 East, 572. (f) Bell v. Carstairs, 2 Camp. 543; Brine v. Featherstone, 4 Taunt.

<sup>(</sup>g) Pawson v. Watson, 2 Cowp. 785; Nonnen v. Reid, 16 East, 176; Von Tungeln v. Dubois, 2 Camp. 151. (h) Pawson v. Watson, 2 Cowp. 785; and see Cornfoote v. Fowke, 6 M. & W. 378.

tion be substantially complied with, while a warranty must always be fulfilled to the letter (i).

Another difference between a representation and a warranty in a policy is, that in the case of a representation the fact misrepresented must be a material one; while in the case of a warranty it does not matter whether it be material or not. Provided that if the assured intended to deceive the underwriter by his representation, the materiality or immateriality of the fact misrepresented will make no difference. But the policy will in either case be avoided (k).

## SECTION 250.

If in spite of a representation entirely opposed to the terms of the policy, the underwriter sign the policy, he cannot afterwards plead the misrepresentation as a defence to an action by the insured on the policy (l).

The insured may withdraw a representation he has made at any time before the insurance is effected (m).

### Section 251.

The insured or his agent must not conceal from the underwriter any material fact(n) lying within his private knowledge; for any such concealment, whether by design

<sup>(</sup>i) Dent v. Smith, L. R., 4 Q. B. 414.

<sup>(</sup>k) Per Maule, J., Evans v. Edmonds, 13 C. B. 777; Arnould, Mar. Ins. 515.

<sup>(</sup>l) Bize v. Fletcher, 1 Dougl. 284.

<sup>(</sup>m) Carter v. Boehm, 3 Burr. 1905; Edwards v. Footner, 1 Camp. 530; Fitzherbert v. Mather, 1 T. R. 12.

<sup>(</sup>n) Durrell v. Bederley, 1 Holt, 283; Ionides v. Pender, L. R., 9 Q. B. 531; De Costa v. Scandret, 2 P. Wms. 170; Mackintosh v. Marshall, 11 M. & W. 116; Anderson v. Thornton, 8 Exch. 425; Gladstone v. King, 1 M. & S. 35; Carter v. Boehm, 3 Burr. 1905.

or mistake, will vitiate the policy ab initio; provided that the insured need not inform the underwriter of what he ought to know as a usage of trade (o); or of general topics of speculation, e.g., the probability of a war or a tempest (p); or of what is warranted, e.g., seaworthiness of the vessel insured (q); or of anything as to which he impliedly dispenses with the insured giving him any information (r).

The following may be material facts:-

The date of the ship's sailing, or the time when she was last heard of; her national character; facts rendering her liable to capture; sailing without convoy; character of her cargo; her port of loading; state of the weather, and of the vessel (s).

The mere fact of the information as to these facts being false, will not excuse the owner for not communicating them, if it would have influenced the underwriter (t).

### Section 252.

If the owner, after ordering his broker to insure his vessel, receives further information material to the insurance, but the insurance is effected before he is able to inform the broker of it, the policy will be valid (u); but if he could have informed the broker, and did not through

<sup>(</sup>o) Noble v. Kennoway, Dougl. 510; Moxon v. Atkins, 3 Camp. 200; Stewart v. Bell, 5 B. & Ald. 238.

<sup>(</sup>p) Carter v. Boehm, 3 Burr. 1905.

<sup>(</sup>q) Haywood v. Rogers, 4 East, 590; Shoolbred v. Nutt, Park, 346.
(r) Per Lord Mansfield in Carter v. Boehm; Fort v. Lee, 3 Taunt.
381.

<sup>(</sup>s) Campbell v. Innes, 4 B. & Ald. 423; Bates v. Hewitt, L. R., 2 Q. B. 595; Sawtell v. London, 5 Taunt. 359; Nicholson v. Power, 20 L. T., N. S. 590; Gladstone v. King, 1 M. & Sel. 35; Harrower v. Hutchinson, L. R., 5 Q. B. 584.

<sup>(</sup>t) Lynch v. Hamilton, 3 Taunt. 37.

<sup>(</sup>u) Wake v. Atty, 4 Taunt. 493.

fraud or negligence, the policy will be vitiated. So, if such information were received after the slip was initialed, though before the policy was executed, the policy would be valid, even though the ratification of the insured was required (x).

## SECTION 253.

A marine policy effected by one person for another person, but without his authority, may be ratified by the latter after the loss of the thing insured, even though he knew of the loss at the date of such ratification (y).

<sup>(</sup>x) Cory v. Patton, L. R., 9 Q. B. 577; Lishman v. The Northern M. I. Co., L. R., 10 C. P. 179.

(y) Williams v. North China Insurance Co., 1 C. P. D. 757.

# CHAPTER IX.

## ILLEGALITY.

## SECTION 254.

An insurance on an illegal voyage, as e. g., a voyage made for purposes of smuggling, is void. If only part of the voyage be illegal, the insurance will still be void; for an infirmity in any part of the voyage or policy will invalidate the whole (a).

Consequently, if illegal goods be insured in the same policy as legal, the policy will be void; but an insurance on licensed goods brought from an enemy's country will not be invalidated merely because some unlicensed goods were on board the vessel that brought them (b).

If a vessel be insured "at and from," any illegality existing in the risk while she is at the port will avoid the insurance on the entire voyage, even though there is no illegality when the vessel sails (c).

If the voyage would contravene, if completed, some Act of Parliament, or a commercial treaty with a foreign state,

<sup>(</sup>a) Parkin v. Dick, 11 East, 502; Bird v. Pigou, 2 Selw. N. P. 932.

<sup>(</sup>b) Pieschell v. Alnutt, 4 Taunt. 792.(c) 1 Marshall, Ins. 69.

it will be an illegal voyage; and consequently cannot be validly insured (d).

## Section 255.

An insurance effected on a vessel, whether British or foreign, in contravention of an embargo, will be null and void (e).

# SECTION- 256.

Insurances effected on a vessel or goods belonging to an enemy are illegal and of no effect (f).

Insurances on an adventure carried on jointly by a British subject and an enemy are also illegal and void; unless the British subject be domiciled in a neutral state (g).

#### SECTION 257.

An insurance on articles which are contraband of war is absolutely void and not enforceable in the country of the belligerents. However, it will be valid and enforceable in the courts of a neutral power (h).

The following articles are inter alia contraband of war:— Ships, naval stores, and all materials essential to shipbuilding; but provisions are not.

<sup>(</sup>d) Wilson v. Marryatt, 1 B. & P. 430; and per Lord Stowell, The Eenrom, 2 C. Rob. A. R. 1.

<sup>(</sup>e) Delmada v. Motteux, 1 Park, 505. (f) Brandon v. Nesbitt, 6 T. R. 23; Furtado v. Rogers, 3 B. & P.

<sup>191;</sup> Brandon v. Curling, 4 East, 410.
(g) Potts v. Bell, 8 T. R. 548; Wilson v. Marryatt, 8 T. R. 31.
(h) 1 Marshall, Ins. 75.

# SECTION 258.

If the insured intended an illegal adventure, and the policy covers it and is therefore void, the underwriter will not be entitled to recover the premium (i).

#### ILLUSTRATION.

A broker effected a policy with an underwriter on goods on board a Spanish ship at and from New Orleans and Pensecola, both or either, to her port of discharge in the United Kingdom, with a memorandum of receipt of the premium from J. P., a merchant in London. The policy was made on behalf of a Spanish merchant at Vera Cruz. At the time of effecting it New Orleans belonged to the Americans, who were at war with Great Britain, and Pensecola to the Spaniards, who were neutrals. The object of the assured was to cover an importation of cotton wool in Spanish ships from New Orleans to Great Britain. Held:—the underwriter could not recover the premium, the adventure from New Orleans with cotton wool being illegal (k).

# SECTION 259.

An insurance by a foreigner against British capture is illegal; unless accidental and made through mistake (l).

<sup>(</sup>i) Jenkins v. Power, 6 M. & S. 282; Palyart v. Leckie, 6 M. & S. 290.

<sup>(</sup>k) Jenkins v. Power, 6 M. & S. 282. (l) Touteng v. Hubbard, 3 B. & P. 291; Mullett v. Shedden, 13 East,

# CHAPTER X.

#### ADJUSTMENT AND RETURN OF PREMIUM.

## SECTION 260.

Unless the underwriter can deny his liability to make a loss good to the insured, he immediately proceeds to adjustment, i.e., determines the sum which the insured can claim under the policy, at the same time fixing the amount for which each underwriter is liable.

The adjustment operates as an admission by the underwriter of all the facts necessary to make him liable (a); but it is not conclusive.

If the underwriter has paid the loss, knowing all the facts of the case, but under a mistake of law, or under compulsion of legal process, he cannot recover the amount so paid(b); but if the payment were made under a mistake of fact, he can recover it (c).

If the underwriter, after paying a loss, finds out that there was either fraud, misrepresentation, or concealment in the original contract of insurance, or that he paid under

<sup>(</sup>a) Christian v. Coombe, 2 Esp. 489; Rogers v. Maylor, Park, 194; Shepherd v. Chewter, 1 Camp. 274.

<sup>(</sup>b) May v. Christie, Holt's N. P. 67; Marriott v. Hampton, 7 T. R. 269.

<sup>(</sup>c) Reyner v. Hall, 4 Taunt. 725.

a mistake of fact, he can recover the money from the assured, or his broker if the broker has not yet paid over the insurance money to the assured (d). Such a payment is termed a foul loss.

## Section 261.

If the underwriter establishes his non-liability on the policy, he must return the premium to the insured if the risk has not commenced to run (e); unless, of course, there be an express stipulation to the contrary (f).

But where the risk covered by the policy is entire, and has commenced to run, the insured cannot claim the return of the premium, or of any part of it (g).

#### ILLUSTRATIONS.

Goods intended to be exported to United States are insured; but no goods are put on board. The underwriter must return the premium, for the risk has not commenced to run (h).

So, if a vessel insured from Liverpool to New York, sail in an unseaworthy condition, the premium must be returned to the insured; for the policy was vitiated ab initio by the breach of the implied warranty of seaworthiness, and consequently the underwriter was never under any liability on the policy (i).

But if the vessel had been insured at and from Liverpool to New York, the premium could not be reclaimed by the insured; for the risk attached while the vessel was at Liverpool, i. e., the risk had commenced to run as against the underwriter (k).

<sup>(</sup>d) Arnould, Mar. Ins., p. 1056.

<sup>(</sup>e) Routh v. Thompson, 11 East, 428; Henry v. Staniforth, 4 Camp. 270; Penson v. Lee, 2 B. & P. 330.

<sup>(</sup>f) Audley v. Duff, 2 B. & P. 111.
(g) Tyrie v. Fletcher, Cowp. 666; Bermon v. Woodbridge, Dougl.
781; Langhorn v. Cologhan, 4 Taunt. 330.
(h) Martin v. Sitveell, 1 Show. 100.
(i) Penson v. Lee, 2 B. & P. 330.

<sup>(</sup>k) Annan v. Woodman, 3 Taunt. 299; Meyer v. Gregson, Park, 588.

So, if after starting on the voyage, the vessel deviates, though that will discharge the underwriter from all liability under the policy from the date of the deviation, yet he will not be bound to return the premium (I).

# SECTION 262.

If the consideration for the insurance be illegal, as, e.g., in the case of a wager policy, the premium cannot be recovered by the insured (m). Provided that if the risk has never attached, the insured can recover the premium he has paid (n).

## SECTION 263.

If the fraud or misrepresentation of the underwriter has vitiated the policy, the premium must be returned to the assured (o).

# SECTION 264.

A return of the premium cannot be claimed by the insured if he or his agent had been guilty of fraudulent misrepresentation or concealment (p), or if the contract of insurance be illegal (q), even though the risk never commenced to run.

But if the return of the premium were demanded before the commencement of the voyage and the contract formally

<sup>(</sup>l) Tait v. Levi, 14 East, 481; Moses v. Pratt, 4 Camp. 297.
(m) Lowry v. Bourdieu, 2 Dougl. 468; Aubert v. Walsh, 3 Taunt.
276.

<sup>(</sup>n) Tappenden v. Randall, 2 B. & P. 467. (o) Duffell v. Wilson, 1 Camp. 401.

 <sup>(</sup>p) Wilson v. Duckét, 3 Burr. 1361; Tyler v. Horne, Park, 329.
 (q) Wilson v. R. Ex. As. Co., 2 Camp. 623; Cowie v. Barber, 4 M.
 & S. 16; Andrée v. Fletcher, 3 T. R. 266.

renounced, the insured will be entitled to it; for a locus panitentiae is allowed him(r).

## SECTION 265.

If insurance be in effect on two or more voyages, and one or more has been begun, the premium must be apportioned, and a proportionate part returned in respect of the voyage or voyages not yet commenced; for the risk in such an instance is not entire (s).

# SECTION 266.

If only part of the goods insured are shipped, the premium must be apportioned, and a part of the premium corresponding to the value of the goods not put on board must be returned. This is generally termed "the return of premium for short interest" (t). So a return of premium for short profits must be made in a similar case when profits are insured (u).

If in the case of an open policy on goods or freight the goods and the freight be over-insured, *i.e.*, in excess of the interest of the assured, a portion of the premium corresponding to the excess must be returned. This is termed generally a return for over-insurance.

But in the case of an over-insurance by a valued policy, no portion of the premium is reclaimable by the assured (x).

<sup>(</sup>r) Palyart v. Leckie, 6 M. & S. 290.

<sup>(</sup>e) Stevenson v. Snow, 3 Burr. 1237; Long v. Allen, Park, 589.
(t) Eyre v. Glover, 16 East, 218; Horneyer v. Lushington, 3 Camp.
90; Fisk v. Masterman, 8 M. & W. 165.

<sup>(</sup>u) Eyre v. Glover, 16 East, 218.

<sup>(</sup>x) Stevens on Av. 200.

## Section 267.

In all cases in which the premium is returnable, the underwriter can deduct one half per cent., unless the policy contain an express stipulation to the contrary, or unless he be guilty of any fraudulent misrepresentation or concealment (y).

# SECTION 268.

In the event of a double insurance, the insured will be entitled, in cases in which the premium is returnable, to a rateable return of the premium, proportioned to the amount by which the aggregate sum insured in all the policies exceeds the insurable value of the property at risk (z).

# SECTION 269.

If by several policies made bond fide the sum insured exceed the value of the property insured, all the underwriters on the several policies will be equally bound to return the premiums for the excess sum in proportion to their respective subscriptions (a). But if of various policies effected on the same property at different times, the earlier policies have attached before the later have been underwritten, the premium is returnable on the later only; for the earlier policies, up to the execution of the later, sustained a risk equal to the full amount of their subscriptions (b).

<sup>(</sup>y) Stevens on Av. 206.

<sup>(</sup>z) Arn. Ins. p. 1069. (") 2 Marshall, Ins. 649.

<sup>(</sup>b) Fisk v. Masterman, 8 M. & W. 165.

# CHAPTER XI.

#### REMEDIES ON THE POLICY.

# SECTION 270.

THE remedy on a valid policy is by action, which may be brought either in the principal's or broker's name.

The assignee of the policy may sue on it in his own name, provided he be entitled to the property insured by it (a).

If the policy expressly stipulate for a reference to ascertain the amount due, if it be disputed, then such reference will be the remedy (b).

If the insured brings several actions on the policy against several underwriters, the Court will stay proceedings in all the actions save one, provided the defendants agree to be bound by whatever verdict shall be given in that action. However, the insured will not be bound by that verdict (c).

In an action by an assignee of a marine policy, the underwriters can set off a debt owed them by the insured for any premiums due on policies effected with them by the assured before the assignment (d).

(b) Scott v. Avery, 5 H. of L. Ca. 811; Tredwen v. Holman, 1 H. & C. 72; Elliott v. The R. E. A. Co., L. R., 2 Ex. 237.

<sup>(</sup>a) 31 & 32 Vict. c. 86, s. 1; 36 & 37 Vict. c. 66, s. 25; North of England P. O. Co. v. Archangel M. I. Co., L. R., 10 Q. B. 249; Lloyd v. Fleming, L. R., 7 Q. B. 299.

<sup>(</sup>c) Doyle v. Douglas, 4 B. & Ad. 545.

<sup>(</sup>d) Pellas & Co. v. Neptune Marine Insurance Co., 4 C. P. D. 139.

## SECTION 271.

Though in effecting a policy of marine insurance the agreement is in practice made by the *slip*, *i. e.*, a memorandum containing the terms of the proposed insurance, and initialed by the underwriters, yet such slip is void, and an action cannot therefore be brought on it. For no contract for marine insurance is valid unless it is expressed in the policy (e).

However, the slip is admissible as evidence in certain cases, as, e. g., to explain the intention of the parties, or to fix the date of the contract.

## SECTION 272.

A policy broker has a lien on the policy for any premium he has paid on it, as against his employer, even though such employer were merely an agent for an undisclosed principal (f).

Provided that he will have no lien, if he knew the real principal and elected to credit the agent, who employed him(g). His lien may be superseded by a special contract, or by his particular mode of dealing with the parties for whom he effected the policy, though it will not be so superseded in consequence of his merely agreeing to receive monthly payments on account of the premium he has paid (h).

<sup>(</sup>e) 30 & 31 Vict. c. 23, s. 7; Parry v. The Great Ship Co., 4 B. & S. 556: Ionides v. The Pacific I. Co., L. R., 6 Q. B. 674; Cory v. Patton, L. R., 9 Q. B. 577.

<sup>(</sup>f) Mann v. Forester, 4 Camp. 60; Bell v. Jutting, 1 Moore, C. P. & Exch. 155.

<sup>(</sup>g) Maanns v. Henderson, 1 East, 335; Sweeting v. Pearce, 7 C. B., N. S. 449.

<sup>(</sup>h) Fisher v. Smith, 4 App. Cas. 1.

## SECTION 273.

The underwriter cannot free himself from his liability on the policy by effecting a re-insurance with other underwriters, except in the case of his death or bankruptcy (i).

Re-insurance is a contract of insurance by which an insurer insures himself with other insurers in respect of the same subject matter, on the same risks and under the same conditions as those expressed in the original policy (k).

The original assured has no claim against the re-insurer, but only against the original insurer.

## SECTION 274.

If the owner insure his vessel or goods with two or more sets of underwriters, such double insurance is valid (l); provided that he cannot recover from them more than the amount of his loss (m).

If he recovers the full amount of his loss from one set of underwriters, that set can claim contribution from the others.

The insured can only recover the amount agreed on in the policy, after deducting what he has received on other policies on same thing; for payment on one of two policies on the same thing is a defence pro tanto to an action on the other, whether it be an open or valued one (n).

<sup>(</sup>i) 19 Geo. 2, c. 37; Mackenzie v. Whitworth, 1 Ex. D. 36.
(k) Arnould, Pt. I., Ch. III.
(l) Arnould, Mar. Ins. p. 328; Imperial Marine Insurance Co. v.
Fire Insurance Corporation, 4 C. P. D. 166.
(m) Newby v. Reid, 1 W. Bl. 416.

<sup>(</sup>n) Bruce v. Jones, 1 H. & C. 769; Irving v. Richardson, 1 Mood. & Rob. 153; Morgan v. Price, 4 Exch. 615.

## SECTION 275.

If the insured recover compensation for the loss both from the underwriter and also from a wrongdoer, by whose act or negligence the loss was occasioned, he must hand over the damages he recovers from the wrongdoer to the underwriter. For a marine policy is a contract of indemnity.

Similarly, if the insured has already recovered the amount of his loss from the wrongdoer, he cannot bring an action against the underwriter on the policy.

#### ILLUSTRATION.

A ship insured being sunk, the underwriters paid the owner 6,000*l*. as compensation. Subsequently the owners recovered 5,000*l*. as damages from the wrongdoer. The ship was worth 9,000*l*. The insured must hand over the whole 5,000*l*. damages to the underwriters (o).

## SECTION 276.

The underwriters have no independent right to maintain in their own name, and without reference to the person insured, an action against the wrongdoers for any damage caused by them to the vessel or goods insured (p).

<sup>(</sup>o) The North of England Insurance Association v. Armstrong, L. R., 5 Q. B. 244.
(p) Simpson v. Thomson, 3 App. Cas. 279.

# INDEX.

#### Δ

ABANDONMENT, notice of,
when required, 218, 219.
what, 219.
of vessel, 220.
goods, 221.
freight, 222.
when to be given, 221.
cannot be partial, or conditional, 225.
by parol, ib.
irrevocable, when, ib.

Action, on a policy, 270.

ADJUSTMENT, what, 260. how far binding, ib. foreign, 166.

ABSENTING,

seaman absenting himself, punishment of, 52. arrest for, 53.

vests the property in underwriter, 226.

Admiratory Division of the High Court,
power of, if co-owners of a vessel do not agree, 14.
a collision a subject of an actio in rem in, 26.
jurisdiction of, to arrest and sell a mortgaged vessel, 137.
as to salvage, 152, 153.

Admission of one co-owner of a ship does not bind the others, 10.

Affreightment, contracts of, different kinds of, 77.

rescission of, 89, 90.

And see Charterparty and Bill of Lading.

## AGREEMENT,

as to salvage, 156.

to forfeit salvage, 65.

with seamen, contents of, 40.

how made, 41, 42, 43.

delivering up, to shipping master at end of voyage, 46, 47.

duration of, 47.

to be posted up, 50.

mutual release of claims under, 61.

made abroad, 45.

improper, liability of shipmaster on, 44.

by shipowner, 43.

proof of, by seamen, 48, 75.

alterations in, 48.

no stamp duty, 49.

seaworthiness implied, 51, 54.

to forfeit wages, salvage, 65.

#### ALIEN ENEMY. See ENEMIES.

ALLOTMENT of wages by seamen, 59.

#### ALLY.

condemnation of a captured vessel in the country of, 36. recapture of a vessel of, 154.

## ALTERATION,

of marks on a British ship, 5. of registered ship must be registered, 6. in a marine policy, effect of, 191.

# APPRENTICE,

seaworthiness of vessel, 51. punishment of, for desertion, disobedience, &c., 52. arrest of, for desertion, disobedience, &c., 53.

wilfully leaving behind, 62.

## APPRENTICE—continued.

his wages a charge on ship, if he be wrongly discharged, 63. entitled to wages, though no freight earned, 64. costs of conviction of, 69. actions of, for wages, 71, 73.

"ARRESTS, RESTRAINTS AND DETAINMENTS OF KINGS, &c," effect of, in policies, 207.

Assault by seamen, punishment of, 52.

## Assignee of a Policy,

how and when he may sue, 176, 270. underwriter's right of set-off against, 270.

## Assignment.

of a captured vessel, before condemnation, 39. of marine policy, effect of, 174, 176, 270. modes of, 176.

## "AT AND FROM,"

effect of, in policies, 184, 185.

a warranty of seaworthiness, 239.
insurance "at and from," when void for illegality, 254.

"AT AND FROM ON FREIGHT," effect of, in policies, 186.

## AVERAGE,

general, what, 157.

the subjects of, 158, 159, 160, 161. what contributes to, 162. contribution of shipowners to, ib. charterer, ib. merchant, 163. seamen to, ib.

how calculated, 164. lien for, 165. liability of consignee for, when, ib. foreign adjustment of, 166. the Memorandum, effect of, 216, 217.

AVERAGE—continued.

particular, what, 167.

wages and provisions of seamen not the subject of, 159.

petty, what, 168.

non-liability of underwriter for, ib.

B.

BARRATROUS SEIZURE,

a total loss of ship, when, 220.

goods, when, 221.

BARRATBY,

what, 209.

covered by marine policy, ib.

must occur during voyage, 210.

when it can be committed by shipowner, 211.

BILL OF LADING.

contracts by, how rescinded, 89, 90.

what, 92.

contracts by, effect of, 93.

duty of shipmaster as to, 94.

conclusive, when, 95.

stamp on, 96.

penalty for executing one unstamped, ib.

"to order or to assigns," 97.

usual form of, ib.

effect of its making freight or demurrage payable by consignee, 98, 140.

clauses in, bind parties and their privies, 98.

indorsement of, 99.

indorsee of, takes subject to its conditions, 100.

indorsement in blank of, 101.

rights and liabilities of indorsee of, and of consignee named in, 102, 141.

the several parts of, 103.

assignment of, by consignor, 104.

estops from claiming freight, when, 110.

BILL OF LADING—continued.
a transferable, 112.
injuries by rats not excepted in, 114.
pledge of, will not prevent insured recovering, 176.

BILL OF SALE,

necessary to transfer a British ship, 16. of ship must be registered, 16.

BLOCKADE.

sailing with intention of violating, 91. breaking a blockade,  $i\delta$ . not covered by a marine policy, 214.

BOARD OF TRADE,

can exempt British ships from certain regulations, 5. may detain an unseaworthy vessel, 54. can recover seamen's wages, when, 63. cancellation and suspension of certificates by, 120.

BODY CORPORATE. See CORPORATION.

BONA FIDES,

necessity of, in marine insurance, 246.

BOOK OF REGISTRY. See REGISTRY.

BOTTOMEN'-BOND OR BILL,
what, 121, 123.
effect and peculiarities of, 124.
what amounts to a total loss, within, 125.
shipmaster borrowing on, 126.
passes no property in ship, 127.
if several, last has priority, 128.
made with a debtor, 129.
given to consignee of cargo, 130.
effect of master misapplying money raised on, 131.
void, if money not advanced on security of ship, when, 132, 133.
advances on, insurable, 175.
not insurable as goods, 181.

BREAKAGE, not covered by marine policy, 214.

British Capture, insurance against, 205, 259.

## BRITISH SHIPS.

to whom they must belong, 1.

privileges of, 2.

must be registered, when, 3.

before whom, ib.

where, 4.

what must be done before registration, 5.

if altered, must be registered anew, 6.

their registry may be transferred, ib.

when liable to be forfeited, 7, 8.

property in, in 64ths, 9.

number of persons who can be registered as owners, 9.

a trust of, cannot be registered, ib.

a corporation can be registered, ib.

# BRITISH SUBJECT,

who has sworn allegiance to a foreign sovereign cannot own a British ship, 1.

insurance on an adventure by an enemy and a British subject, 256.

#### BROKER.

liability of, to underwriter, 171.

lien of, 172, 272.

information received by owner after policy effected by, 252.

action brought in broker's name, 270.

C.

CANCELLATION OF CERTIFICATE of a shipmaster, 30, 120.

## CAPTURE,

of a ship, must be followed by condemnation, 34. of a vessel by a neutral state, 37.

by pirates, 38.

covered by a marine policy, when, 205.

insurance against, when illegal, ib., 258.

British, insurance against, 258.

### CAPTURE -continued.

a total loss, of ship, when, 220.

of goods, when, 221.

of freight, when, 222.

in port of discharge, 237.

#### CAPTURED VESSEL,

must be condemned, 34.

cannot be condemned while in a neutral port, 35.

condemnation of, by a consul in neutral country, invalid, 36. taken into an allied state, good, 37.

assignments of, before condemnation, 39.

#### CARE.

warranty that insured will use, 241.

### CARGO,

never liable on account of a collision, 31.

mortgage, sale, transhipment of, by master, 108, 109, 126. stowed on deck, non-contribution of to general average,

seizure of, not covered by sea policy, 214.

#### CERTIFICATE.

of registry, change in master must be entered on, 5. provisional, 4.

of mortgage and sale, 17.

of a shipmaster, cancellation and suspension of, 30, 120.

of delivery up of agreement with seamen, 46, 47.

of discharge of seamen, 62.

CHANCERY DIVISION OF THE HIGH COURT, application of shipowner to, 118.

CHARTERER. See MERCHANT.

## CHARTERPARTY,

does not affect liability of owners on account of a collision, 28.

definition of, 78.

by deed, ib.

how an agent should contract on, ib.

cannot be varied by parol evidence, 79.

CHARTERPARTY—continued.

construction of its terms, 79.

clauses in, effect of, 80.

breach of covenant to load, 82.

to sail, 83.

description in, of ship, effect of, 84.

carries possession of ship, when, 85.

stamp duty on, 88.

contracts by, how rescinded, 89, 90.

seaworthiness of ship, 105.

duty of master, when shipper not interested in cargo, 106.

when vessel must sail, 107.

vessel must not delay or deviate, ib.

freight due under, 137.

lien for freight under, 148.

CLOTHES, non-contribution of, to general average, 162.

COASTING VESSEL, need not be registered, when, 3.

# COLLINION.

liability of the respective vessels for, 24.

compensation recoverable for, when due to one of the vessels only, 25.

subject of an action in rem in Admiralty Division, 26.

even in case of a foreign vessel, 27.

owners liable for, though vessel chartered, 28.

if probable, duty of shipmasters, 29.

duty of shipmaster, after, 30. cargo never liable on account of, 31.

limited liability of shipowners on account of, 32.

covered by sea policy, 203, 215.

Colony, engagement of seamen in, 45.

#### Соминеномя,

insurable, 175.

not insurable as "goods," 181.

COMPENSATION, in case of a collision, 25.

### CONCRALMENT.

of character of a British ship, 7. its effect on a marine policy, 246, 251. of false information, 251. payment by underwriter in consequence of, 260.

#### CONDEMNATION,

of a ship, captured, 34.

in a neutral port, void, 35.
by a consul in a neutral state, void, 36.
in an allied state, good, 37.
effect of, 38.

CONFISCATION, in port of discharge, avoids marine policy, 237.

## CONSIGNEE OF CARGO,

when liable for freight, 98.

demurrage, ib.

indorsement of bill of lading by, 99.

rights and liabilities under bill of lading, 102.

can determine his liabilities under, how, ib.

within what time he must fetch the goods, 112, 113.

a bottomry bond to, good, 130.

liable to be sued by shipowner, when, 141.

delivery of part of goods only, through default of, 144.

liable for general average, when, 165.

#### CONSIGNOR,

when the goods shipped remain his, 97.
right of stoppage in transitu, 99.
assignment of bill of lading by, 102.
not providing a cargo, in which he has no interest, 106.
when liable for freight, when by bill of lading it is due
from consignee, 140.

Conspiring. Seaman, to neglect his duty, punishment, 52.

# CONSTRUCTIVE TOTAL LOSS,

what, 218.

of ship, 220.

of goods, 221.

of freight, 222.

CONTRABAND GOODS,
what are, 257.
on chartered vessel, 105.
penalty on merchant for shipping, 135.
carrying, in marine policies, 236.
insurance on. 257.

CONTRIBUTION OF UNDERWRITERS, in double insurance, 274.

CONVEYANCE, contract for, in a general ship, definition of, 92.

effect of, 93.

And see BILL OF LADING.

## CONVOY,

warranty that vessel shall sail with, 235. deviation in order to join, 238. what constitutes convoy, 235.

# Co-owner of a Ship,

liability of, 10.

for repairs, 11.

ship's husband, 12. liability to ship's husband for expenses, 14. if no ship's husband elected, power of Admiralty Court, 15. barratry by, 211.

#### CORPORATION.

can own a British ship, when, 1. can be registered as such, 9. insurance by, 170.

#### COUNTY COURT.

seamen's wages recoverable in, when, 73. jurisdiction of, as to salvage, 152, 153.

COVENANT, by shipowner to sail, when complied with, 83. CRUISING FOR PRIZES, a deviation, 238.

#### D.

Damage, by seamen to vessel, punishment, 52.

- "Dangers of the SEAS," &c., meaning of in charter-parties, 80.
  - \* The figures refer to the sections, not to pages.

## DEAD FREIGHT,

what, 148.

no lien for, ib.

# DECLARATION,

of particulars required before ship can be registered, 5.

necessary on transfer of a British ship, 16.

of government, rescinds contracts of affreightment, when,

of interest in insurance, 182.

of war, dissolves contract of affreightment, 89.

DEDUCTING one-third new for old, 229.

# DELAY,

on the voyage, 107.

in loading cargo, 82.

in sailing, 233.

not covered by marine policy, 203.

DELIVERY of agreement with seamen to shipping master, 46.

## DEMURRAGE,

when payable, 81.

what, ib.

no lien of shipowner for, 148. when consignee liable for, 98.

# DEPART.

warranty to, effect of, 233.

## DESERTION.

of seamen, punishment for, 52.

arrest of seamen for, 53.

forfeiture of wages for, 68.

what is, ib.

of crew, justifies deviation, 238.

DESPATCHES, HOSTILE, carrying, in marine insurance, 236.

#### DETENTION OF SHIP.

when a total loss of ship, 220.

of goods, 221.

of freight, 222.

\*.\* The figures refer to the sections, not to pages.

L

#### DEVIATION.

liability of master and owners for, 107.

effect of, on marine policies, 194.

in an insurance, "at and from an island or district," 195.

"at and from port of loading," 195, 196. to several ports, 197.

from or to a district, 198.

barratzy, when, 209-Illustration.

avoids a marine policy, when, 238.

what is, is.

what justifies a, il.

#### DECEARGE.

of a mortgage must be registered, 23. improper, of seamen, 56. of seamen, how to be effected, 60. certificate of, 62.

DECEMBER OF SERMEN, punishment for, 52.

## Decree,

insurance to a, 187.

at and from, 195.

from or to a district having several ports, 198.

## DOCUMENTATION,

warranty of, in marine policies, 241, 243.

difference between express and implied warranty of, 243, no warranty of, in a policy on goods, 244.

## DOUBLE INSURANCE,

what, 274.

premium returnable under, 268, 269. contribution of underwriters under, 274.

what recoverable under, ii.

#### R.

# EMBARGO,

what, 207.

avoids contracts by charter-party, when, 89.

bill of lading, when, is.

covered by a marine policy, 207.

### EMBARGO—continued.

fear of, not covered by a marine policy, 210. a total loss of ship, when, 220. of goods, when, 221.

insurance in contravention of, 255.

# EMBEZZLEMENT,

by seamen, punishment of, 52. shipowner not liable for, when, 116.

## ENEMIES.

injury by, not subject of average, 161. marine policy on property of, 170, 256. approach of, justifies deviation, 238.

ENTERING QUEEN'S SERVICE, no forfeiture of wages for, 70.

EXTRA PAY, promise of, to seamen, 55.

#### F.

## FALSE PAPERS,

on chartered ship, 105. carrying, breach of warranty in marine insurance, 236.

## FIRE.

shipowner not liable for, 115. covered by policies, when, 204.

## FLAG, THE NATIONAL,

may only be assumed by British ships, 2. unauthorized assumption of, entails forfeiture, 7.

Fœnus Nauticum, what, 123.

Foreign Court, sentence of, when evidence of breach of warranty of neutrality, 236.

Foreign Port, engagement of seamen at, 45.

FOREIGN SHIP. See SHIPS.

liable for a collision it has caused, 27. wager policies on, 173.

#### FORFEITURE.

of a vessel, 7, 8. of seamen's wages, 52, 67, 68.

Four Loss, what, 260.

FOUNDERING,

when vessel presumed to have foundered, 203. covered by a marine policy, ib.

FRAUD.

effect of, in a policy "lost or not lost," 188. avoids a marine policy, 246. payment by underwriter in consequence of, 260. premium returnable for fraud of underwriter, 263. of insured, 264.

Freedom from Seizure in Port of Discharge, warranty of, 237.

Freight.

seamen can generally claim wages, though no freight earned, 64.

payable, if merchant fail to load, 82. none, if shipowner carry his own goods, 86.

carried, by purchase or mortgage of ship, ib. when payable by consignee, 98, 140.

shipmaster estopped from claiming, when, 110.

what, 136. not due, if carriage not completed, ib.

amount of, 137. how calculated. ib.

when payable by captors, 142.

to captors, 143.

due on part of the goods, 144.

pro ratâ itineris, 145.

payable, after trans-shipment, 146.

lien of shipowner for, 148.

dead freight, what, ib.

no lien for, ib.

right of action of shipowner for, ib.

right to, when lost, 149.

contribution of, to general average, 163.

insurable, 175.

what insurable as, 181.

constructive total loss on, 222.

non-liability of underwriters, if freight earned, ib. in policies of freight, 223.

<sup>\*</sup> The figures refer to the sections, not to pages.

FREIGHTER. See MERCHANT.
FURNITURE, what insurable as, 181.

G.

Gamine Policy, void, 173. premium not returnable on, 262.

# GENERAL AVERAGE,

what, 157.
subjects of, 158, 159, 160, 161.
what contributes to, 162.
contribution of shipowners to, 163.
charterer to, ib.
seamen to, ib.
merchant to, 162.

calculation of, 164.
foreign adjustment of, 166.
lien for, 165.
consignee liable for, when, ib.
the Memorandum, effect of, as to, 216, 217.

## GENERAL SHIP,

definition of contract for conveyance in, 92. effect of, 93.

And see BILL OF LADING.

Gold, shipowner not liable for robbery, &c. of, 116.
"Good Safety," effect of, in marine policies, 199.

## GOODS.

what insurable as, 181. no warranty of documentation, in insurance of, 244. stowing on deck, effect of, 245. illegal, insurance on, 254. licensed, insurance on, ib.

## H.

HALF PER CENT, right of underwriter to, when premium returnable, 267.

HOMEWARD VOYAGE, policy on, 185.

<sup>\*</sup> The figures refer to the sections, not to pages.

Hosma Disparcing, carrying, breach of warranty in marine insurance, 236.

HYPOTHECATION OF SHIP AND CARGO, by shipmaster, 108, 109, 126.

L

## ILLEGALITY,

avoids a contract of affreightment, 89, 90. in marine policies, 254. of adventure, no premium recoverable, 258.

## ILLNESS OF STANCE.

wages during, 66. no forfeiture of wages on account of, 70. justifies deviation, when, 238.

#### INDORSEE.

of ball of lading, takes subject to its conditions, 100.
rights and liabilities, 102.
can determine his liabilities, how, is.
liability of, to shipowner, 141.

## INDORSEMENT,

of a bill of lading, 99, 141. in blank of a bill of lading, 101.

#### INJURY.

personal, liability of shipowner for, 118. to ship, when a total loss of ship, 220. of goods, 221.

INSURANCE. See MARINE INSURANCE.

INSURER. See UNDERWRITER.

INTENTION TO DEVIATE, its effect on a policy, 238.

## INTEREST.

on bottomry or respondentia bonds, 124. in things insured, 173, 175.

"INTEREST OR NO INTEREST," assurances, 173.

INTERNATIONAL LAW, violation of, effect on a marine policy, 242.

<sup>\*</sup> The figures refer to the sections, not to pages.

'ISLAND,"

insurance to an, 187. at and from, 195.

J.

JETTISON OF CARGO, subject of a general average, 158.

JEWELLERY, shipowner not liable for robbery, &c. of, 116.

JOINT OWNERS OF A BRITISH SHIP, how many can be registered as, 9.

JUSTICES OF THE PEACE,

can order a seaman to be conveyed on board ship, 53. jurisdiction of, in cases of salvage, 153.

seamen's wages, 71.

L.

LEARAGE, not covered by marine policy, 214.

LEGAL PROCESS, payment by underwriter under, 260.

LIABILITY.

extent of, of a shipowner on account of collision, 32. for sea damage, 87.

LIBERTY GRANTED BY POLICY,

to stop at any port, 238.

to touch at any port, ib.

to chase, ib.

to cruise for prizes, ib.

LICENCE, ROYAL, necessary to trade with an enemy, 175.

LICENSED GOODS, policy on, 254.

LIEN,

of ship's husband, 14.

of shipowner for freight, 85, 148.

of shipowner not lost by his landing goods, how, 113.

for demurrage, no, 148.

for dead freight, no, ib.

for general average, 165.

for salvage, 151.

LIEN-continued.

of broker, on marine policy, when, 172, 272. how superseded, 272.

of seamen for wages, 65.

of shipmaster for wages and expenses, 76, 119.

LIGHTERS, goods trans-shipped into, liability of underwriter as to, 201.

LLOYD's, usage of, when binding, 193.

LOADING PORT, policy at and from, 184, 195, 196.

Loss,

partial, in marine insurance, what, 218.

a total loss becoming a, 228.

calculation of, 229.

may be recovered, as well as a total, when, 230.

total, in marine insurance, what, 218.

of part of goods, when, 227.

becoming partial, 228.

insured may recover for total and for partial, when, 230.

when damages cannot be recovered from a wrongdoer, 231.

in bottomry bonds, 125.

Loss of Life on Goods, liability of shipowner for, 118.

LOSSES COVERED BY POLICY. See MARINE INSURANCE.

4' LOST OR NOT LOST," effect of, in a marine policy, 188, 234.

#### M.

Manifest to be carried on ship chartered, 105.

MARINE INSURANCE,

what, 169, 275.

parties to, 170.

who liable for premium, 171.

lien of broker, 172, 272.

wager policies, 173.

insurable interests, 175.

on an illegal voyage, ib.

a contract of indemnity, 275.

```
MARINE INSURANCE—continued.

The Policy,
```

assignment of, modes of, 176. effect of, 174, 176.

usual form of, 177.

open policy, 178.

valued policy, ib.

necessary contents, 179, 180, 181.

policies in blank, 180.

the slip, no action on, 180, 271.

Thing insured.

must be accurately described, 181.

goods, what insurable as, ib.

freight, what insurable as, ib.

furniture, what insurable as, ib.

piece goods, what insurable as, ib.

declaration of interest, 182.

Voyage insured,

description of, 183.

"at and from," 184, 185.

"at and from on freight," 186.

"to an island or district," 187.

"to port or ports of discharge," ib. "Lost or not lost," effect of, in, 188.

how restrained, ib.

Stamp on,

when to be affixed, 189.

when made abroad, no, ib.

"Sue and labour clause," effect of, 190.

Alteration in, effect of, 191.

Parol evidence, when admissible to control, 192.

Usage of trade, 192, 193, 238.

Deviation,

its effect on policy, 194.

in an insurance "at and from an island or district,"

195.

"at and from port of loading," 195,196. to several ports, 197.

from or to a district, 198.

<sup>\*</sup> The figures refer to the sections, not to pages.

```
MARINE INSURANCE—continued.
```

Policy-continued.

Duration of risk,

on ship, 197, 199, 200.

on goods, 201.

Perils covered by,

perils of the sea, what, 203.

fire, 204.

capture, 205.

pirates, rovers, thieves, 206.

arrests, restraints and detainments of kings, princes and people, 207.

negligence and misconduct of crew, 208.

barratry, 209, 210, 211.

"other perils," 212.

loss may be ascribed to any peril causing it, 213.

Losses not covered by, 214.

Liability of underwriters for a collision, 215.

Memorandum at end of, 216, 217.

Loss, partial, total, 218.

notice of abandonment, when required, 219.

of ship, 220.

goods, 221.

freight, 222.

total loss of part of goods, when, 227.

total loss becoming partial, 228. partial loss, calculation of, 229.

followed by a total, 230.

underwriters cannot claim damages for, when, 231.

non-liability of underwriter in policies of freight, 223.

insured need never abandon, 224. when notice of abandonment must be given, ib.

The warranties, 232.

time of sailing, 233.

safety of vessel, 234.

convoy, 235.

neutrality of property, 236.

freedom from seizure, 237.

not to deviate, 238.

<sup>•</sup> The figures refer to the sections, not to pages.

# MARINE INSURANCE-continued.

Policy-continued.

The warranties-continued.

seaworthiness, 239, 240.

due care by insured, 241.

warranty of documentation, 241, 243, 244.

# Liberties granted by,

to stop at any port, 238.

to touch at any port, ib.

to cruise for prizes, ib.

to chase, ib.

Avoided by a violation of international law, 242.

Fraud, effect of, 246.

Misrepresentation, effect of, 246, 247, 250.

to one underwriter, when a misrepresentation to all, 248.

Difference between a warranty and a representation, 249. Concealment, effect of, 246, 251.

of information received after policy effected or after initialing of slip, 252.

Ratification of, even after loss, 253.

On an illegal voyage, 254.

On illegal goods, ib.

On licensed goods, ib.

On hostile property, 256.

On adventure of a British subject and an enemy, ib.

On contraband of war, 257.

Against British capture, 259.

In contravention of an embargo, 255.

Premium not recoverable, if adventure illegal, 258.

## Return of premium,

when risk has not commenced, 261.

policy illegal, 262.

fraud on part of underwriter, 263.

insured, 264.

in the case of two or more voyages, 265. for short interest, 266. for short profits, ib.

156 INDEX.

MARINE INSURANCE—continued.

Policy—continued.

Return of premium-continued.

for over-insurance, 266.

underwriters' } per cent., 267.

in the case of double insurance, 268, 269.

Remedies on,

action, reference, 270.

action by assignee, ib.

consolidation of actions, ib.

set-off by underwriters, ib.

Re-insurance, what, 273.

liability of underwriter, ib.

rights of insured, ib.

Double insurance, what, 274.

contribution of underwriters, ib. what recoverable under, ib.

premium returnable under, 268, 269.

Damages recovered from wrongdoer.

underwriter entitled to, 275.

not liable if, ib.

has no action against wrongdoer, 276.

MASTER OF SHIP. See SHIPMASTER. any change in, must be registered, 5.

MATE, suspension and cancellation of certificate of, 120.

MATERIAL FAOT.

Misrepresentation of,

will avoid a marine policy, 246.

what is, 247.

Concealment of,

effect on a marine policy, 251.

information as to, received after insurance, 252.

MEMORANDUM in a marine policy, 216, 217.

Merces, contribution of, to general average, 162.

## MERCHANT,

liability of, for demurrage, 81. failure of, to load, 82. breach of covenant to sail will free, 83. must load, within what time, 134. the usual charges on, ib. shipping contraband goods, 135. freight payable by, when, 136, 137, 140.

how estimated, 137.

liable for part carried, when, 138. takes risk of the duration of voyage, when, 139. liable for freight pro rata itineris, when, 145.

after a trans-shipment, 146. liable for salvage, when, 149, 163. no lien for general average, 165. insurable interest of, 175. notice of abandonment by, 221, 224. can recover on policies on freight, when, 223. must try to avert a total loss, 226.

### MISCONDUCT OF SEAMEN.

regulations as to, in agreement, 40. forfeiture of wages for, 67. covered by marine policy, 208.

## MISREPRESENTATION,

its effect on a marine policy, 246, 247. of a material fact, what, 247. to one underwriter, when a misrepresentation to all, 248. if substantially true, ib. payment in consequence of misrepresentation of insured, recoverable, 260.

premium returnable for, 263, 264. MISTAKE, payment by underwriter under, 260.

Mos, detention by, not covered by a marine policy, 207.

MORTALITY among animals not covered by a marine policy, 214.

<sup>\*</sup> The figures refer to the sections, not to pages.

## MORTGAGE.

Of ships,

certificate of, 17.

, form of, 18.

registry of, ib.

priority of, according to order of registration, 19.

can be transferred, 20.

discharge or transmission of, must be registered, 23.

postponed to lien of shipmaster, 76.

carries freight, when, 86.

by shipmaster, 126.

Of cargo,

by shipmaster, 108, 109, 126.

not subject of general average, 160.

An insurable interest, 175.

# MORTGAGER of a British ship,

rights of, 22.

on mortgagor becoming bankrupt, 23.

can insure, 175.

MORTGAGOR of a British ship,

rights of, 21.

becoming bankrupt, effect of, 23.

MUTINY OF CREW justifies deviation, 238.

MUTUAL RELEASE on discharge of seamen, 61.

# N.

#### NAME.

of ship must be on her before registry, 5. of parties in a marine policy, 180. of ship and goods, 181.

## . NATIONALITY OF A VESSEL.

must be declared, 3.

warranty of, 243.

NATURALIZED PERSON can own British ship, 1.

NECESSITY justifies deviation, 238.

<sup>\*</sup> The figures refer to the sections, not to pages.

NEGLECT,

of seaman to join his vessel, punishment for, 52.

arrest for, 53.

to work, 70.

NEGLIGENCE.

of crew covered by policy, 208.

of insured not covered, 214, 241.

NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT, bill of lading is a, 99, 100, 101, 102, 141.

NEUTRAL GOODS, capture of, 143.

NEUTBAL PORT, a captured vessel in, cannot be condemned, 35.

NEUTRAL PROPERTY,

warranty of, 236.

when broken, ib.
sentence, evidence of breach of, ib.

NEUTRAL SHIP, capture of, 142.

NEUTRAL STATE, condemnation of a prize by a consul in, 36.

0.

OPEN POLICY,

what, 178.

calculation of a partial loss, 229.

return of premium for over-insurance under, 266.

"OTHER PERILS," meaning of, in marine policies, 212.

OVER-INSURANCE, return of premium for, 266.

OWNER OF SHIPS. See SHIPOWNER.

P.

PAPERS.

false, on ship, avoids policy, 236.

PAROL EVIDENCE,

in case of charter-parties, 79. marine policies, 192.

PARTIAL LOSS,

in marine insurance, what, 218.
a total may become a, 228.
calculation of, 229.
may be recovered, as well as a total, when, 230.

PARTICULAR AVERAGE.

what, 167.

wages, &c. of seamen, 159.

PARTNERSHIP, insurance by, 170.

PART-OWNERS OF A SHIP,

liability of, 10.

for repairs, 11.

election of ship's husband by, 12.

bound by acts of ship's husband, ib.

liability to ship's husband for expenses, 14.

power of Admiralty Court, if part-owners cannot agree in appointing a ship's husband, 15.

barratry by, 211.

PENALTY, for not making proper agreement with seamen, 44.

Perils insured against. See Marine Insurance.

Perils of the Sea, what, 203.

PERSONAL INJURY, liability of shipowner for, 118.

PIECE GOODS, what insurable as, 181.

PILOT.

when to be carried, 105.

when shipowner and shipmaster liable for acts of, 117.

# PIRATES,

capture by, 37. seaman refusing to fight, 67.

injury in fight with, no average for, 161. perils by, insured against, 206.

POLICY. See MARINE INSURANCE.

PORT OF DISCHARGE, insurance to, 187.

```
PORT OF REGISTRY, what, 4.
PRECIOUS STONES, robbery of, 116.
```

## PREMIUM.

need not be mentioned in policy, 179. not recoverable, if adventure illegal, 258. underwriter's right of set-off against assignee, 270. who liable for, 171.

lien of broker for, what, 172, 272.

how superseded, 272.

return of, when risk has not commenced, 261.

when policy illegal, 262. on account of fraud on part of underwriter, 263. when fraud on part of insured, 264. in case of two or more voyages, 265. for short interest, 266.

profits, ib.

for over-insurance, ib. in the case of a double insurance, 268, 269. underwriters'  $\frac{1}{2}$  per cent., 267.

# PRIMAGE,

what, 92.

when payable, 110.

PRIVATEERS, wager policies on, 173.

PRIVILEGES OF BRITISH SHIPS, 2, and note.

registry necessary for, 3.

unauthorized assumption of, entails forfeiture, 7.

### PRIZES.

must be condemned, 34.

captured by neutrals, 37.

pirates, 38.

capture of, covered by marine policy, when, 205.

insurance against, ib., 258. total loss, when, 220, 222.

in port of discharge, 237.

assignments of, before condemnation, 39.

# PROFITS.

insurable, 175.

not insurable as goods, 181.

\* The figures refer to the sections, not to pages.

N.

PROHIBITION OF STATE,

what, 207.

covered by a marine policy, ib.

PROPERTY IN BRITISH SHIPS.

in 64ths, 9.

how transferred, 16.

mortgaged, 18.

power of mortgagor over, 21.

mortgagee over, 22.

discharge or transmission of mortgage on, 23.

### PROVISIONS.

non-contribution by, to general average, 162. insurable as "furniture," 181.

PROVISIONAL CERTIFICATE OF REGISTRATION,

how obtainable, 4.

its duration, ib.

PUNISHMENT of seamen for desertion and misconduct, 52, 67, 68, 70.

Purchase of a ship, carries freight, when, 86.

# R.

# RATIFICATION.

of a marine policy, 253.

non-communication of information received before, 252.

#### RATS.

injury by, not excepted by bill of lading, 114. not covered by a marine policy, 203.

RECAPTURE OF VESSEL,

entitles owner to her, on paying salvage, 154. amount of salvage, 155.

agreement as to salvage, 156.

RECEIVER OF WRECK,

duties of, 151.

may sell the property salved, when, ib.

Reference, remedy on a policy, when, 270.

REGISTRAE may grant a certificate of mortgage or sale, 17.

# REGISTRATION,

provisional certificate of, 4.
of bills of sale of a British ship, 16.
of mortgages of a British ship, 17.
gives priority, 18.
of discharge of a mortgage, 23.

#### REGISTRY.

of ships, 3.

where effected, 4.

before what officials, 3.

port of, 4.

before, ship must be surveyed, 5.

transfer of, 6.

de novo, ib.

no trust can be registered, 9.

who can be registered as owners, ib.

a captured vessel must be condemned before, 34.

REGULATION of a foreign state, its effect on a policy, 242.

## RE-INSURANCE,

what, 273.

effect of, on underwriter's liability, ib. gives assured no claim against re-insurer, ib.

RE-INSURER, not liable to insured, 273.

RELEASE, mutual, on discharge of seamen, 61.

# REPAIRS, want of,

a total loss of ship, when, 220. of goods, when, 221.

justifies deviation, 238.

# REPRESENTATION.

effect on a marine policy, 248, 250. difference between warranty and, 249. may be withdrawn, when, 250.

#### RESPONDENTIA.

what, 122, 123.

effect and peculiarities of, 124.

shipmaster borrowing on, 126.

RESPONDENTIA-continued.

not subject of a general average, 160. advances on, insurable, 175.

not insurable as goods, 181.

RESTRAINT OF PRINCES,

delivery of part of goods only through, 144. meaning of, in charter-parties, 80.

RETURN OF PREMIUM,

when risk not commenced, 261.

policy illegal, 262.

fraud on part of underwriter, 263.

of insured, 264.

two or more voyages insured, 265.

for short interest, 266.

short profits, ib.

over-insurance, ib.

in case of double insurance, 268, 269. underwriters' ½ per cent., 267.

RISK.

to appear on policy, 179.

duration-of, on ship, 197, 199, 200.

goods, 201.

when it commences to run, ib.

return of premium, if risk not commenced, 261 to 266.

RIVER, PUBLIC, vessel sunk in, duty of owner as to, 33.

RIVER VESSEL, no registry of, required when, 3.

ROBBERY.

shipowner not liable for, when, 116. when covered by insurance, 206.

ROVERS, insurance against, 206.

RUNNING AGREEMENTS with seamen, 41.

RUNNING DAYS, in clauses of demurrage, 81.

B.

SAFETY OF VESSEL, warranty of, 234.

SAIL, warranty to, effect of, 233.

<sup>\*</sup> The figures refer to the sections, not to pages.

Sale, Bill of, necessary to transfer a British ship, 16. must be registered, ib. certificate of, how obtained and what, 17.

## SALVAGE,

agreement by seamen to give up, 65.

what, and when due, 149.
who are liable for, iô.
who cannot claim, 150.
lien for, 161.
duties of salvor, and receiver, iô.
the property can be sold to pay, when, iô.
how recoverable, 152, 153.
in case of recapture, 154, 155.
inequitable agreement to pay, 156.
policy "without benefit of ——," 173.
underwriter liable for, when, 205.

# SALVOB.

lien of, 151. must inform the receiver, ib.

SEA, perils of the, what, 203.

SEA DAMAGE, liability for, 87.

#### SEAMEN.

agreement with, contents, 40.

how made, 41, 42, 43.

to be posted up in ship, 50.

duration of, 47.

delivery up of, to shipping master, 46, 47.

mutual release of claims under, 61.

made abroad, 45.

improper, liability of shipmaster on, 44.

by shipowner, 43.

proof of, by seamen, 48, 75.

alterations in, 48.

no stamp duty, 49.

seaworthiness of vessel implied in, 51, 54.

running agreement, 41.

to forfeit wages, salvage, 65.

<sup>\*</sup> The figures refer to the sections, not to pages.

SEAMEN-continued.

arrest of, for desertion, &c., 53.

barratry by, what, 209.

desertion of, punishment of, 52.

deviation, justified by mutiny, illness, desertion of crew, 238.

discharge of, how to be effected, 60.

a release to be signed, 61.

certificate of, 62.

improper, 56.

imprisoned, may be ordered by a justice to be conveyed on board. 53.

misconduct of, punishment for, 52.

covered by marine policy, 208.

negligence of, covered by marine policy, ib.

promise of extra pay to, effect of, 55. refusal to join ship, if unseaworthy, 54.

wages, agreement to forfeit, 65.

allotment of, 59.

amount of, to be in agreement, 40.

begin, when, 58.

charge on ship, when, 63.

contribution of, to general average, 163.

forfeiture of, for misconduct, 52, 67.

desertion, 52, 68.

refusing to work, 52, 70. costs of conviction, 69.

insurable, never, 175.

payable, in case of illness, 57, 66.

a wreck, 66.

though no freight earned, 64.

recoverable, how, 71, 73.

abroad, when, 72.

from whom, 75.

by personal representatives, 57.

after six years, when, 74.

wilfully leaving behind, a misdemeanor, 62.

# SEAWORTHINESS OF VESSEL,

in engagements of seamen, 51.

seamen may refuse to join an unseaworthy vessel, 54.

<sup>\*</sup> The figures refer to the sections, not to pages.

SEAWORTHINESS OF VESSEL-continued.

warranty of, in contracts for conveyance in a general ship, 93.

by charter-party, 105.

warranty of, in marine policies, 239. no warranty of, during voyage, ib. in time policies, 240.

SEIZURE IN PORT OF DISCHARGE, freedom from, warranty of, 237.

## SENTENCE,

of condemnation. See Condemnation. of a foreign court, falsifies warranties, 236.

### SHIPMASTER,

agreements with seamen, contents of, 40.

how made, 41, 42, 43.

to be posted up in ship, 50.

duration of, 47.

delivery up to shipping master, 46, 47.

mutual release of claims under, 61.

made abroad, 45.

improper, liability of shipmaster on, 44.

alterations in, 48.

no stamp duty, 49.

seaworthiness of vessel implied, 51, 54.

running agreements, 41.

to forfeit wages or salvage, 65.

altering marks on a British vessel, 5.

change in, must be registered, ib.

duty of, if he meets another vessel, 29.

after a collision, 30.

arrest of a seaman by, for desertion, &c., 53.

to sign a release and report on discharge of a seaman, 61.

discharge of seamen by, 62.

liability of, for seamen's wages, 75.

lien of, for wages, &c., 76, 119.

duty of, as to bill of lading, 94.

when shipper has no interest in cargo, 106.

liability of, for delay or deviation, 107.

<sup>\*</sup> The figures refer to the sections, not to pages.

# SHIPMASTER—continued.

mortgage, sale, trans-shipment of cargo by, 108, 109, 126. must report vessel on arrival, 110. estopped by bill of lading from claiming freight, when, iô. may detain goods for charges due, when, 111. when liable for acts of pilot, 117. cancellation and suspension of certificate of, 120. bottomry or respondentia by, 126. misapplication of money raised by, 131. entitled to freight, when, 136, 137, 138. loses right to freight, when, 149. mortgage of cargo by, not subject of a general average, 160. wages of, insurable, 175. barratry by, 209, 210.

# SHIPPING MASTER,

agreements with seamen to be signed before, 41, 42, 45.

to be delivered up to, when, 46.
must attest any alteration in agreement with seamen, 48.
seamen to be discharged before, 60.
mutual release to be signed by owner or master and crew, before, 61.

#### SHIPOWNER.

abandonment by, of vessel, 219, 220.

of freight, 222.

within what time, 224.

must try to avert a total loss, 227.

action against freighter when, 148.

consignee, 141.

indorsee of bill of lading, ib. application to Chancery Division to fix his liability, 118. arrest of a seaman by, for desertion, &c., 53. barratry by, 211. bill of lading, no estoppel by, 110. collision, costs of, not covered by policy, 215. contribution to general average, 163. covenant to sail, breach of, 83. declaration of, before registering ship, 5.

<sup>\*.\*</sup> The figures refer to the sections, not to pages.

```
SHIPOWNER—continued.
```

demurrage, 81.

engagement of seamen, 43.

insurable interest of, 175.

freight on failure of merchant to load, 82.

pro ratâ itineris, 145.

for carrying his own goods, 86.

lien for, 148.

dead freight, ib.

landing goods at end of voyage, 113.

liability of, for not making proper agreement with seamen, 4 !

for unseaworthiness of vessel, 51.

for seamen's wages, 75.

for delay or deviation, 107.

for damage by rats, 114.

for damage or loss, limited, 118.

for collision, 24.

to what extent, 25.

though vessel chartered, 28.

limited, 32.

for vessel sunk in a public river, 33.

on a charter-party by deed, when, 78.

not liable for fire, 115.

robbery, embezzlement, &c., 116.

acts of pilot, 117.

liens of, for freight, 148.

for dead freight or demurrage, ib.

for salvage, 151.

for general average, 165.

payment of salvage on recapture, 154, 155.

release by, on discharge of seamen, 61.

warranties by. See WARRANTY.

work done, shipowner cannot claim for, if ship does not sail. 147.

SHIPPER. See CONSIGNOR.

#### SHIPS.

either British or foreign, 1.

a foreign ship, what is, ib.

<sup>\*</sup> The figures refer to the sections, not to pages.

```
SHIPS—continued.
```

British ships,

who can own, 1.

privileges of, 2.

must be registered, when, 3.

before whom, ib.

where, 4.

must be surveyed, &c. before registry, 5.

name and marks on may not be altered, ib.

if altered, alteration to be registered, 6.

the registry can be transferred, ib.

when liable to be forfeited, 7, 8.

property in, in 64ths, 9.

number of persons who can be registered as owners, ib.

a trust of, cannot be registered, ib.

a corporation can be registered as owner of, ib.

how transferable, 16.

how mortgaged, 18.

power of mortgagor over, 21.

mortgagee over, 22.

discharge or transmission of mortgage on, to be registered, 23.

Collision between, who liable for, 24.

compensation recoverable for, when caused by fault of one vessel only, 25.

how recoverable, 26, 27.

owner liable for, though vessel chartered, 28.

duty of a master of a vessel likely to collide with another, 29.

duty of a shipmaster after, 30.

extent of liability of shipowner on account of, 32.

sunk in a public river, duty of owner as to, 33.

Captured ship, condemnation of, 34, 37, 38.

cannot be condemned in a neutral port, 35.

by a consul in a neutral state, 36.

crews of. See SEAMEN.

description of, in charter-parties, 84.

seaworthiness of, implied in contracts of affreightment, 93, 105.

<sup>\*</sup> The figures refer to the sections, not to pages.

### SHIPS-continued.

in contracts of affreightment must not delay or deviate, 107. when they must sail, ib.

mortgage of, by shipmaster, 126.

insurance of. See MARINE INSURANCE.

deviation of. See DEVIATION.

seaworthiness in marine insurance. See SEAWORTHINESS.

# SHIP'S HUSBAND,

how appointed, 12.

his powers and duties, ib.

his acts bind co-owners, ib.

if a special agreement, he must keep within it, 13.

his lien and right of action against the co-owners, 14.

may arrest a seaman for desertion, &c, 53.

SHORT INTEREST, return of premium for, 266. SHORT PROFITS, return of premium for, 266.

SILVER, shipowner not liable for robbery of, 116.

# SLIP,

, what, 271.

no action on, 179, 271.

non-communication of information received after initialing of slip, 252.

when admissible in evidence, 271.

#### SMUGGLING,

barratry, when, 209—Illustration. insurance on, 254.

# STAMP DUTY,

seamen's contracts exempt from, 49.

on charter parties, 88.

on bills of lading, 96.

on marine policies, 189, 191.

STIPENDIARY MAGISTRATE, summary jurisdiction of, as to salvage, 153.

STOPPAGE IN TRANSITU, right of, when lost, 99.

STOWING GOODS ON DECK, effect of, on policy, 245.

# STRANDING,

in marine policies, what, 217.

effect of the memorandum as to, 216.

<sup>\*</sup> The figures refer to the sections, not to pages.

"SUE AND LABOUR CLAUSE," in marine policy, effect of, 190.

"Sur bonnes et mauvaises nouvelles," effect of, in marine policies, 188.

SURVEY of British ships, before registry, 5.

Suspension of shipmaster's certificate, 30, 120.

T.

TEMPEST, loss by, covered by marine policy, 203.

THEFT, when covered by a sea policy, 206, 214.

THIEVES, insurance against in sea policies, 206.

TIME OF SAILING, warranty of, 233.

TIME POLICY.

what, 240.

no warranty of sea-worthiness in, ib.

Title to A Bertish Ship, acquired by capture and condemnation, 34.

"To AN ISLAND" or "DISTRICT," effect of, in policies, 187.

"To Port or Ports of Discharge," effect of, in policies, 187.

TOTAL LOSS.

in bottomry bonds, 125.

in marine policies, what, 218.

of part of goods, when, 227.

becoming partial, 228.

insured can recover for it, and for partial loss, 230.

when damages cannot be recovered from a wrongdoer, 231.

TRADING WITH AN ENEMY,

illegal, 175.

not insurable, ib.

TRANSFER.

of registry of a British ship, 6.

of a British ship, how effected, 16.

Transferee, unqualified, of a British ship, 8.

TRANSITU, stoppage in,

what, 99.

right lost when, ib.

Transmission, of a mortgage on a British ship, to be registered, 23.

TRANS-SHIPMENT OF CARGO,

by shipmaster, 108.

what freight payable after, 146, 148.

underwriter liable after, when, 201.

TRUST, A, in case of a British ship cannot be registered, 9.

U.

UNDERWRITER,

what, 169.

who may be, 170.

liability of broker to, 171.

to appear on policy, 179.

liability of, under policy "lost or not lost," 188.

released by deviation, 194-198.

duration of his risk, on ship, 199, 200, 197.

on goods, 201, 197.

liability of, for loss by perils of the sea, 203.

fire, 204.

capture, 205.

salvage on recapture, ib.

pirates and thieves, 206.

arrest, &c., 207.

embargo, ib.

negligence and misconduct of crew, 208.

barratry, 209, 210.

under the Memorandum, 216.

for a partial loss, 229.

for goods stowed on deck, 245.

non-liability of, in case of collision, 215.

if any freight earned, 222.

if ship could carry the goods, 223.

notice of abandonment, when, 219.

notice of abandonment to, must be unconditional, 225.

irrevocable, ib.

vests property in, 226.

cannot sue wrongdoer, though he has paid for a total loss, when, 231.

<sup>\*</sup> The figures refer to the sections, not to pages.

#### UNDERWRITER—continued.

breach of warranties, effect of. See WARRANTY. fraud, misrepresentation, defence of, 246, 247.

when not available, 250.

a misrepresentation to one underwriter, effect of, 248. concealment, its effect on policy, 246, 251.

what may be material facts, ib. of false information, ib.

no premium recoverable by, if adventure illegal, 258. adjustment by, what, 260.

how far binding, ib.

payment by, under mistake, fraud, &c., ib.

return of premium by, when risk has not commenced, 261.

when policy illegal, 262.

when fraud by underwriter, 263.

by insured, 264.

when two or more voyages insured, 265. for short interest, 266.

profits, ib.

for over-insurance, ib.

in case of double insurance, 268, 269.

underwriters' 1 per cent., 267.

action against, by insured or broker, 270.

by assignee of policy, ib.

consolidation of actions against, ib.

right of set-off for premiums paid, ib.

re-insurance, liability of underwriter under, 273.

double insurance, liability of underwriters under, 274. contribution, ib.

no action against wrongdoer, 276.

damages recovered from wrongdoer, underwriter entitled to, when, 275.

underwriter not liable, if, ib.

Unqualified Transferee of a British ship, 8.

UNSEAWORTHY VESSEL.

seamen may refuse to join, 54.

in contracts of affreightment, 93, 105.

marine policies, 239.

time policies, 240.

<sup>\*</sup> The figures refer to the sections, not to pages.

Usage of House or Firm, when binding, in marine insurance, 193.

USAGE OF TRADE,

cannot control a policy, 238.

when admissible in evidence to explain policy, 192, 193.

USURA MARITIMA, what, 123.

٧.

VALUED POLICY,

what, 178.

overvaluing interest, effect of, ib.

calculation of partial loss, 229.

return of premium for over-insurance, 266.

VIOLATION OF BLOCKADE illegal, 91.

VOYAGE,

description of, in marine policy, 183.

"at and from," 184, 185.

"at and from on freight," 186.

"to an island or district," 187.

"to her port or ports of discharge, ib.

deviation from, 194-198. illegal, insurance on, 254.

W.

WAGER POLICY,

void, when, 173.

premium returnable, when, 262.

WAGES OF SEAMEN.

agreement to forfeit, or give up lien for, 65.

allotment of, 59.

amount of, to be in agreement, 40.

begin, when, 58.

charge on ship, when, 63.

contribution of, to general average, when, 163.

forfeiture of, for misconduct, 52, 69.

desertion, 52, 68.

refusing to work, 52, 70.

costs of conviction, 69.

insurable, never, 175.

WAGES OF SEAMEN—continued.

payable, in case of illness, 57, 66.

of a wreck, 66.

though no freight earned, 64.

recoverable, how, 71, 73.

abroad, when, 72.

from whom, 75.

by personal representatives, 57.

after six years, when, 74.

WARRHOUSE-REEPER, effect of shipowner giving notice of lien to, 113.

WARRANTING VESSEL WELL ON A CERTAIN DAY, effect of, 188.

Warranty,

description of ship in charter-party a, 84. of seaworthiness in contracts of affreightment, 105. in marine policies, meaning of, 232.

the warranties, express and implied,

care by insured, 241.

convoy, 235.

documentation, 241, 243, 244.

freedom from seizure, 237.

neutrality, 236.

not to deviate, 238.

safety of vessel, 234.

seaworthiness, 239, 240.

time of sailing, 233.

difference between representation and, 249.

WEAR AND TEAR, not covered by a marine policy, 214.

#### WEATHER.

no excuse for delay to sail, 233.

justifies deviation, 238.

WHARFINGER, shipowner giving notice of his lien to, 113.

Worms, injury by, not covered by a marine policy, 203.

#### WEONGDOER.

damages recovered from, 275. not suable by underwriters, 276.

# REEVES & TURNER,

# 100, CHANCERY LANE; CAREY STREET; AND 196, STRAND.

Abstracts of Title.—A Handy Book of Exercises on a Series of Abstracts of Title to Freehold, Copyhold and Leasehold Estates and Personalty, with Observations and Requisitions on each Title, arranged as Exercises for the Use of Law Students and Articled Clerks; including the Real Property Limitation Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 57), the Real Property (Vendor and Purchaser) Act (37 & 38 Vict. c. 78), and Observations thereon. By W. H. Comyns. 6s. 1878.

"The idea of this little book is very good, and is well worked out. Twenty-two specimens of abstracts are set out, containing a variety of titles of freeholds, copyholds, leaseholds for years, reversionary interest in personalty, and policies of assurance. Part II. contains observations, requisitions, and objections on the abstracts. The author does not mention whether his titles are taken from those he has met with in actual practice, or whether they are hypothetical; but he has, at all events, managed to introduce into them most of the points and technicalities which may be said to be the snares and pitfalls of real property law. These exercises are, therefore, extremely useful to law students and articled clerks, for whom, in fact, the work is nominally intended. Perhaps the requisitions are more stringent than is usual in actual practice; as exercises, however, they are not much the worse for that. The Vendor and Purchaser Act, 1874, and the Real Property Limitation Act, 1874, have effected, or will effect, such alterations in the law of real property that Mr. Comyns has found it requisite to publish this third edition of his "Handy Book." The other reason which he states for its publication must be much more gratifying to the author—viz, that all copies of the two former editions have been disposed of. The two above-mentioned acts are, of course, reprinted in this new edition; and some few notes and observations on them, and on the rule in Shelley's case, have been added, as well as two more abstracts than in the first edition. The book is neatly and well printed and bound, and will, we should think, find as ready a sale as its predecessors."—Law Journal.

Bills of Sale.—The Law of Bills of Sale, with Appendix of Precedents and Statutes, including the Bills of Sale Act, 1878, with Notes. By G. E. Lyon and J. H. Redman, Esquires. Second Edition. Price 4s. 6d. 1878

Biographia Juridica.—A Biographical Dictionary of the Judges of England from the Conquest to the present time, 1066—1870. By EDWARD Foss, F.S.A. Thick 8vo. Published 20s.; 8s. 6d. 1870

Campbell (Lord).—Lives of Lord Lyndhurst and Lord Brougham, Lord Chancellors and Keepers of the Great Seal of England.

This forms the eighth and concluding Volume of Lord Campbell's Lives of the Chancellors. With a Title and Index to the entire Work. 8vo. cloth. Published 16s.; 7s. 6d.

Misrepresentations in Campbell's Lives of Lords Lyndhurst and Brougham, corrected b, Lord St. Leonards. 8vo. cloth. Price 1s.

Conditions of Sale.—The Law and Practice as to Particulars and Conditions of Sale, with Notes and Forms, &c., &c. By RICHARD HENRY COLE, Esq., A.K.C. 3s. 6d. 1879

The Forms of Conditions of Sale contained in this work have been prepared with great care and labour. They are intended principally for the use of country solicitors, auctioneers and others concerned, as intending vendors or purchasers of real property. They will also be found useful to the legal profession and the public generally.

Gray's Inn.—Notes illustrative of its History and Antiquities. Compiled by W. R. Douthwalle, Librarian. 8vo. Price 5s.

"Two of the Inns of Court have been fortunate of late in possessing careful and competent annalists: what Mr. Spilsbury has done so well for Lincoln's Inn, Mr. Douthwaite here attempts for Gray's Inn. He traces the early history of the Inn, then of the De Grey family and the acquisition of the property by the Society, and then he comes to Gray's Inn as an Inn of Court. Many other matters are traced of in Mr. Douthwaite's interesting little book, which does not even omit a reference to the rooks about which so much anxiety was recently expressed in the public papers."—Solicitors' Journal, Sept. 23, 1876.

Horse Warranty: or the Purchase and Sale of Horses, with Hints as to Methods of Procedure in Cases of Dispute. By F. H. LASCELLES. Post 8vo. Price 2s. 6d. 1877

This is not intended for a law book, nor will it supply the place of business habits, or turn a careless deal or bargain into a satisfactory one; but it is hoped it will show those who propose to buy or sell horse some of the rules or safeguards to be adopted to avoid litigation, if possible, or to ensure success if litigation must take place.

Justice of the Peace.—A Handy Book for Justices of the Peace. By a DEVONSHIRE JUSTICE AND BARRISTER-AT-LAW. Price 6s. 1877

"I beg leave to acknowledge the great assistance I have received, in making this compilation, from the treatises of Oke and Stone, and the leading articles of that most useful publication, the 'Justice of the Peace.' From each of these I have borrowed freely and often, because I submit this handy-book to the notice of my brother justices more as a preface to the treatises they must consult, than in any way as a substitute for such carefully prepared works. Many gentlemen when first acting as justices must be puzzled to find out where they may learn the A B C of their work. Should what I have put together prove of use to any one so situated, the end aimed at will have been attained by—A Justice of the Peace for Devonshire."—Preface.

Kerr's Blackstone.—Commentaries on the Laws of England of Sir WILLIAM BLACKSTONE, Knt., formerly one of the Justices of the Court of Common Pleas. Adapted to the Present State of the Law by Robert Malcolm Kerr, L.L.D., Barrister-at-Law, Judge of the City of London Court, and one of the Commissioners of the Central Criminal Court. Fourth Edition. In 4 vols. demy 8vo., published at 3l. (A few copies can be had at 25s.)

Landlord and Tenant.—A Concise View of the Law of Landlord and Tenant, including the Fractice in Ejectment. Second Edition. By Joseph Haworth Redman, of the Middle Temple, Esq., Barristerat-Law; Author of "A Concise Treatise on the Law of Arbitrations and Awards," and "A Treatise on the Law of Railway Companies as Carriers," and George Edward Lyon, of the Middle Temple, Esq., Barrister-at-Law; Author of "A Hand-book of the Law of Bills of Sale." Price 10s. 6d. 1879

"In our review of the first edition, which appeared in June, 1876, we noticed this book in favourable terms. We are now glad to perceive that our commendations were

not ill founded; for we find that the first edition was exhausted within two years of its publication, and the work has been for some time out of print-sufficient proofs

that it has been appreciated by the profession and the public.

"In this second edition no alteration has been made in form or arrangement. work has only been altered by corrections and additions, which have, however, added some thirty-nine pages to its size. The only statute of importance since 1876 which touches the law of landlord and tenant is the Settled Estates Act, 1877, and this has received due notice by Messrs. Redman and Lyon. All the decided cases affecting such law have been properly referred to and commented on. We may mention, in particular, Wilson v. Finch Hatton, 46 Law J. Rep., Exch. 489, as to the implied condition in letting furnished houses that they are fit for occupation; and Rawlins v. Biggs, 47 Law J. Rep., C. P. 487, by which it was decided that a covenant by the tenant to pay rates and impositions charged on the premises did not throw on him the expense of drainage

works ordered to be done by the local authorities under the Public Health Act, 1875. "We have particularized these two cases as they are specimens of 'such questions as

"We have particularized these two cases as they are specimens, of 'such questions as to the law governing the relationship of landlord and tenant as every lawyer in practice is required to advise upon almost daily.' To enable him to advise rightly is the avowed object of Messrs. Redman and Lyon's book. We have no doubt this second edition will meet with the same success as the first."—Law Journal, June 28, 1879.

"Messrs. Redman and Lyon have published a second edition of their little work on Landlord and Tenant, including ejectment. For all practical purposes this volume is sufficient; and we are by no means sure that it is not a decided merit that it does not contain the tiresome disquisitions of the larger treatises. The authors furnish a compendious statement of the practice affecting the landlord's remedies in County Courts, and before justices of the peace, and care assems to have been avariated to extend and before justices of the peace, and care seems to have been exercised to attain accuracy."—Law Times, July 5th, 1879.

"This is a hand-book designed for quick reference, and as such must be useful to British lawyers, and may not be amiss to American lawyers. A book constructed on this plan, with reference to American decisions, would be very useful to us. The on this plan, with reference to American decisions, would be very useful to us. The principles are stated in the most concise manner, and there is nothing whatever of the character of a treatise about the work. The references are in the text, and the text has marginal eatch-words. The volume has a table of contents, an index of cases and a general index of more than one hundred pages. The work is one of that class peculiar to our own time, which we should denominate 'tool books,' and which the lawyer needs on a stand within reach of his hand. On this particular subject it would be folly to undertake a treatise after Taylor's, one of the best dozen law books ever written, but something like this volume, in plan and dimensions, would be welcome as a pony or hack for our market."—The Albany Law Journal, 5th July, 1879.

#### Leases.—Precedents of Leases, with Practical Notes. Second Edition. By John Andrews, B.A. Price 7s. 6d. 1878

"In the second edition of this neat little volume many additions have been made. Notices to quit, and other notices under the Agricultural Holdings Act, the Act itself, and the Settled Estates Act, 1877, have of course been incorporated. But the revision of the precedents and of the notes, and the extension of the latter, are really the elements of value. The precedents, on the whole, are well adapted, and the notes concise and pertinent, and the volume will be found of considerable utility to solicitors."—The Law Journal.

"A precedent is much more useful to the conveyancer than what is known as a form. The form is prepared in advance to cover all cases within a certain class, while the precedent only undertakes to show how certain cases actually existing were met. Both precedent only undertakes to show how certain cases actually existing were met. Both are designed as guides, but to one the ground is unknown, while to the other it is to a certain extent familiar. We are glad, therefore, to welcome books which profess to aid the drafteman by furnishing him with the best examples of what has been done, and we esteem the volume before us as a book of that kind. It covers only a single subject, but one of growing importance in these days, when so large a proportion of the people either live or do business on leased premises. The work will be found chiefly useful in England, but it contains many hints that will be advantageous to American conveyancers. The precedents it gives are taken from those in constant use. They have all been carefully revised, and many of them have been settled by counsel. American conveyancers. The precedents it gives are taken from those in constant use. They have all been carefully revised, and many of them have been settled by counsel. The various clauses and provisions are illustrated by notes referring to reported decisions, which embrace the leading points usually met in practice. The satisfaction which the work has given is indicated by the fact that a second edition has been called for—a somewhat unusual thing in books of this kind."—The Albany Law Journal. Lincoln's Inn.—Its Ancient and Modern Buildings, with an Account of the Library. By Wm. Holden Spilsbury, Librarian. Fcap. 8vo. Second Edition. Price 3s. 6d.

"Mr. Spilsbury's book, of which the second edition is before us, is a meritorious work. The descriptions both of the ancient and modern buildings are very graphic, and without the help of illustrations—there is only one in the book—enable the reader to form a vivid conception of the magnificent pile that for architectural effect vies with any building in the metropolis. The Introduction will be appreciated by lay readers because it gives a succinct account of our legal history, and so leads up to the Inns of Court, of which Lincoln's Inn elaims to be the oldest. As might have been expected, the part of the work devoted to the Library is most elaborate, and the account of the rare books testifies to the learning and industry of the author. So excellent, indeed, is this chapter, that it will give the work a place in the library of every book collector. We recommend Mr. Spilsbury's book for its general utility and interest; but we especially recommend it to students, and to all who use the Lincoln's Inn Library."—Law Journal.

Magistrates.—Summary and Tutelary Jurisdiction of Magistrates under 11 & 12 Vict. c. 43, and Appeal from the Decisions of Justices. By Harry Stanley Giffard, of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. Price 7s. 6d. 1878

"It begins with an introduction of twenty-seven pages, pointing out various distinctions between Jervis's Act and certain 'Summary Jurisdiction Bills' of 1871 and 1877, which failed to pass. Then we have the text of the Act 11 & 12 Vict. c. 43, fully, and, as far as we can see, correctly and carefully, annotated. Then we have more than one hundred pages of Appendices on Arrest, Appeal, and 'Tutelary and Alternative Jurisdiction.' This latter appendix deals chiefly with the jurisdiction of justice under the Juvenile Offenders Act, the various Whipping Acts, and the Education Acts. The three essays show care, and cite, as far as we can see, all necessary authorities."—Law

"In the Introduction to this work we find a fairly exhaustive record of the attempts made since 1865 in parliament to procure uniformity of practice in regard to the amendment of the law relating to the summary jurisdiction of magistrates; in fact, the Introduction forms no unimportant part of this useful and inexpensive book, showing as it does the character and aim of the several bills which, though introduced into parliament, have not yet become law, and indicating as it does the directions which any legislation will take which is intended to remedy acknowledged evils in the present system under which justice is administered in courts of petty sessions. 11 & 12 Vict. c. 43 ('An Act to facilitate the performance of the duties of Justices of the Peace out of Session, within England and Wales, with respect to summary contitions and orders') follows, and the foot-notes to the act are very full, ample use having been made of the best authorities on criminal law and practice. The appendix is a valuable part of the book, dealing as it does with 'arrests,' 'appeals,' 'tutelary and alternative jurisdiction.' The appendix also furnishes useful forms required in magistrates' courts. On the whole we need not hesitate to recommend it to those magistrates' clerks and solicitors practising in criminal courts, who desire to add to their law libraries a handy book dealing with summary convictions."

Master and Servant.—Practical Compendium of the Law of Master and Servant in general, and especially of Employers and Workmen, under the Acts of 1875. With Suggestions for its Improvement. An Appendix of Forms, Analytical Table of Contents, Alphabetical Index, including References to the principal Labour, Mine, Factory, and Workshop Acts. By Charles Petersborf, Serjeant-at-Law; County Court Judge; Author of the "Abridgment of the Common Law," &c. Price 5s.

"This work furnishes a summary of the general law applicable to the wage and salaried classes, including every grade, and explaining the particular law especially applicable to each. It will be found very useful to the profession and magistrates."—

(Invital and Labour, vol. iii. p. 209.

applicable to each. It will be found very useful to the profession and magistrates."—

Capital and Labour, vol. iii. p. 209.

"The work will be found complete in every way, and will save lawyers much time and trouble."—Standard, March 4, 1876. See also Law Journal, vol. ii. p. 198, &c., &c.

Married Women's Property.—History of the Law of England as to the Effects of Marriage on Property, and on the Wife's Legal Capacity. By Courtney Stanhope Kenny, LL.M., of Lincoln's Inn, Fellow and Law Lecturer of Downing College, Cambridge. Price 5s. 1879

"As a specimen of historical research, legal learning, and scholarlike style, it is creditable not only to its author, but to the university also. Only those who have a knowledge of the subject can be aware how arduous it is to trace from the various sources the growth of our present legal provisions and enactments in respect of the property of married women; how irksome to investigate the original authorities; how perplexing to select from them, and to weigh the force and meaning of each passage; and how difficult to write historically, and, at the same time, to avoid antiquarianism. Those who are experienced will at once recognise the high merits of Mr. Kenny's essay. It must not be thought, however, that it is intelligible only to those who have a know-It must not be thought, however, that it is intelligible only to those who have a know-ledge of law; on the contrary, it is as free as a work of its nature can be from legal technicalities, and is adapted for the reading of any well-educated layman. To students of history or political science it will be invaluable, and by ladies, upholders of women's rights, it should be thoroughly studied. The bias of the author throughout is so strongly in favour of the 'weaker sex,' that the essay may be safely recommend to lady students. The treatise on 'dower' is particularly well compiled, and the ingenuity of the conveyancer in freeing the estates of husbands from it form most interesting topics. The terseness of Mr. Kenny's style renders his writing attractive and amusing.

We need not extend this notice further than to recommend Mr. Kenny's volume to lawyers, laymen, and ladies as an interesting as well as highly instructive work.

Law Journal, April, 1879.

Mortgages.—Precedents of Mortgages, with Practical Notes. By John Andrews, B.A. 7s. 6d. 1879

"Mr. Andrews is favourably known as the compiler of a volume of Precedents of eases. This companion volume is marked by the same care and intelligence as we observed in the previous work, the notes being in the largest sense practical, and written in a style which makes thoroughly intelligible to the mind of a tyro the precedents to which tiley are appended. In the work of the conveyancer reliable precedents are an absolute necessity, and Mr. Andrews gives only such as have been settled by counsel, or have been tested by actual use. They comprise Precedents of Memorandums of Equitable Deposits and Agreements, of Montgages, Miscellaneous Precedents and Forms, Forms of Notices. Stamps are dealt with separately, and a part is devoted to the Trustee Act, 1850; Locke King's, 1854; Amendment Act, 1867; Further Amendment Act, 187, 187, 23 & 24 Vict. c. 149), an Act to give trustees, mortgages, and others certain powers now commonly inserted in settlements, mortgages and wills; and the Bills of Sale Act, 1878."—Law Times, June 9, 1879. observed in the previous work, the notes being in the largest sense practical, and written

Primogeniture. — Two Essays on the Law of Primogeniture.  $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{v}$ C. S. KENNY and P. M. LAURENCE. 8vo. cloth. 7s. 6d.

"Valuable as examples of calm and scholarlike discussion of a great question which cannot be divested of a political aspect; and yet more valuable as examples of the combination of jural and historical with economic inquiry, and of the nature of the commination of Juria and insortest with economic inquiry, and of the nature of the field of research lying before economists who have the courage to depart from the worn and narrow à priori road. . . Mr. Kenny's learning, novelty of treatment, force and terseness of style. Both essays deserve strong recommendation to students of legal history and political science."—Athenaum.

"Very learned and interesting. . . Well deserve the attention of students of both legal history and politics."—Academy.

"So replete with learned information on the subject, that they must henceforth form recovery hand-hold to all persons who deal with the tonic."—Westminster Region

a necessary hand-book to all persons who deal with the topic." - Westminster Review.

—— The Law and Custom of Primogeniture. By P. M. LAURENCE Being the Essay which, jointly with Mr. Kenny's, obtained the York Prize of the University of Cambridge. 8vo. 5s. 1878

- Shipping.—Digest of the Law of Shipping and Marine Insurance, with Illustrations. By H. Newson, LL.B., of the Middle Temple, Barrister-at-Law (Middle Temple International Law Scholar, Hilary Term, 1877). Price 5s. 1879
- Tabulæ Curiales; or, Tables of the Superior Courts of Westminster, Hall, showing the Judges who sat in them from 1066 to 1864; with the Attorney and Solicitor-Generals of each reign. To which is prefixed an Alphabetical List of all the Judges during the same period. 8vo. Published 10s. 6d.; 5s. 1865
- Wright's Court-Hand Restored .- The Student's Assistant in Reading Old Deeds, Charters, Records, &c. Neatly engraved on Twentythree Copper Plates, describing the Old Law Hands, with their Contractions and Abbreviations. With an Appendix containing the Ancient Names of Places in Great Britain and Ireland; an Alphabetical Table of Ancient Surnames; and a Glossography of Latin Words found in the Works of the most Eminent Lawvers and other Ancient Writings, but not in any Modern Dictionaries. A Work not only useful to remind the Learned, but absolutely necessary for Young Students, and others, who have occasion to consult Old Charters, Deeds, or Records. By Andrew Wright, of the Inner Temple. The Ninth Edition, corrected and enlarged, with Seven New Plates. by Charles Trice Martin, B.A., F.S.A., of Her Majesty's Public Record Office. Price 11. 1s.

"No one can fail to admire the exquisite style in which the present edition of this "No one can rail to summe the exquisite style in which the present eduction of this work has been prepared. Seven plates, executed by the photo-lithographic process, have been added as examples of the ordinary handwritings which the student of records will be likely to meet with in his researches. The first plate contains a fac-simile of a Saxon charter, of Domesday-book, and of the two oldest Pipe Rolls of the Exchequer. The four following plates exhibit specimens of court records from the end of the twelfth century to the beginning of the seventeenth, and on the other two plates are extracts from a Court Roll and accounts of various kinds and dates. For our next we can heartily compand the book and deem it worthy of all praise. It will our part we can heartily commend the book, and deem it worthy of all praise. It will be highly appreciated by all students of records and legal antiquaries, and we sincerely

hope that its great merits will be fully recognized."—Law Journal, April 19, 1879.

"The scope of this work is thoroughly set forth in the title, and probably its merits are not there overstated. We can conceive that some such work would be indispensable are not there overstated. We can conceive that some such work would be indispensable to an English conveyancer. Of course it can prove of little, if any, practical use in this country; but it is a curious and beautiful volume, and should find a place in all public libraries, as it doubtless also will in some private collections. It exhibits examples of the beautiful handwriting in the reigns of the early kings, from the Conqueror down to James I, and a few of the Saxons. It is said in the preface that the court hand has degenerated from age to age, so that the records in Elizabeth's reign are more difficult to read than those of five hundred years earlier. We can easily believe this. The earlier handwriting is most beautiful, and if one only knows how to read it, is very legible; but to understand its abbreviations one needs this volume."—Albany Law Journal.

Just published, post 8vo., price 7s. 6d. cloth,

AN

# OUTLINE OF CONTRACT,

DESIGNED AS

# A first Work for Students.

# By JOSEPH A. SHEARWOOD, B.A.,

OF LINCOLN'S INN, ESQ., BABRISTEE-AT-LAW,

Author of "Concise Abridgment of Real Property," "Student's Guide
to the Bar and Final Examinations," &c.

# LAW EXAMINATIONS-CLASSES AND LECTURES.

# LAW STUDENTS' AND PRACTITIONERS'

# Common Place Book of Law and Equity.

With Outline of Principles, Analysis and Summary of each Title, and Reference to the Text Writers, Abridgments, Digests, Statutes, Rules of Courts, and Principal Recent Decisions, with Blank Spaces for noting up Results of Study and Practice, New Cases, Statutes, Points, Substance of Lectures, and Notes to Qualify for Examination.

By CHARLES PETERSDORFF,

SERJEANT-AT-LAW, ONE OF THE JUDGES OF THE COUNTY COURTS.

New and Cheap Edition, in 8vo., 5s.; in Medium 4to, 7s. 6d.

# Intermediate Law Examination Made Easy.

# SECOND EDITION.

A Complete Guide to Self-Preparation in Stephen's Commentaries.

The First Part of this Book, relating to Vol. I. of the Commentaries, will be ready early in August. It will contain:—A Course of Reading to be followed week by week, with an Epitome of each Chapter, and an explanation of the most difficult parts. A Translation and Explanation of Latin maxims and sentences. A List of the leading Statutes, with Notes. Useful Hints connected with the Intermediate Examination. An Appendix containing Test Papers of Questions, with which Students can test themselves from time to time, and so ascertain the progress they are making in their work.

# By ALBERT GIBSON,

SOLICITOR,

Honors, Easter Term, 1874. Author of "Intermediate Law Examination Made Easy" and Supplement, "Preliminary Law Examination Made Easy," "Latin and French Grammar Made Easy," and Editor of Gibson's "Preliminary," "Intermediate," "Final," &c. &c.

# Law Examinations.

- Gibson's Final Law Examination.—Published immediately after each Final Examination. Contents:—I. Epitome of Statutes, with Notes. II. Recent Statutes and Cases, and other Matters of Importance to Articled Clerks. III. Answers to Correspondents, &c. IV. Answers in full, with Explanatory Notes to Final Questions. Price 6d.
- Gibson's Intermediate Law Examination.—Published immediately after each Intermediate Examination. Contents:—I. Answers to the Examination Questions. II. Useful Suggestions for Articled Clerks. Price 6d.
- Gibson's Preliminary Law Examination.—Published immediately after each Preliminary Examination. Contents:—I. Answers to the Examination Questions. II. Useful Hints for Articled Clerks. Price 6d.
- Gibson's Intermediate Law Examination made Easy.—Complete Guide to Self-preparation in Williams' Real Property, Haynes' Outlines of Equity, and Chitty's Contracts. Contents:—I. A Course of Reading to be followed by Students week by week during the Six Months immediately preceding their Intermediate Examination. II. An Explanation of all the most difficult Passages. III. A List of the necessary Statutes to be remembered, with short Explanatory Notes. IV. A Translation and Explanation of the Leading Latin Maxims. V. Useful Hints for the Examination. VI. An Appendix, containing Papers of Questions, by means of which Students can from time to time test themselves, and so ascertain the progress they are making in their studies. Price 2s. 6d.

# Second Edition .- See p. 7.

- Gibson's Supplement to Intermediate Law Examination made Easy.—
  Being a similar Guide as the last, to Smith's Contracts and Williams'
  Law of Personal Property. Price 1s. 6d.
- Gibson's Preliminary Law Examination made Easy.—Complete Guide to Self-preparation.—Contents:—I. What Books to Read.—II. Arrangement of Hours.—III. Useful Hints.—IV. Appendix, containing Test Papers, with the Answers on all the Subjects.—Price 6d.
- Gibson's Latin Grammar made Easy, and French Grammar made Easy.

  —Being Graduated Epitomes of Latin and French respectively, prepared specially for the assistance of Candidates for the Preliminary Examinations. Price 1s. each.

ofter a.
ith N.o.
rtana.
Answer

ely ste to til Clerk

y afte to the Clerks

Guide ness of satisfaction for the instance inst

. T

t0 rr-

1





